### DOES THE BIOSORPTION PROCESS ABLE TO MITIGATE HEAVY METALS STRESS ? Mosa, A. A.

Soils Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University.

### ABSTRACT

There is a lack of information concerning the effect of biosorption process on plant growth under heavy metals stress. For this purpose, a hydroponic experiment was carried out to evaluate the ability of cotton stalks pretreated with sodium hydroxide as a biosorbent material on mitigating harmful effects of Cd, Pb and Ni on some vegetable crops. The experiment was consisted of three hydroponic treatments i.e., contaminated nutrient solution with or without the biosorbent material, and the control treatment (uncontaminated nutrient solution). The biosrbent material removed considerable amounts of heavy metals from the contaminated nutrient solution, especially Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions. Heavy metals contamination reduced fresh and dry weights of shoots and roots. Macro- and micronutrients concentration has been affected by heavy metals contamination. The accumulation of heavy metals increased extremely in roots as compared with shoots. Heavy metals concentration in roots reached the excessive levels, in particular, in the second treatment (without biosorbent material). The biosorbent material reduced the accumulation of Pb and Ni in shoots and roots. However, the concentration of Cd increased.

In conclusion, cotton stalks pretreated with sodium hydroxide could be a promising biosorbent material for the removal of heavy metals from wastewater prior to irrigation.

**Keywords**: Chemically modified cotton stalks; Biosorbent; Heavy metals uptake; Vegetable crops.

### INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals is the most widely recognized and used term for the large group of elements with an atomic density greater than 6 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (Phipps, 1981). Some heavy metals such as Fe, Mn, Zn, Mo and Cu are essential micronutrients, and play important roles in plant physiology. Although they could be phytotoxic if their concentrations exceed the essential limits. Other heavy metals like Cd, Pb and Ni, which have no identified physiological role, are non-essential. Furthermore, they could be phytotoxic even at low concentrations (Vázquez *et al.*, 1992). Nevertheless, there are some reports suggesting that Ni may have some functions in plant (Mishra and Kar, 1974). Plant exposure to heavy metals, especially by wastewater irrigation, will introduce various diseases and disorders. If excessive amounts are accumulated in plant tissues, heavy metals will adversely affect the physiological and biochemical characteristics of plant (Cheng, 2003).

Heavy metals could be released the soil surface and the groundwater as a result of different activities, such as industries, mining, and negative agricultural practices (Hawari and Mulligan, 2005). When heavy metals are discharged into water systems, they generally show a large tendency toward binding to aquatic sediments. Although this will lead to a temporary improvement of water quality, polluted aquatic sediments may still be seen as "time bombs" for future pollution of the overall ecosystem (Kelderman and Osman, 2007). Through a variety of micro-biological (e.g. activities of bottomdwelling fish) and physico-chemical processes (e.g. pH changes, sediment oxidation and heavy metal complexation by anions like Cl<sup>-</sup>), these heavy metals may effectively be recycled to the overlying water phase (Salomons and Förstner, 1984; Calmano *et al.*, 1993).

The use of reclaimed water in agriculture is an option that is increasingly being investigated and taken up in regions with water scarcity. In these circumstances, the use of reclaimed water in agriculture enables freshwater to be exchanged for more economically and socially valuable purposes (Winpenny *et al.*, 2010). In developing countries, wastewater reuse is conventionally carried out through direct application and/or mixed with fresh water. However, wastewater in these countries is actually a combination of agricultural drainage water, industrial effluents, and sewage water with different ratios, and without any system for separation. Undesirable constituents in wastewater can harm human health, and the environment. Hence, wastewater irrigation is an issue of concern to public agencies responsible for maintaining public health and environmental quality (Qadir *et al.*, 2010).

Besides the classical wastewater treatments, which costs a lot, biosorption of heavy metal ions may represent a very promising technique. Primarily, because it is using biomaterials as adsorbents, which are generally abound in nature at low cost (Volesky, 2001; Vegliò *et al.*, 2003). This technique could be an ideal wastewater treatment method in developing countries, because of the low cost processing, and the high removal efficiency of heavy metals. On the other hand, chemical pretreatment with base solutions, mineral and organic acid solutions, organic compounds, oxidizing agents, dyes, etc. have been investigated for the purpose of increasing the efficiency of biosorbent materials (Wan Nagh and Hanafiah, 2008). Meanwhile, it was revealed that sodium hydroxide was the most efficient solution in this concern (Mosa *et al.*, 2011)

Despite intensive research of the biosorption process, little is known about how the biosorbent material could be able to alleviate the accumulation of heavy metals in plant tissues, and mitigate their harmful effect.

This study was aimed at assessing the ability of cotton stalks pretreated with sodium hydroxide on the removal of Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions from a contaminated nutrient solution. This could be considered as a simulation experiment for future field irrigation trials.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Location of the experiment, and setup of hydroponic treatments.

A hydroponic experiment was carried out in the Experimental Glasshouse of the Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt (latitude of  $31^{\circ}$  30 N and longitude of  $30^{\circ}$  20 E) during the summer season of 2010/2011. Some meteorological data during the growing season of the experiment are listed in Table 1.

Month	Average temperature (C <sup>°</sup> )	Average relative humidity (%)	Average pan Evaporation (mm)		
April	21.4	63	4.0		
Mai	24.5	61	4.8		
June	27.3	65	5.5		

 Table 1: Averages of air temperature, relative humidity, pan evaporation

 and total precipitation during the growing season.

