Minufiya J. Agric. Res. Vol. 34 No.2: 789 - 807 (2009) "http://www.mujar.net"

ORIGIN, UNIFORMITY AND DEVELOPMENT OF EL-SALHIYA AREA IN THE EASTERN DESERT OF EGYPT

A.E. Hassanein , G.T.I. Abou El-Hag and E.M.K. Behiry

Soil, Water and Environment Res. Institute , Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt .

(Received: Jan. 26, 2009)

ABSTRACT: Six soil profiles were select representing the soils of El-Salhiya project. These soils were studied in order to evaluate their genesis, formation and development.

Studied grain size distribution and statistical parameters indicate that these soils have mainly moderately to poorly sorted sediments with near symmetrical to fine skewed materials and leptokurtic to mesokurtic pattern. These parameters indicate that these soils are formed under water or both water and wind action.

With regard to the petrographic examination , data show that the light fraction are composed essentially of Quartz . The other associated minerals such as Feldspars are detected in small amounts . On the other hand , heavy minerals are dominated by opaque minerals . The non-opaque minerals are dominated by pyroboles (pyroxenes+amphiboles) and epidote . Zircon , rutile and tourmaline are presence in moderate amounts . Garnet , staurolite , kyanite and silimanite are found in less pronounced amounts.

Moreover , the results indicate that these soils are formed from heterogeneous materials either due to their multiorigin or due to a subsequent variation along the course of sedimentation. Therefore, they are pedologically considerd as weak developed and young.

Key words : Origin , Uniformity and heavy minerals .

INTRODUCTION

One of the most stressing problems which face Egypt country is that preserving the food and shelter for the rapidly growing of population. The government of Egypt being aware of such problem, the horizontal agricultural expansion by reclaiming new lands and preserving the required irrigation water are the main track to resolve this problem.

Reclamation the desert lands which extending on the two sides (east and west) of the Nile delta and valley and preserve its required irrigation water are achieved the aim . The eastern desert of Egypt is stretch eastwards of the Nile valley and delta , occupies an area of about 223.000 Km. (21% of the total area of Egypt).

One of the most important agricultural projects which laying in the eastern desert of Egypt is El-Salhiya project. This project located at north Ismailiya canal and Cairo-Ismailiya agricultural road, it is stretching on the eastwards from Ezbet El-Waburot to Ezbet El-Bakarsha and on the northwards to El-Salhiya village.

Generally, El-Salhiya project occupies an area of 23.000 Feddans and lies between longitudes 31° 39° and 32° 00° East and latitudes 30° 20° and 30° 38° North Fig. (1).



Fig. (1): Location of the studied soil profiles .

Geology of the studied area :

According to El-Fayoumy (1968), the studied area essentially occupied by different rocks belonging to Quaternary formation which could be summarized in the following :

The surface deposits of Pleistocene and Holocene ages cover a great portion of the present origin, particularly the area north Ataqa – El-Mokattam plateau, the red sea coastal plain and the old water course. they comprise a variety of continental and epi-continental deposits including the following :

- A Aeolian deposits : Mainly of losses quartzitic sand dunes , hummocks and sheets in El-Khanka sand dunes .
- B Lacustrine deposits : mainly gypsum , alternating with sand and clay beds , dominating the isthmus depression and the inland drainage system .
- C Fluvio-marine deposits : Clay occasionally gypsifferous of ferroginous and /or capped with limestone or sand facies lie around El-Manzala and the red sea coastal plain particularly close to the delta of the old water sourse .
- D Fluviatile deposits : Coarse textured materials with thin clay beds , doming the Nile terraces and deltaic plain .
- E Old deltaic deposits : Mainly loess of quartizitic sand and flinty pebbles .

Geomorphological features of the studied area :

According to El-Fayoumy (1968) and Abu Al-Izz (1971), there are two main landforms in this region :

1 - Old deltaic plain : The old deltaic plain occupies much of the almost flat area between the cultivated land and the Suez canal zone . This plain extends presumably further eastwards into Sinai , but its nature was modified by dredging of the Suez canal . The surface slopes regionally from south to north at a rate of 1 m./Km. from an elevation of (+100 m.) to (+20 m.) over a distance of about 80 Km. . Their surface is mostly coverd by desert pavement and barren from natural vegetation except along the course of some drainage channel.

