GENETIC IMPROVEMENT FOR PROTEIN CONTENT AND SOME AGRONOMIC TRAITS IN A WHITE MAIZE POPULATION

O.M. Saad El-Deen⁽¹⁾, H.E. Yassien⁽¹⁾, E.F.M. El-Hashash⁽¹⁾, A.A. Barakat⁽²⁾, A. A. M. Afife⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University

⁽²⁾ Maize research section, Field crops research institute, Agricultural research center, Egypt.

(Received: Feb. 3, 2015)

ABSTRACT: This study was carried out at Gemmeiza and Sids Experimental Stations, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Egypt. During the two- successive seasons from 2011 and 2012. In 2011 summer season, at Gemmeiza Agricultural Research Station, the S₁ recurrent selection procedure was applied in the new improved cycle (C_0) in the white population (AED Pop.). One hundred and twenty one ears with enough seeds were selected and each was divided into two parts, the first one was assigned for evaluating all studied traits and protein analysis. The second part was kept as remnant S₁ seeds for developing the second cycle for each population. In 2012 summer season, at Gemmeiza and Sids Research Stations, one evaluation trial was conducted. The trial was executed Randomize Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Data were recorded for grain yield its component and protein yield.

Mean squares of the protein yield (kg/fed), yield components and agronomic characters were highly significant for all studied traits, indicating that the 121 S₁ progenies of the original cycle (C_0) for this population were different in such traits. Mean squares of genotype x location interaction were highly significant for all characters, indicating that the 121 S_1 progenies were differed in their protein yield and the behavior of these progenies were significantly differed from location to another in this respect for this population. Genotypic and phenotypic variances of protein yield (kg/fed) of 121 S1 progenies were 2921.92 and 3050.52 at Gemmeiza and 5884.95 and 6403.74 at Sids, respectively. Heritability in broad sense and expected gain from selection of protein yield (kg/fed) of 121 S1 progenies were 95.78 and 65.13 at Gemmeiza and 91.90 and 38.10 at Sids, respectively. Estimates of heritability in broad sense of 121 S₁ progenies were highly vales for protein yield, grain yield and some other traits of yield components at Gemmeiza and Sids, indicating that, S1 recurrent selection method were effective to improving of these traits. Mean of protein yield (kg/fed) of 121 S_1 progenies in the original cycle (C_0) for the population AED were 143.0±5.02 and 277.4±5.94 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively. While, mean of protein yield (kg/fed) of the highest 10% (12 S₁ progenies) in the same cycle (C_0) were 214.3±17.01 and 373.5±12.53 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively.

Key words: Maize, population improvement, protein content.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in Egypt. It ranks the third among cereal crops, after wheat and rice. Maize is used as food, feed, and fodder. It also has several industrial uses such as oil extraction, starch, gluten, alcohol, glucose and ethanol production and many more products.

The main advantage of recurrent selection is to increase the frequency of favorable alleles for the quantitative traits with maintenance of the additive genetic variance (amenable to selection) of such traits in the improved population. S₁ recurrent selection is widely used as an easy and highly efficient procedure for intrapopulation improvement in maize. Selection based on S_1 progeny performance is effective in utilizing the additive genetic variance in a better way than other intrapopulation improvement methods and presents an opportunity for selection against major deleterious recessive genes that become homozygous with inbreeding

(Tanner and Smith, 1987; Hallauer and Miranda, 1988).

(Zea Globally, maize mays L.) contributes 15% of the protein and 20% of the calories derived from food crops in the world's diet (National Research Council, 1988). In many developing countries in Latin America. Africa, and Asia, maize is the staple food and sometimes the only source of protein in diet. Besides using maize grain as human food, it also contributes 70% of animal feed and as an important secondary source for high quality vegetable oil, as well as many industrial purposes. Grain quality is an important objective in corn breeding (Mazur et al., 1999 and Wang and Larkins, 2001). In corn grain, a typical hybrid cultivar contains approximately 4% oil, 9% protein, 73% starch, and14% other constituents (mostly fiber).