The experiment was consisted of three hydroponic treatments i.e., contaminated nutrient solution with or without the biosorbent material, in addition to the control treatment (uncontaminated nutrient solution).

For each treatment, 6 plastic channels (4 m long, and 10 cm diameter) were installed. Each channel had 40 pores (6 cm diameter) in its upper side as a place for plastic pots. Every two channels were connected together by plastic tubes to represent a treatment. Each treatment was provided with a reservoir containing 10 L of Cooper nutrient solution (Cooper, 1979). In addition to an electrical pump for circulating the nutrients solution. The third treatment was provided with cotton stalks pretreated with sodium hydroxide as a biosorbent material. This biosorbent material was filled in cheese cloth filter bags, and connected to the end circulation tubes (Fig1).



Fig. 1: Schematic for the third hydroponic treatment.

The nutrient solution of the second and the third treatment was contaminated with Cd, Ni and Pb in forms of CdCl<sub>2</sub>, NiSO<sub>4</sub> and Pb(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub> at concentrations of 50,100 and 200  $\mu$ M, respectively.

### Preparation, and setup of the biosorbent material.

Cotton stalks were obtained from El-Gimiza Agricultural Research Farm, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. Subsamples were ground using a stainless steel mill, digested with 5 mL of  $HNO_3$  (65%) and 1 mL of  $H_2O_2$  (30%) in a microwave digestion apparatus (model MLS GmbH, Germany; Tüzen, 2003). Heavy metals concentration was determined using an Inductivity Coupled Plasma Spectrophotometer (model ICP/CIROS CCD SOP, Germany). Elements concentration of cotton stalks before and after the chemical pretreatment is presented in Table 2.

Elemente	Ρ	Κ	Ca	Mg	Na	S	Fe	Mn	Zn	Со	Cu	Pb	Ni	Cd
Liements	(%)						mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )(							
Before pretreatment	0.59	1.44	0.66	0.18	0.03	0.19	226.16	15.33	15.37	0.814	7.99	19.19	1.483	0.437
After pretreatment	0.12	0.25	0.49	0.13	0.69	0.04	72.24	7.3	10.11	0.783	7.55	17.55	0.85	0.275

Table 2: Elements concentration of cotton stalks before and after the chemical pretreatment with sodium hydroxide.

To prepare the biosorbent material, cotton stalks were oven dried at 70°C over night, and ground using stainless steel equipment to pass through 1-mm sieve. The chemical pretreatment of cotton stalks were carried out by shaking 100 g of cotton stalks with 2 L of NaOH (0.1 M) at an agitation rate of 150 rpm for 4 h. After shaking, the chemically modified cotton stalks were filtered, washed with tap water, followed by double distilled water to remove the excess of NaOH until the pH was approximately 7. Then it was oven dried again at 70°C for 24 h. These procedures were carried out according to Mosa *et al.*, (2011). After preparing the biosorbent material, 100 g was filled in two cheesecloth bags to act as filters. These filters were connected to the end of circulation tubes. The nutrient solution, which contaminated with heavy metals was passed through the biosorbent material at the end of each circulation in order to remove heavy metals from the nutrient solution.

### Cultivation of vegetable crops.

The examined vegetable crops were radish (Raphanus sativus L.), augula (Eruca sativa), chicory (Cichoruim intybus L.) and corchorus (Corchorus olitorius L.). Plants were cultivated in perforated plastic pots (10 cm depth and 6 cm diameter) containing peat moss and perlite (1:1) as a rooting medium. Ten uniform seeds were sown in April 23, 2010, and kept in a growth chamber until the full formation of growth hairs. Irrigation was adjusted to reach the field capacity, and the assumed field capacity was readjusted every three days with the irrigation water. One week after sowing, seedlings were thinned to leave 5 uniform seedlings per pot. Plants were transferred to hydroponics after 10 days from sowing. The nutrient solution was changed every 5-7 days with a fresh one. The concentration of heavy metals was kept constant after changing the nutrient solution until the end of the experiment. The biosorbent material was changed with changing the nutrient solution. Elements concentration of nutrient solutions were measured after every change using ICP. At harvest stage (45 days from sowing), fresh and dry weight values of shoots and roots were recorded.

#### Plant analysis.

Shoot and root samples were washed with distilled water, oven dried at  $70^{\circ}$ C over night and ground manually to avoid heavy metals contamination. The chemical analysis was carried out in the Institute of Soil Science and Forest Nutrition, Freiburg University Br., Germany. Nitrogen was determined using CNS analyzer (model Carlo Erba NA 1500 Series 2, Italy). To determine elements concentration of shoots and roots, 0.2 g was digested with 5 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> (65%), and 1 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (30%) in the microwave digestion apparatus. Elements concentration was determined using ICP.

#### Statistical analysis.

Data was statistically analyzed according to the procedure outlined by Duncan (1955) using CoStat (Version 6.303, CoHort, USA, 1998-2004). Means of treatments were considered significantly when they were more than least significant differences (LSD) at the confidence level of 5% according to Gomez and Gomez (1984).

### **RSULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Mean values of elements concentration after changing the nutrient solution.

The biosorbent material reduced the concentration of  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$  and  $Ni^{2+}$  ions in the nutrient solution as shown in Table 3. The highest removal value was attributed with Pb. This is revealed to the high biosorption of  $Pb^{2+}$  ions on organic matter compounds (Harrison and Lexen, 1984). Moreover, their biosorption is mainly occurred through the inner-sphere complexes with carboxyl and hydroxyl groups to be difficult for exchanging with other ions (Guo *et al.*, 2006).