Under this geomorphic unit , two main terraces are distinguish :

- 1 Middle terrace : Occupying the area south of El-Tumulate depression with an elevation of (+60 m.) and geographically known as the Tenth of Ramadan district. The component material was deposited under typical deltaic conditions and constitutes a mixture of sediments brought the Nile itself and sediments brought by old rivers dissecting the upland to south.
- 2 The lower terrace : Occupying much of the area to the north of El-Tumulate depression with an elevation of (+ 40 m.) and the component materials were deposited under typical deltaic conditions and were

essentially brought by the Nile river from the middle terraces, then reworked into the deposits of the lower terraces.

2 - Wadi El-Tumulat depression : This depression is considered the oldest branch of the Nile and its course can still be followed, whereas the other branches disappeared . Generally, it is shallow elongated depression which extends in an east – west direction for a distance of about 50 Km. with an average width of about 5 Km. and a mean elevation not exceeding +7m., its northern and southern sides are both surrounded by the old deltaic terraces of early Pleistocene periods.

The aim of the present work is to study the morphological and mineralogical properties , genesis and soil formation of these soils to elucidate its mode of formation , identify minerals within sand subfraction and their relation to soil development as a degree of uniformity of parent material.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six soil profiles were selected for this study to represent the new reclaimed project in the eastern side of the Nile delta (EI-Salhiya project)

The morphological description for the studied soils was done according to Soil Survey Staff (1993), Table (1).

The collected soil samples (total of 18 soil samples) were air-dried and sieved through 2 mm. sieve and subjected to the following analyses :

- Particle size distribution was mechanically conducted by sieving (Piper, 1950). then, the data were statistically evaluated according to Folk and Ward (1957).
- 2 Separation of heavy and light minerals of the sand fraction (0.125 0.063mm.) after the ordinary pretreatments (Jackson, 1973), these minerals has been proved to be the most suitable for the microscopic study (EI-Hinnawi, 1966)

The separation of the aforementioned fraction into heavy (specific gravity < 2.87g/cm³) was conducted by means of the Bromoform. The light and heavy minerals were collected and washed with Alcohol and dried. Mounting of light and heavy fractions was undertaken according to the method of Brewer (1964) in which grains were permanently mounted by Canada Balsam.

The systematic identification of light and heavy minerals was carried out using the polarizing microscope principles of identification reported by Kerr (1959) and Milner (1962).

The graduate mechanical stage for traverse counts was run as suggested by Krumbien and Pettijohn (1938) and Milner (1962). An average of 500 grains were counted as a balance between accuracy and time involved. The percents of different groups of heavy and light minerals were calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Folk and Ward (1957) were used the particle size distribution of the soil profiles as a criterium for determining their genesis and uniformity. In this connection, many investigators use cumulative curves characterizing sedimentary materials.

The results of the particle size distribution are plotted on phi curves . Seven accumulative percentages ($ø_5$, $ø_{16}$, $ø_{25}$, $ø_{50}$, $ø_{75}$, $ø_{84}$ and $ø_{95}$) are recorded graphically for each sample (Table 2). Four statistical parameters (Mz, So, SKI and KG) are calculated using the formula of Folk and Ward (1957) and given in Table (3). These values indicate that :

- 1 According to the values of graphic mean Mz , most of the studied soil samples of the different profiles fall within the medium sand ($1.72 \ \emptyset 1.93 \ \emptyset$) except those of the uppermost surface layers of profiles Nos. 2, 3 and 4 which have Mz values indicating fine sand .
- 2 The soil samples have moderately and poorly sorted sediments respectively. So values ranged from 0.68 ø to 0.92 ø and 1.18 ø to 1.48 ø, respectively. The poorly sorted sediments suggest that the soils are deposited mainly under water action, while moderately sorted sediments are transportated and deposited under the action of both water and wind.
- 3 According to the values of inclusive graphic skewnes (SKI), most of the studied samples fall within the range of near symmetry (0.01 ø to 0.13 ø) to fine skewed (0.17 ø to 0.27 ø), respectively.
- 4 Regarding the data of graphic Kurtosis (KG) the values ranged between 0.77 ø to 0.89 ø indicating platy Kurtic pattern, 1.12 ø to 1.36 ø (Leptokurtic) and 0.9 ø to 1.04 ø (mesokurtic).

The platy kurtic pattern indicate that water is the main factor responsible for soil formation, while mesokurtic and leptokurtic pattern indicate the involvement of wind and water action in the formation of soils.

2. Mineralogy of the sand fraction :

2.1. Mineralogy of the light minerals :

Examination of the light fraction (sp. gr. < 2.85 ± 0.02) shows that it is composed almost intirely from quartz mineral which constitutes more than 92 % (Table 4). Other associated light minerals are mainly plagioclase, orthoclase and microcline.