Breeding for improved protein quality in maize began in the mid-1960s with the discovery of mutants, such as opaque-2, that produce enhanced levels of lysine and tryptophan, the two amino acids deficient in maize endosperm proteins. However. adverse pleiotropic effects imposed severe constraints on successful exploitation of these mutants (Prasanna et al., 2001). The International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) has developed" Quality Protein Maize" (QPM) that has improved kernel quality characteristics over opaque-2 soft genotypes, by introducing modifier genes and selecting for a hard, vitreous germplasm endosperm opaque-2 2002). (Rodrigues and Chaves, The biological value of the common maize protein is equivalent to approximately 40% of the biological value of milk protein, while for QPM maize this value is 90% (National Research Council, 1988). The CIMMYT

QPM populations, pools, inbreds, and hybrids adapted to subtropical and tropical environments are widely used in the development of high-lysine maize in Brazil, China, Ghana, India, and several Latin American countries (Vasal, 2001).

The main objectives of the present study were to study:

- The effectiveness of S₁ recurrent selection procedure for improving protein percentage, yield and some of its components and other agronomic characters in two maize populations *i.e.*, A.E.D. white population and G.M.Y. yellow population.
- 2- The genotypic and phenotypic variance components, beside some of statistical and genetical parameters in the two cycles (C_0 and C_1) of the two populations for different studied traits.

MATREIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at two experimental research stations, Gemmeiza and Sids, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Egypt, during the two - successive seasons started from 2011 and 2012. The name, origin, pedigree and protein percentage of the maize population used in this study are presented in Table 1.

In 2011 summer season, at Gemmeiza Agricultural Research Station, the S_1 recurrent selection procedure was applied in the new improved cycle (C_0) in the AED Population. One hundred and twenty one ears with enough grains were selected and each was divided into two parts, the first one was assigned for evaluating all studied traits and protein analysis. The second part was kept as remnant S_1 grains for developing the second cycle for each population.

 Table (1): The name, origin, pedigree and protein percentage of the maize population used in this study.

Population	Origin	Padigraa	Protein%
	Oligin	redigiee	
AED (white)	CIMMYT	Open pollinated exotic population	9.8

In 2012 summer season, at Gemmeiza and Sids Research Stations, one evaluation trial was conducted. The trial experiment was executed in Randomize Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Data were recorded for grain yield and protein percentage.

The data were recorded on protein yield (Kg/fed.), grain yield (ard/fed), ear length, ear diameter, number of rows/ear, number of kernels/row, 100- kernel weight, plant height, ear height, ear position%, days to 50% tassling, days to 50% silking and resistance of late wilt%.

According to Singh and Chaudhary (1977), the available MS was used to estimate the following genetical parameters for separate and combined data.

Estimation of $\sigma^2 g$, $\sigma^2 g l$ and $\sigma^2 p h$ were calculated for the yield evaluation of S₁ families of C₀ and these values were to calculate, heritability of S₁ families (h² b) and consequently expected genetic advance per cycle from the following equation as given by Singh and Chaudhary (1977):

- 1- Genotypic variance (σ_g^2) s and (σ_g^2) c and genotypic variance x location interaction $(\sigma_{gx}^2 L)$.
- **2-** Phenotypic variance (σ_{ph}^2) s and (σ_{ph}^2) c and Phenotypic variance x location interaction $(\sigma_{ph}^2 \times L)$
- 3- Heritability in broad sense h²_b = σ²_g / σ²_{ph} x 100
 4- Expected genetic gain from selection ΣG % = 100 K h² σph / X Where: K =selection intensity for (10 %)
 - = 1.76, h^2 = heritability of S₁ family, σ ph = phenotypic standard deviation, and x = mean performances

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Protein yield and yield components :

1-Analysis of variance and genetic parameters for 121 S₁ progenies:

Analysis of variance for protein yield (kg/fed), grain yield (ard/fed) and its components traits for 121 S_1 progenies for cycle (C₁) at the two locations Gemmeiza and Sids for AED population (Tables 2 and 3).

Table (2):	Mean s	squares	s from	ana	alysis	s of	variance f	or p	orote	in yie	ld, grain yi	eld and	its
	compo	nents	traits	for	121	S_1	progenies	in	the	AED	population	tested	at
Gemmeiza and Sids in 2012 season.													