Table 3: Mean values of elements concentration (mgL<sup>-1</sup>) after changing the nutrient solution.

Troatmonte		Metal ions concentration (mgL <sup>-'</sup> )												
Treatments	Р	κ	Ca	Mg	s	Fe	Mn	Zn	Со	Cu	Pb	Ni	Cd	
First	11.36	58.13	66.33	32.6	54.45	0.60	0.002	0.68	0.008	0.06	0.006	0.002	0.001	
Second	14.80	47.59	62.97	29.03	60.60	0.39	0.005	0.48	0.009	0.02	1.235	0.402	0.34	
Third	14.20	90.18	61.92	29.52	60.54	0.37	0.01	0.27	0.009	0.01	0.240	0.389	0.24	

Macronutrients concentration (P, Ca, Mg and S) varied slightly among treatments. However, it was noticed that  $K^+$  concentration recorded a high increase in the third treatment as compared with other treatments. Presumably due to the high influx of  $K^+$  ions from the biosorbent material to the nutrient solution, as potassium is not immobilized in organic matter compounds (Marschner, 1995).

Micronutrients concentration (Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu) varied among treatments. Concentrations of Fe, Zn and Cu in the control treatment were higher than the second treatment. This could be attributed to the synergistic effect between Cd<sup>+2</sup> and metal ions of these micronutrients (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001), which increased their uptake by plants. The third treatment recorded the lowest values of these micronutrients. This is due to the biosorption of their metal ions. Meanwhile, Mn<sup>+2</sup> concentration in the control treatment was lower than other treatments. Presumably due to the antagonistic effect between Ni<sup>+2</sup> and Mn<sup>+2</sup> ions (Khalid and Tinsley, 1980). Therefore, decreased Mn uptake by plants, leaving Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions in the nutrient solution. Concentration of Mn<sup>+2</sup> ions in the third treatment was the highest. Perhaps because of Mn-complexes in different organic compounds are principally involved in electrostatic forces between the hydrated metal ion and oxygen-containing ligands, and these complexes are easily disrupted by

proton or metal exchange (Senesi *et al.*, 1989). Accordingly,  $Mn^{2+}$  ions were easily exchangable with other metal ions in the nutrient solution.

## Effect of different hydroponic treatments on the yield of vegetable crops.

Heavy metals contamination led to a significant reduction (p<0.05) in fresh weight yield of both shoots and roots (Table 4). However, this reduction was not significant on corchorus shoots. On the other hand, the effect of heavy metals contamination on dry weight yield varied among vegetable crops. It was noticed that this effect was not significant on vegetable shoots. Although it was significant on chicory shoots. on the contrary, the effect on vegetable roots was significant. Although it was not significant on corchorus roots. Accordingly, it is clear that corchorus plants were more able to survive under heavy metals stress. Whereas, other vegetable crops were more sensitive, especially chicory plants.

Table 4: Fresh and dry weight yield (g/pot) of shoots and roots of different vegetable crops.

		Sho	ots	Ro	ots	
Vegetable c	rops	Fresh weight (g/pot)	Dry weight (g/pot)	Fresh weight (g/pot)	Dry weight (g/pot)	
	First	27.95a	3.33	4.02a	1.01a	
Radish	Second	23.22c	3.25	2.89c	0.81c	
	Third	25.46b	3.29	3.24b	0.87b	
	First	9.91a	0.79	1.87a	0.45a	
Arugula	Second	7.27c	0.75	1.48c	0.40c	
	Third	8.62b	0.77	1.59b	0.42b	
	First	24.78a	2.02a	4.65a	1.07a	
Chicory	Second	17.06c	1.89b	2.58c	0.67c	
	Third	20.18b	1.94ab	3.89b	0.94b	
	First	12.76	1.65	3.61a	0.99	
Corchorus	Second	12.36	1.62	3.36b	0.98	
	Third	12.56	1.64	3.56a	1.01	

Mean values followed by the same letter within treatments are not significantly different ( p < 0.05) according Duncan's multiple range test.

The reduction of fresh and dry weight yield is revealed to the combined effect of Cd, Pb and Ni on the inhibition of the physiological and nutritional processes of plant (Ewais, 1997). The excessive concentration of Cd, beyond interfering with normal metabolism of some micronutrients, shows inhibitory effects on photosynthesis process. Also a disturbance in transpiration and  $CO_2$  fixation (López-Millán *et al.*, 2009). The excess of Cd has an inhibitory effect on chloroplast development (Ghoshroy and Nadakavukaren, 1990), the Calvin cycle (Crupa *et al.*, 1993), and functions of plant enzymes (Chugh and Sawhney, 1999).

The adverse effects of Pb include its interference with other nutrients uptake, and translocation (Gopal and Rizvi, 2008). The excess of Pb caused an inhibition of photosynthesis process (Pinchasov *et al.*, 2006). As well as, changing the activity of several enzymes (Bansal *et al.*, 2002; Verma and Dubey, 2003; Cenkci *et al.*, 2010), and disturbance in the respiration rate (Romanowska *et al.*, 2002). Additionally, Excess of Pb has a pronounced

effect on decreasing the nitrate-nitrogen absorption by plants. Otherwise, inhibiting the activities of nitrate reductase, glutamate dehydrogenase, glutamine synthase, and glutamic–pyruvic transaminase. Consequently, decreasing the synthesis of organic nitrogen compounds, such as protein and chlorophyll (Xiao *et al.*, 2008)

Concerning Ni effect, it was reported that it has an inhibitory effect on DNA, RNA and protein synthesis (Espen *et al.*, 1997). Excess of Ni uptake could also reduce relative water, and chlorophyll contents (Gajewska *et al.*, 2006). Beside an alteration in the uptake of essential nutrients and a reduction in  $CO_2$  uptake, a possible disturbances in gas exchange, and generation of free radicals could be occurred. This may produce an oxidative stress (Ali *et al.*, 2009; Jozef *et al.*, 2009).