Quartz is present as single grains in different degree of roundness and extinction. It constitutes 92.19 % to 97.53 % of the light minerals. The lowest value is detected in the surface layer of profile No. 2, while the highest value is in the top layer of profile No. 6. Variations throughout the soil depth are not of pronounced magnitude. The dominance of quartz over other light minerals is related to its resistance to weathering during the process of soil formation and sedimentation.

Feldspars are detected in all the studied soil samples and are composed essentially of plagioclase, orthoclase and microcline. The frequency distribution in the current soils varies from 0.57 % to 2.22 % orthoclase, 1.3% to 4.04 % plagioclase and 0.25 % to 1.2 % microcline.

The general order of abundance is plagioclase > orthoclase > microcline . The presence of feldspars indicates that the weathering effect was not enough to cause a complete alteration of these minerals .

2.2. Heavy minerals :

Heavy minerals are those having high specific gravity > 2.85. They are usually primary minerals having high occurrence in rocks may be essential or accessory. They usually constitute a small portion of the soil materials. However, their measuring data can be clear and understand genesis and development as well as the age of the soil.

Frequency distribution of the heavy minerals in the studied soil profiles are given in Table (5). The description and interpretation of these minerals according to their aboundance in studied soils are in the following :

- Opaque minerals :

Opaque minerals such as hematite, ilmenite, lemonite, magnetite and pyrite are characterized by isotropy between cross nicol and are shaded in appearance in plane light, non peleochronic. They are generally subrounded to rounded.

Data in Table (5) show that opaque minerals ranged from 47.4% to 63.6%. The lowest content is detected in the surface layer of profile No. 3, while the highest one is counted in the subsurface layer of profile No. 1

Depthwise distribution shows an increase with depth in profiles 3, 4 and 6 and a decrease with depth in profile 2 and no specific pattern in profile Nos. 1 and 5.

- Non – opaque minerals :

Table (5) reveals that the weatherable minerals of amphiboles, pyroxenes, Kyanite and epidote are the most abundant varieties. The ultrastable minerals of zircon, rutile and tourmaline are present in small amounts, while parametamorphic minerals (garnet, staurolite and silimanite) and the other minerals are in very small amounts. The description of these minerals is given in the following:

* Amphiboles

These minerals are represented mainly by hornblende with few amounts of actinolite and glaucophane . Amphiboles ranged from 20.3 % to 31.4 % . The lowestvalue is found in the subsurface layer of profile No. 5, and the highest one is in deepest layer of profile No. 4.

The vertical distribution of amphiboles shows an irregular pattern in all studied profiles except for profiles 3 and 4 which have an increase with depth. In profile No. 2, there is a decrease distribution with depth.

* Pyroxenes

These group are the second aboundant of non-opaque minerals . The most common of them is hyperthene , followed by augite and the lowest is diopside . Their presence in the current study ranges from 18.2 % to 32.1 % of the non-opaque minerals with an irregular distribution pattern with depth . The lowest value is present in the surface layer of profile No. 6 , whereas the highest one is associated with the subsurface layer of profile No. 1 .

These results may be attributed to the variation in parent materials, sedimentation regimes and environments of the studied soil materials.

* Epidote

Epidote content ranges from 9.0 % to 15.0 % of the non-opaque minerals. The highest and lowest values are found in the middle and deepest layers of profile No. 2, respectively. There are no specific distribution pattern in the studied soil profiles representing the soils of El-Salhiya project.

- Parametamorphic minerals :

This group of minerals include the minerals of kyanite > garnet > silimanite > staurolite according to their frequency distribution in studied soils .

* Garnet

This mineral have values between 1.3 % and 10.0 % of the non-opaque minerals . The lowest content was in the subsurface layer of profile No. 1 and the highest one was in the deepest layer of the same profile .

* Staurolite

This mineral forms 0.5 % to 3.3 % of the non – opaque minerals . The lowest content is detected in the surface layer of profile No. 1, while the highest content is associated with the subsurface layer of profile No. 2

It exhibits an irregular pattern of depthwise distribution in the studied profiles, except for profile No. 1 where it tends to increase with depth.

* Kyanite

This mineral have values between 3.6 % and 14.0 % of the non-opaque minerals . The lowest content is detected in the subsurface layer of profile No. 1 , while the highest content is recorded in the surface layer of profile No. 6 .