					M. S.								
S. O. V.	d.f	Protein	Grain	Ear	Ear	No. of	No. of	100					
		yield	yield	length diameter		rows /	kernels/	kernel					
		(kg/fed)	(ard/fed)	(cm)	(cm)	ear	row	weight					
								(g)					
Gemmeiza													
Rep.	2	4070.99	21.16	13.09	0.50	5.37	329.09	295.21					
Genotypes	120	9151.55**	43.77**	4.61**	0.24**	3.24**	21.64**	12.57**					
Error	240	385.78	1.82	1.54	0.08	1.14	9.30	1.41					
C.V.%		13.74	13.46	7.53	6.26	7.38	8.81	2.85					
				Sids									
Rep.	2	2241.35	10.89	3.89	0.06	6.02	24.28	10.71					
Genotypes	120	12807.47**	57.84**	5.84**	0.11**	4.48**	14.46**	28.14**					
Error	240	1037.57	5.16	0.61	0.02	1.27	3.82	4.52					
C.V.%		11.61	11.68	4.42	3.11	7.86	5.19	5.95					

** Significant at 0.01 levels of probability.

C.V.% Coefficient of Variability

Table (3): Mean squares from analysis of variance for some agronomic and Resistance to late wilt % traits in 121 S₁ progenies in the AED population tested at Gemmeiza and Sids in 2012 season.

	d.f	M. S.											
S. O. V.		Days to 50 %	Days to 50 %	Plant height	Ear height	Ear Position	Resistance to late wilt						
		tassling	silking	(CIII)	(CIII)	70	70						
Gemmeiza													
Replications	2	37.10	43.44	4556.20	3125.83	44.04	0.30						
Genotypes	120	9.95**	11.80**	728.98**	479.24**	70.05**	95.49**						
Error	240	0.84	1.11	161.56	90.72	18.01	13.35						
C.V.%		1.51	1.72	6.97	8.39	6.80	3.90						
			S	Sids									
Replications	2	6.25	55.57	37.65	6.25	0.05	64.73						
Genotypes	120	14.88**	13.95**	708.22**	399.23**	24.03**	187.70**						
Error	240	1.52	1.35	90.36	67.40	7.00	34.97						
C.V.%		2.16	1.97	4.42	7.42	5.16	6.40						

** Significant at 0.01 levels of probability.

C.V.% Coefficient of Variability

Mean squares of the protein yield (kg/fed), grain yield (ard/fed) and its components and agronomic characters were highly significant for all studied traits, indicating that the S_1 progenies of the original cycle (C_0) for this population were different in such traits. At the same time, mean squares of genotype x location interaction were highly significant for all characters, indicating that the 121 S_1 progenies were differed in their protein yield and the behavior of these progenies were significantly differed from location to another.

2- Estimates of genetic parameters for 121 S₁ progenies:

Estimates of genetic parameters for the protein yield (kg/fed) and yield components and agronomic characters in the original cycle (C_0) at the two locations in AED Population (Tables 4 and 5).

Genotypic and phenotypic variances of protein yield (kg/fed) of 121 S_1 progenies were 2921.92 and 3050.52 at Gemmeiza

and 5884.95 and 6403.74 at Sids, respectively. Genotypic and phenotypic variances of grain yield (ard/fed) of 121 S₁ progenies were 20.98 and 21.89 at Gemmeiza and 26.34 and 28.92 at Sids, respectively. For ear length (cm), genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S₁ progenies were 1.02 and 2.05 at Gemmeiza and 2.62 and 2.92 at Sids, respectively (Table 4).

For ear diameter (cm), genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S₁ progenies were 0.08 and 0.12 at Gemmeiza and 0.05 and 0.06 at Sids, respectively. For number of rows/ear, genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S1 progenies were 0.70 and 1.43 at Gemmeiza and 1.61 and 2.24 at respectively. For Sids. number of kernels/rows, genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S₁ progenies were 6.17 and 10.82 at Gemmeiza and 5.32 and 7.23 at Sids, respectively. For 100-kerenl weight (g), genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S_1 progenies were 3.72 and 6.05 at

Saad El-Deen, et al.,

Gemmeiza and 11.81 and 14.07 at Sids, respectively (Table 4).