### Effect of different hydroponic treatments on Cd, Pb and Ni concentrations in shoots and roots.

The contamination of Cd, Pb and Ni in the second and the third treatment led to a significant increase (p<0.05) in their concentration in shoots and roots as compared with the control treatment (Table 5). On the other hand, using the biosorbent material in the third treatment was attributed with a significant reduction in the concentration of Pb and Ni in shoots and roots. Nevertheless, the concentration of Cd in shoots and roots of the third treatment was higher than in the second treatment.

Cotton stalks are mainly composed of cellulose, hemicelluloses, and lignin, which contain methyl esters that do not bind metal ions significantly in its untreated form. However, methyl esters modified to carboxylate ligands after the pretreatment with sodium hydroxide (Rehman *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, increased the ability of cotton stalks for the removal of heavy metal ions, and reduced the uptake of Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions by vegetable crops. Although the biosorbent material led to remove considerable amounts of Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions, cadmium concentration in shoots and roots in the third treatment was higher than in the second treatment. This could be attributed to the antagonistic effect between Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup>, which decreased the uptake of Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions by vegetable crops (Devi Prasad and Devi Prasad, 1982; Teresa *et al.*, 2002). As mentioned before, the biosorbent material in the third treatment removed most of Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions from the nutrient solution, leaving Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions readily for absorption by plants.

The accumulation of Cd, Pb and Ni in vegetable roots was higher than shoots. This finding was reported by several researchers (Jian *et al.*, 2000; Van der Vliet *et al.*, 2007; Shentu *et al.*, 2008). The concentration of Cd, Pb and Ni in roots reached the excessive levels in the second and the third treatment (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001). Therefore, it is recommended to avoid the consumption of vegetable roots, as it is considered as a pool for heavy metals accumulation. Cadmium concentration in shoots reached the excessive levels in both treatments. Nickel reached the excessive levels in the second treatment. However, the biosorbent material reduced the uptake of Ni by plants, and it did not reach the excessive levels. Meanwhile, Pb concentration in shoots did not reach the excessive levels in both treatments.

			Heavy	metals co	ncentration	(mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )		
Vegetable cr	ops		Shoots			Roots           Cd         Pb           0.58c         5.06c           247.38b         160.32a         1		
		Cd	Pb	Ni	Cd	Pb	Ni	
	First	0.33c	1.12c	2.72c	0.58c	5.06c	3.38c	
Radish	Second	52.54b	14.84a	10.97a	247.38b	160.32a	198.30a	
	Third	88.19a	4.93b	7.36b	322.38a	109.10b	112.69b	
	First	0.51c	0.35c	1.12c	0.45c	0.84c	1.51c	
Arugula	Second	90.20b	6.53a	11.55a	271.71b	167.89a	180.75a	
Arugula	Third	122.74a	2.83b	6.24b	297.93a	115.05b	119.10b	
	First	0.28c	1.81c	0.53c	0.70c	4.89c	3.27c	
Chicory	Second	102.02b	14.00a	8.15a	390.97b	566.51a	160.25a	
-	Third	140.83a	5.31b	4.42b	431.97a	110.99b	80.92b	
	First	0.21c	0.54c	1.61c	0.40c	6.32c	3.49c	
Corchorus	Second	36.46b	1.94a	7.52a	144.04b	71.96a	62.21a	
	Third	40.12a	0.97b	6.12b	184.68a	46.73b	47.51b	

Table 5: Effect of hydroponic treatments on Cd, Pb and Ni concentration (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in shoots and roots of different vegetable crops.

Mean values followed by the same letter within treatments are not significantly different ( p < 0.05) according Duncan's multiple range test.

### Effect of different hydroponic treatments on macronutrients concentration in shoots and roots.

Data in Table 6 indicated that heavy metals contamination reduced significantly (p<0.05) nitrogen concentrations in shoots and roots of vegetable crops. Although the reduction in shoots was not significant in some cases. Nitrate uptake is mediated by transporters in the plasma membrane of root cells (Henriksen and Spanswick, 1993). Therefore, heavy metals contamination may caused a reduction in the potential of plasma membrane to absorb NO<sub>3</sub> ions (Hernández *et al.*, 1997; Xiao *et al.*, 2008). The third treatment recorded the lowest concentration of nitrogen in both shoots and roots. This could be attributed to the biosorption of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ions by the biosorbent material.

Table 6: Effect of hydroponic treatments on macronutrients concentration (%) in shoots and roots of different vegetable crops.