The distribution of kyanite haven't any specific pattern with depth, except for the soils of profiles Nos.3 and 4 where its content tends to increase with depth.

* Silimanite

This mineral constitutes 0.9 % to 5.0 % of the non-opaque minerals. The lowest content is detected in the deepest layer of profile No. 1, while the highest content is associated with the surface layer of profile No. 6.

- Ultrastable minerals :

This group are the most ultra-stable minerals including zircon, rutile and tourmaline.

* Zircon

This mineral ranges from 5.0 % to 11.7 % of the non – opaque minerals . The lowest contents is detected in the deepest layer of profile No. 4, while the highest content is associated with the surface layer of profile No.1. Zircon tends to decrease with depth. This reflects the multi-origin of parent material as well as well its multi-depositional regime.

* Rutile

This mineral forms 0.8 % to 4.5 % of the non – opaque minerals with an irregular distribution pattern with depth . Their lowest value is shown in the deepest layer of profile No. 5, whereas the highest one is in the surface layer of profile No.4.

The apparent discontinuity in the mineral distribution could be refer to multi-origin parent material as well as different depositional regimes of the studied soils.

* Tourmaline

This mineral is the second abundant ultrastable minerals and ranges from 2.0 % to 5.8 % of the non-opaque minerals . Its content shows an irregular distribution with depth .

- Other non-opaque minerals :

This group is represented by biotite , monazite , glauconite and ziosite minerals .

Biotite : This mineral ranged from 0.5 % to 2.8 % of the non-opaque minerals . The lowest value is recorded in the deepest layer of profile No. 5 ,

while the highest value is detected in the deepest layer of profile No. 5, No. 2.

- Monazite : This mineral ranged from 0.1 % to 2.7 % of the non-opaque minerals with an irregular distribution pattern with depth. The lowest value is recorded in the top layer of profile No. 1, while the highest value is detected in the top layer of profile No. 5.
- Glauconite : Constitutes 0.3 % to 3.7 % of the non-opaque minerals with an irregular distribution pattern with depth .
- Ziosite : This mineral ranged from 0.7 % to 3.7 % of the non-opaque minerals . The lowest content is detected in the upper most surface layer of profile No. 1, while the highest content is found in the deepest layer of profile No. 5.

- Assessment of soil uniformity on basis of mineralogy of the sand fractions :

Mineral analysis is great importance in evaluating the origin uniformity, weathering and development of soil profile.

Variation in heavy mineral species and their frequency distribution in different soil layers help in studying soil genesis. Brewer (1964) and Mitchell (1975) mentioned that weathering would load to a decrease in distribution frequency of less resistant minerals.

Pyroxenes, amphiboles, epidote and garnet have little or no effect on the frequency of the more resistant minerals of zircone, rutile and tourmaline.

El-Demerdashe *et al.* (1979), Hassona *et al.* (1995), Hassona (1999) and Abdel Razik (2005) reported assessment of evaluating profile uniformity and development in some Egyptian soils using their mineralogy.

In the present investigation , uniformity of soils are assessed using different parameters . These include frequency distribution of index minerals (zircon , rutile and tourmaline) , and the ratios of zircon with each of rutile , tourmaline and both for the different layers within the soil profile .

Other parameters used to evaluate the soil profile uniformity are those related to resistant minerals. Their ratios are call " weathering ratios "

There are three weathering ratios as follows :

Wr₁ = Pyroxenes + Amphiboles / Zircon + Tourmaline

Wr₂ = Horblende / Zircon + Tourmaline

Wr₃ = Biotite / Zircon + Tourmaline

Data of the uniformity ratios for the resistant minerals and weathering ratios are given in Table (6) and Figures (2 and 3). Data clear that the soil materials of El-Salhiya project are stratified and heterogeneous as reveald by the abrupt change in the distribution of the index minerals and various ratios of their layers.

This confirms the conclusion that the soils have discontinuity of their parent materials .

Also since the soils have rather high contents of pyroboles than zircon they still weak developed and are young from the pedological point of view .



Fig . (2) : Depthwise distribution pattern of uniformity ratios in the studied soil profiles .



Origin, uniformity and development of El-Salhiya area in the......

Fig. (3): Depthwise distribution pattern of weathering ratios in the studied soil profiles.