				Mac	ronuti	rients c	oncer	ntratio	n (%)				
Vegetable of	crops	Shoots						Roots					
_	-	Ν	Р	Κ	Са	Mg	S	Ν	Ρ	Κ	Ca	Mg	S
	First	6.59	0.85b	5.99b	2.36c	0.74c	1.24c	3.47a	0.83b	3.86c	1.22c	0.35b	0.95c
Radish	Second	6.54	1.14a	5.99b	3.17a	0.98a	1.29b	3.31b	0.92a	4.25b	1.51a	0.43a	1.15b
	Third	6.40	0.89b	7.63a	2.75b	0.84b	1.75a	3.29b	0.85b	4.43a	1.29b	0.39ab	1.20a
	First	6.40a	0.74b	6.96b	2.59c	0.70c	1.60c	3.71a	0.89b	3.26c	1.11c	0.29b	0.98c
Arugula	Second	6.34a	0.95a	7.01b	2.86a	0.89a	1.69b	3.45b	0.96a	3.41b	1.49a	0.34a	1.11b
	Third	5.96b	0.76b	8.51a	2.74b	0.79b	1.77a	3.34b	0.85b	3.76a	1.24b	0.32ab	1.16a
	First	5.98a	0.75c	7.20b	0.96c	0.34c	0.45c	4.21a	0.71b	5.50c	0.56b	0.23b	0.43c
Chicory	Second	5.83a	0.83a	7.21b	1.13a	0.48a	0.51b	4.15a	0.82a	5.69b	0.68a	0.29a	0.57b
_	Third	5.25b	0.79b	8.22a	1.04b	0.39b	0.64a	3.47b	0.75b	5.81a	0.58b	0.26ab	0.63a
	First	2.84	0.48	3.81b	1.62	0.48	0.35b	1.41a	0.25b	1.89b	0.64	0.36b	0.56b
Corchorus	Second	2.81	0.50	3.83b	1.69	0.52	0.40a	1.38a	0.28a	1.93b	0.67	0.40a	0.62a
	Third	2.77	0.50	4.29a	1.64	0.49	0.37ab	1.31b	0.25b	2.07a	0.65	0.36b	0.61a

Mean values followed by the same letter within treatments are not significantly different ( p < 0.05) according Duncan's multiple range test.

<sup>534</sup> 

Phosphorus has another trend, as it increased in the second treatment more than other treatments. Probably, because of the imbalance of water status in plant tissues. Excessive concentrations of heavy metals significantly affected plant water status, which caused water deficit, and subsequent changes in nutrients concentration (Kastori *et al.*, 1992). There was no significant difference in P concentration between the control and the third treatment in most cases. This is attributed to the biosorbent material, which mitigated the harm of water deficit in plant tissues.

Concerning K concentration, it was noticed that its values were the highest in the third treatment. This is due to the desorption of  $K^+$  ions from the biosorbent material to the nutrient solution. On the other hand, K concentration in the second treatment was higher than the control treatment. Presumably due to the concentration effect, which attributed with the imbalance of water status in plant.

Calcium and magnesium concentrations increased significantly as the concentration of heavy metals increased in the nutrient solution. The highest concentrations of Ca and Mg were associated with the second treatment, followed by the third treatment. However, the control treatment recorded the lowest values. Several defense strategies against heavy metals stress were described in higher plants. One of these mechanisms is the plant selectivity toward the absorption of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions to mitigate the stress of heavy metals (Abul Kashem and Kawai, 2007; Wang and Song, 2009). This could be the reason of increasing Ca and Mg in the second treatment, which exposed to more stress of heavy metals. Furthermore, the negative effect of heavy metals on the water balance in plant tissues could be another possible reason for increasing Ca and Mg concentrations in the second and the third treatment.

Sulfur concentration in shoots and roots has been affected significantly by different hydroponic treatments. The highest concentration of S was associated with the third treatment, followed by the second treatment. Whereas, the control treatment recorded the lowest concentration. It is recognized that high S concentration is associated with the accumulation of Cd in plant tissues. This is revealed to the enhancement of sulfate uptake and assimilation by plant, due to the stimulation of Cd accumulation in plant tissues. Cadmium-induced sulfate uptake was related to a higher level of mRNA encoding for a putative high-affinity sulfate transporter in roots (Nocito *et al.*, 2002). In addition, Cd exposure induces the activity of ATP-sulfurylase and 5'- phosphosulfate reductase, and these are the first two enzymes in the sulfate assimilation pathway (Nussbaum *et al.*, 1988)

### Effect of different hydroponic treatments on micronutrients concentration in shoots and roots.

Iron concentration in shoots decreased significantly (p<0.05) in the second and the third treatment (Table 7). Whereas, the concentration of Fe in roots increased. Heavy metals contamination, especially with  $Cd^{2+}$ , inhibited the translocation of Fe from roots into shoots. This led to an accumulation of Fe in roots (Kovács *et al.*, 2010).

Manganese concentration in roots showed a reduction in the second treatment as a result of heavy metals contamination. Perhaps due to the

antagonistic effect between Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions. In addition to a possible antagonism between Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions (Khalid and Tinsley, 1980; Dong *et al.*, 2006; Wu *et al.*, 2007). Meanwhile, a slight increase in Mn concentration in shoots appeared in the second treatment as compared with the control treatment. This is due to the inhibitory effect of water uptake by plants due to heavy metals contamination. The highest concentration of Mn was recorded in the third treatment. This is revealed to the desorption of Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions from the biosorbent material to the nutrient solution as mentioned before.

Zink concentration in both shoots and roots increased significantly in the second treatment as compared with the control treatment. This could be attributed to the synergistic effect between  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  ions (Liu *et al.*, 2003). In addition to a possible synergism between  $Ni^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  ions (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001). Concerning the third treatment, Zn concentration in shoots and roots marked the lowest values. This is revealed to the high ability of the biosorbent material to bind  $Zn^{2+}$  ions (Mosa *et al.*, 2010).

Copper concentration in roots increased significantly as the accumulation of Cd increased in plant tissues. The highest concentration of Cu was associated with the third treatment, which recorded the highest values of Cd accumulation in plant tissues. However, the control treatment was associated with the lowest values of Cu concentration. Synergistic effect between high Cd concentration and Cu uptake and accumulation in roots have been reported (Larbi *et al.*, 2002; Liu *et al.*, 2003). The concentration of Cu in shoots showed a different trend, as it increased in the control treatment, and decreased in the second and third treatment in most cases. These results are in accordance with those obtained by López-Millán *et al.* (2009).

Table 7: Effect of hydroponic treatments on micronutrients concentration (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in shoots and roots of different vegetable crops.

		Micronutrients concentration (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )											
Vegetabl	Vegetable crops		Sho	oots			Roots						
		Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu				
	First	606a	62b	197b	177a	596b	85b	566b	87c				
Radish	Second	444c	66b	206a	145b	720a	71c	680a	94b				
	Third	511b	93a	171c	172a	548c	97a	425c	104a				
	First	437a	49b	163b	57a	490c	74b	348b	53b				
Arugula	Second	319c	52b	217a	47c	743a	50c	690a	55b				
	Third	347b	61a	139c	51b	596b	85a	278c	64a				
	First	365a	57b	172b	110b	681c	99a	500b	36c				
Chicory	Second	280b	61b	219a	125a	840a	91b	615a	40b				
-	Third	357a	68a	157c	107b	783b	71c	232c	49a				
Caraba	First	560a	55a	100a	14a	346	60b	469a	23a				
Corcho-	Second	494b	52a	81b	11b	353	45c	393b	21b				
ius	Third	542a	89b	72c	8c	348	76a	382b	22ab				

Mean values followed by the same letter within treatments are not significantly different ( p < 0.05) according Duncan's multiple range test.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, results obtained from this study increased our knowledge concerning the effect of biosorption process on mitigating heavy metals accumulation in plant tissues. The biosorbent material decreased the concentration of  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$  and  $Ni^{2+}$  ions in the contaminated nutrient solution. Heavy metals contamination decreased fresh and dry weight yield, and altered the concentration of plant nutrients in shoots and roots. The concentration of Pb and Ni decreased significantly in the third treatment comparing with the second treatment, as a result of the biosorption process. However Cd concentration increased, due to reactions between metal ions, which increased Cd uptake. The accumulation of heavy metals in root tissues was higher than shoots, and it reached the excessive levels. Thereby, it is recommended to avoid the consumption of vegetable roots, as it is considered as a pool for accumulating heavy metals. Finally, we should mention that a further hydroponic study should be conducted by changing the plant nutrition technique to the foliar nutrition instead of the nutrient solution to avoid the reaction between plant nutrients, and the investigated heavy metal ions. This will lead to a more consistent background about the effect of biosorption process on heavy metals uptake by plants.

### REFERENCES

- Abul Kashem, M.D., and S. Kawai. 2007 Alleviation of cadmium phytotoxicity by magnesium in Japanese mustard spinach. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 53: 246-251.
- Ali, M.A., M. Ashraf, and H.R. Athar. 2009. Influence of nickel stress on growth and some important physiological/biochemical attributes in some diverse canola (Brassica napus L.) cultivars. J. Hazard Mater.172: 964-969.
- Bansal, P., P. Sharma, and V. Goyal. 2002. Impact of lead and cadmium on enzyme of citric acid cycle in germinating pea seeds. Biologia Plantarum 45: 125-127.
- Calmano, W., J. Hong, and U. Förstner. 1993. Binding and mobilization of heavy metals in contaminated sediments affected by pH and redox potential. Water Sci. Technol. 28: 223-235.
- Cenkci S., I.H., Ciğerci, M. Yıldız, C. Özay, A. Bozdağ, and H. Terzi. 2010. Lead contamination reduces chlorophyll biosynthesis and genomic template stability in Brassica rapa L. Environ. Exp. Bot. 67: 467-473.
- Cheng, S. 2003. Effects of heavy metals on plants and resistance mechanisms. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 10: 256–264.
- Chugh, L.K., and S.K. Sawhney. 1999. Effect of cadmium on activities of some enzymes of glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathway in pea. Biologia Blantarum 42: 401–407. Cooper, A. 1979. The ABC of NFT. Growers Books, London. CoStat Version 6.303 Copyright<sup>®</sup> 1998–2004 CoHort Software798 Lighthouse
- Ave. PMB 320, Monterey, CA, 93940, USA.
- Crupa, Z., G. Öquist, and N.P.A. Huner. 1993. The effects of cadmium on photosynthesis of Phaseolus vulgaris - a fluorescence analysis. Physiologia Plantarum 88: 626–630.

- Devi Prasad, P.V., and P.S. Devi Prasad. 1982. Effect of cadmium, lead and nickel on three freshwater green algae. Water Air Soil Poll. 17: 263-268.
- Dong, J., F.B. Wu, and G.P. Zhang. 2006. Influence of cadmium on antioxidant capacity and four microelement concentrations in tomato seedlings (Lycopersicon esculentum). Chemosphere 64: 1659-1666.

Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple Range and Multiple F Test. Biometrics 11: 11-44.