REFERENCES

- Abdel Razik , S. A. (2005). Origin , mode of formation and uniformity of some soils in the north wesrern coast of Egypt . Egypt . J. of App. Sci. . 20 (7): 377.
- Abu Al-Izz (1971) . Land form of Egypt . The American university in Cairo Press, Egypt.
- Brewer, R. (1964). "Fabric and mineral Analysis of soils "John Wiley and Sons, Inc., NewYork, N.Y., USA 470PP.
- El-Demerdashe, S., A. A. Elwan and F. M. Abdou (1979). " Mineralogy of the sand fraction of the soil north of wadi El-Natrun of the A.R.E. ", Desert Inst. Bull 29 (1), 147.
- El-Fayoumy, L.F. (1968). " Geology of ground water supply in the region of the Nile delta " ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Of Sci., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- El-Hinnawie, E. E. (1966). " Methods in chemical and mineral microscopy " Elsevier publ . Co. , Amest , the Netherlands .
- Folk, R. L. and W. C. A., Ward, 1957. "Brazos River bar, a study in the significance of grain size parameters ". J.Sed. Petrol. 27: 3 8, 3-26.
- Hassona , H. H. ; M. M. , Fahim ; M. E. , El-Hemely and M. , Abdel Mottaleb , 1995. " Mineralogical composition of the sand fraction of soils of El-Nobariya area and its relation to soil genesis " . Egypt . J. Soil Sci . 35 , No. 1 : 33-53 .
- Hassona , H. H. , 1999. " Pedological evaluation of the soil of El-kharga Oasis based on the mineralogical composition of the sand fraction " . J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura univ. 24 (6) : 3167 3177 .
- Jackson , M. I. , 1973. "Soil Chemical Analysis" Prentice Hall , Inc . Englewood Cliffs , N.J. , U.S.A. .
- Kerr, P. F. (1959). " Optical Mineralogy " Mc . Graw Hill Book Company, Inc, New York, Toronto, London
- Krumbien, W. C., and F. J. Pettijohn (1938). "Manual of Sedimentary Petrography " Appleton Century Co New York , U.S.A. .
- Milner, H. B. (1962). " Sedimentary Petrography " (Vol. I and II) George Allen and Unwin Ltd, Museium street, London
- Mitchell, W. A. (1975). "Soil components ". John E. Gieseking, New York, Amercan Assoc. Pet. Geologists., 41: No., 9, P, 1952.
- Piper , C. S. (1950) . " Soil and plant analysis " Inter . Science Pupl. - Inc. New york , U.S.A. .
- Soil Survey Staff, (1993). "Soil Survey Manual ".U.S.Dept.Agri., Handbook No.18 Government printing office, Washington, D.C.

أصل و تجانس و مدى تطور أراضى الصالحية فى صحراء مصر الشرقية أحمد السيد أحمد حسانين ، جمال توفيق ابراهيم ابو الحاج ، السيد محمد قطب بحيرى معهد بحوث الأراضى و المياه و البيئة – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر

الملخص العربي

أجرى هذا البحث على أراضى مشروع الصالحية بغرض التعرف على أصل و تجانس و مدى تطور أراضى هذا المشروع ، و تبعا لذلك اختير عدد ستة قطاعات أرضية لتمثل أراضى المشروع

و قد أوضحت دراسة التوزيع الحجمى لحبيبات التربة و قيم المتغيرات الأحصائية لها الى انها متوسطة الى فقيرة فى تجانسها و خشنة الأنجراف كما تشير قيم ال Sorting لهذه الأراضى .

و قد أستنتج من هذا ان هذه الأراضى قد تكونت تحت الظروف المائية أو المائية و الهوائية معا و أن الماء و الهواء هما العامل الرئيسي المسئول عن نقل و ترسيب هذه الأراضي

كذالك أوضح الفحص البتروجرافى للرمل الناعم الى أن المعادن الخفيفة تميزت بسيادة معدن الكوارتز مع وجود نسبة قليلة من معادن الفلسبارات – أما المعادن الغير معتمة فقد تميزت بسيادة معادن البيروبولز (بيروكسين + أمفيبول) و الكيانيت و الأبيدوت أما معادن الزركون و التورمالين و الروتيل فقد وجدت بكميات متوسطة . كذالك وجدت معادن الجارنت و الأشتروليت و الكيانيت و السليمنيت و لكن بكميات قليلة .

و بدراسة مدى تجانس و تطور القطاعات الأرضية تحت الدراسة أن هذه الأراضى غير متجانسة و يرجع هذا الى تعدد مواد الأصل المكونة لها أو أختلاف ظروف الترسيب كما ان هذه الأراضى ضعيفة التطور و حديثة من الوجهة البيدولوجية .