- Espen, L., L. Pirovano, and S.M. Cocucci. 1997. Effect of Ni<sup>2+</sup> during the early phase of radish (Raphanus sativus) seed germination. Environ. Exp. Bot. 38: 187–197.
- Ewais, E.A. 1997. Effect of cadmium, nickel and lead on growth, chlorophyll content and proteins of weeds. Biol. Plantarum. 39: 403-410.
- Gajewska, E., M. Skłodowska, M. Słaba, and J. Mazur. 2006. Effect of nickel on antioxidative enzyme activities, proline and chlorophyll contents in wheat shoots. Biol. Plantarum. 50: 653-659.
- Ghoshroy, S., and M.J. Nadakavukaren. 1990. Influence of cadmium on the ultrastructure of developing chloroplasts in soybean and corn. Environ. Exp. Bot. 30: 187-192.
- Gomez, K.A., and A.A. Gomez. 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.
- Gopal, R., and A.H. Rizvi. 2008. Excess lead alters growth, metabolism and translocation of certain nutrients in radish. Chemosphere 70: 1539– 1544.
- Guo, X., S. Zhang, X.Q. Shan, L. Luo, Z. Pei, Y.G. Zhu, T. Liu, Y.N. Xie, and A. Gualt. 2006. Characterization of Pb, Cu, and Cd adsorption on particulate organic matter in soil. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 25: 2366-2373.
- Harrison R.M., and D.P.H. Laxen. 1984. Lead Pollution: Causes and Control. Chapman and Hall Ltd.
- Hawari, A.H., and C.N. Mulligan. 2005. Biosorption of lead(II), cadmium(II), copper(II) and nickel(II) by anaerobic granular biomass. Bioresource Technol. 97: 692-700.
- Henriksen, G.H., and R.M. Spanswick. 1993. Investigation of the apparent induction of nitrate uptake in barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) using NO3 selective microelectrodes. Plant Physiol. 103: 885-892.
- Hernández, L.E., A. Gárate, and R. Carpena-Ruiz. 1997. Effects of cadmium on the uptake, distribution and assimilation of nitrate in Pisum sativum. Plant Soil 189: 97–106
- Jiang, W., D. Liu, and W. Hou. 2000. Hyperaccumulation of lead by roots, hypocotyls, and shoots of Brassica juncea. Biol. Plantarum. 43: 603-606
- Jozef, K., K. Borivoj, K. Jana, and B. Martin. 2009. Physiology of Matricaria chamomilla exposed to nickel excess. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 72: 603–609. Kabata-Pendias, A., and H. Pendias. 2001. Trace Elements in Soils and
- Plants. Third Edition. CRC press.
- Kastori, R., M. Petrovic, and N. Petrovic. 1992. Effect of excess lead, cadmium, copper, and zinc on water relations in sunflower. J. Plant Nutr. 15: 2427–2439.
- Kelderman, P., and A.A. Osman. 2007. Effect of redox potential on heavy metal binding forms in polluted canal sediments in Delft (The Netherlands). Water Res. 41: 4251–4261 Khalid, B.Y., and J. Tinsley. 1980. Some effects of nickel toxicity on ryegrass.
- Plant Soil 55: 139-144.

- Kovács, K., E. Kuzmann, A. Vértes, L. Lévai, E. Cseh, and F. Fodor. 2010. Effect of cadmium on iron uptake in cucumber roots: A Mössbauerspectroscopic study. Plant Soil 327: 49-56
- Larbi, A., F. Morales, A. Abadía, Y. Gogorcena, J.J. Lucena, and J. Abadía. 2002. Effects of Cd and Pb in sugar beet plants grown in nutrient solution: induced Fe deficiency and growth inhibition. Funct. Plant Biol. 29: 1453–1464.
- Liu, J., K.Q. Li, J.K. Xu, J.S. Liang, X.L. Lu, J.C. Yang, and Q.S. Zhu. 2003. Interaction of Cd and five mineral nutrients for uptake and accumulation in different rice cultivars and genotypes. Field Crop Res. 83: 271–281.
- López-Millán, A., R. Sagardoy, M. Solanas, A. Abadía, and J. Abadía. 2009. Cadmium toxicity in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) plants grown in hydroponics. Environ. Exp. Bot. 65: 376-385.
- Marschner, H. 1995. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants (2nd edition). ACADEMIC PRESS Harcourt Brace & Company, Publishers London San Diego New York Boston Sydney Tokyo Tornto.
- Mishra, D., and M. Kar. 1974. Nickel in plant growth and metabolism. Bot. Rev. 40: 395-452.
- Mosa, A.A., A.M. El-Ghamry, and P. Trüby. 2011. Chemically modified crop residues as a low-cost technique for the removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater. Water Air Soil Poll. 217: 637-647.
- Nocito, F.F., L. Pirovano, M. Cocucci, and G.A. Sacchi. 2002. Cadmium-Induced Sulfate Uptake in Maize Roots. Plant Physiol. 129: 1872-1879.
- Nussbaum, S., D. Schmutz, and C. Brunold. 1988. Regulation of assimilatory sulfate reduction by cadmium in Zea mays L. Plant Physiol. 88: 1407-1410
- Phipps, D.A. 1981. Effect of Heavy Metal Pollution on Plants, ed. Lepp. N.W.
- Applied Science Publisher, London. Pinchasov, Y., T. Berner, and Z. Dubinsky. 2006. The effect of lead on photosynthesis, as determined by photoacoustics in Synechococcus leopoliensis (Cyanobacteria). Water Air Soil Poll. 175: 117–125.
- Qadir, M., D. Wichelns, L. Raschid-Sally, P.G. McCornick, P. Drechsel, A. Bahri, and P.S. Minhas. 2010. The challenges of wastewater irrigation in developing countries. Agric. Water Manage. 97: 561-568. Rehman, H., M. Shakirullah, I. Ahmad, and H. Sheshah. 2006. Sorption
- studies of nickel ions onto sawdust of Dalbergia sissoo. J. Chin. Chem. Soc-Taip. 53: 1045–1052
- Romanowska, E., A.U. Igamberdiev, E. Parys, and P. Gardestron. 2002. Stimulation of respiration by Pb<sup>2+</sup> in detached leaves and mitochondria of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants. Physiol. Plantarum. 116: 148-154.
- Salomons, W., and U. Förstner. 1984. Metals in the Hydrocycle. Springer, New York.
- Senesi, N., G. Sposito, and R. Bradford. 1989. Iron, Copper, and Manganese Complexation by Forest Leaf Litter. Forest Sci. 35: 1040-1057.
- Shentu, J., Z. He, X. Yang, and T. Li. 2008. Accumulation Properties of Cadmium in a Selected Vegetable-Rotation System of Southeastern China. J. Agr. Food Chem. 56: 6382–6388.
- Teresa, M., S.D. Vasconcelos, M. Fernanda, and C. Leal. 2002. Antagonistic interactions of Pb and Cd on Cu uptake, growth inhibition and chelator release in the marine algae Emiliania huxleyi. Mar. Chem. 75: 123-139.

- Tüzen, M. 2003. Determination of heavy metals in soil, mushroom and plant samples by atomic absorption spectrometry. Microchem. J. 74: 289-297
- Van der Vliet, L., C. Peterson, and B. Hale. 2007. Cd accumulation in roots and shoots of durum wheat: the roles of transpiration rate and apoplastic bypass. J. Exp. Bot.58: 2939-2947.
- Vázquez, M.D., C. Poschenrieder, and J. Barceló. 1992. Ultrastructural effects and localization of low cadmium concentrations in bean roots. New Phytologist 120: 215–226. Vegliò, F., F. Beolchini, and M. Prisciandaro. 2003. Sorption of copper by

olive mill residues. Water Res. 37: 4895-4903

Verma, S., and R.S. Dubey. 2003. Lead toxicity induces lipid peroxidation and alters the activities of antioxidant enzymes in growing rice plants. Plant Sci. 164: 645-655.

Volesky, B. 2001. Detoxification of metal-bearing effluents: biosorption for the next century. Hydrometallurgy 59: 203–16.

- Wan Nagh, W.S., and M.A.K.M. Hanafiah. 2008. Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater by chemically modified plant wastes as adsorbents: A review. Bioresource Technol. 99: 3935–3948.
- Wang, Q.W., and H. Song. 2009. Calcium protects Trifolium repens L. seedlings against cadmium stress. Plant Cell Rep. 28: 1341–1349.
- Winpenny, J., I. Heinz, S. Koo-Oshima, M. Salgot, J. Collado, F. Hernandez, and F. Torricelli. 2010. The wealth of waste: The Economic of Wastewater Use in Agriculture Paper No 35. FAO, Rome.
- Wu, F.B., G.P. Zhang, P. Dominy, H.X. Wu, and D.M.L. Bachir. 2007. Differences in yield components and kernel Cd accumulation in response to Cd toxicity in four barley genotypes. Chemosphere 70: 83-92.
- Xiao, W., L. Chao, Q. Chunxiang, H. Hao, L. Xiaoqing, C. Liang, S. Mingyu, and H. Fashui. 2008. Influences of lead (II) chloride on the nitrogen metabolism of spinach. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 121, 258-265.

# هل عملية الامتصاص الحيوي قادرة على تقليل إجهاد العناصر الثقيلة ؟

**أحمد علي موسي** قسم الأراضي، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنصورة هنك نقص في المعلومات فيما يتعلق بتأثير عملية الامتصاص الحيوي علي نمو النبات تحت تأثير هذاك نقص في المعلومات فيما يتعلق بتأثير عملية الامتصاص الحيوي علي نمو النبات تحت تأثير إجهاد العناصر الثقيلة. لهَّذا الغرض، أجريت تجربة مزارع مائية لتقييم قدرة حطَّب القَّطن المعامل بهيدروكسيد الصوديوم كمادة مدمصة لدرأ التأثير الضار للكادميوم والرصاص والنيكل علي بعض محاصيل الخصر تكونت التجربة من 3 معاملات للمزارع المائية وهي محلول غذائي ملوث مع أو بدون المادة المدمصة بالإضافة إلى معاملة المقارنة (محلول غذائي غير ملوث). أز الت المادة المدمصة كميات كبيرة من العناصر الثقيلة من المحلول الغذائي الملوث خاصة أبونات الرصاص. أدت العناصر الثقيلة إلى تقليل المحصول الطازج والجاف للمجموعين الخضَّري والجذري. تأثُّر تركيزُ العناصر الكبري والصغري بالتَّلوث بالعناصر الثقيلة. أرداد تراكم العُناصر الثقيلةُ في الجذورَ مقارنة بالمجموع الخضري. وصِل تركيز العناصر الثقيلة في الجذور إلى الحدود الحرجة خاصة في المعاملة الثانية (بدون المادة المدمصّة). أدت المادة المدمصة إلى تقليل تراكم

الرَّصاصُ والنيكل في المجموَّعين الخضري والُجذَري. بينما ازداد تركيز النيكل. إجمالا يمكن القول أن حطب القطن المعامل بهيدروكسيد الصوديوم يمكن أن يكون مادة مدمصة واعدة لإزالة العناصر الثقيلة من المياه العادمة قبل الري.

### قام بتحكيم البحث

أد / احمد عبد القادر طه

أد / احمد عثمان محمد عبد النبى

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة مركز البحوث الزراعية