Table (4): Estimates of genotypic and phenotypic variance (σ^2 g and σ^2 ph), heritability in broad sense (h^2_b %) and gain from selection (Δ g%) for protein yield (kg/fed) and its components traits in the AED population tested at Gemmeiza and Sids in 2012 season.

	trait											
Parameter	Protein yield (kg/fed)	Grain yield (ard/fed)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	No. of rows / ear	No. of kernels / row	100 kernels weight (g)					
			Gemme	iza								
σ²g	2921.92	20.98	1.02	0.08	0.70	6.17	3.72					
σ²ph	3050.52	21.89	2.05	0.12	1.43	10.82	6.05					
h² _b %	95.78	95.84	49.96	66.67	48.95	57.02	61.49					
Δ g %	65.13	64.10	7.63	51.14	7.51	9.05	5.32					
			Sids									
σ²g	5884.95	26.34	2.62	0.05	1.61	5.32	11.81					
σ²ph	6403.74	28.92	2.92	0.06	2.24	7.23	14.07					
h ² _b %	91.90	91.08	89.55	81.82	71.65	73.58	83.94					
Δ g %	38.10	36.18	12.49	6.33	10.73	7.53	12.66					

Table (5): Estimates of genotypic and phenotypic variance (δ^2 g and δ^2 ph), heritability in broad sense ($h^2_{\ b}$ %) and gain from selection (Δ g%) for other agronomic traits in the AED population tested at Gemmeiza and Sids in 2012 season.

	trait													
Parameter	Days to 50 % tassling	Days to 50 % silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear Position %	Resistance to late wilt %								
	Gemmeiza													
σ²g	4.56	3.56	283.71	129.51	26.02	27.38								
σ²ph	4.98	5.72	364.49	224.50	35.03	45.52								
h² _b %	91.56	62.35	77.84	57.69	74.29	60.15								
∆g %	4.84	3.54	11.71	11.31	10.11	6.38								
			Sids		-									
σ²g	6.68	6.30	308.93	165.92	8.52	76.37								
σ²ph	7.44	6.98	354.11	199.62	12.02	93.85								
h² _b %	89.78	90.32	87.24	83.12	70.87	81.37								
∆g %	6.16	5.82	10.96	15.26	6.88	12.27								

According days to 50% tassling, (Table 5) genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S₁ progenies were 4.56 and 4.98 at Gemmeiza and 6.68 and 7.44 at Sids, respectively. According days to 50% silking, genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S₁ progenies were 3.56 and 5.72 at Gemmeiza and 6.30 and 6.98 at Sids, respectively. According plant height (cm), genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S₁ progenies were 283.71 and 364.49 at Gemmeiza and 308.93 and 354.11 at Sids, respectively. According ear height (cm), genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S1 progenies were 129.51 and 224.50 at Gemmeiza and 165.92 and 199.62 at Sids, respectively. For ear position%, genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S₁ progenies were 26.02 and 35.05 at Gemmeiza and 8.52 and 12.02 at Sids, respectively. For late wilt%, genotypic and phenotypic variances of 121 S₁ progenies were 27.38 and 45.52 at Gemmeiza and 76.37 and 93.85 at Sids, respectively (Table 5).

Estimates of heritability in broad sense (h_b^2) % and gain from selection (Δg %) for the protein yield (kg/fed) and its components and agronomic characters in the original cycle (C_0) at the two locations in AED population are presented in (Tables 4 and 5).

Heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of protein yield (kg/fed) of 121 S₁ progenies were 95.78 and 65.13 at Gemmeiza and 91.90 and 38.10 at Sids, respectively. Heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S₁ progenies were 95.84 and 64.10 at Gemmeiza and 91.08 and 36.18 at Sids for grain yield (ard/fed), respectively. For ear length (cm), heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S₁ progenies were 49.96 and 7.63 at Gemmeiza and 89.55 and 12.49 at Sids, respectively. Heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S₁ progenies were 66.67 and 51.14 at Gemmeiza and 81.82 and 6.33 at Sids for ear diameter (cm), respectively (Table 4).

For number of rows/ear, heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S_1 progenies were 48.95 and 7.51 at Gemmeiza and 71.65 and 10.73 at Sids, respectively. For number of kernels/rows, Heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S_1 progenies were 57.02 and 9.05 at Gemmeiza and 73.58 and 7.53 at Sids, respectively. For 100-kerenl weight (g), heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S_1 progenies were 61.49 and 5.32 at Gemmeiza and 83.94 and 12.66 at Sids, respectively (Table 4).

50% According days to tassling, heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S₁ progenies were 91.56 and 4.84 at Gemmeiza and 89.78 and 6.16 at Sids, respectively. According days to 50% silking, heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S₁ progenies were 62.35 and 3.54 at Gemmeiza and 90.32 and 5.82 at Sids, respectively. According plant height (cm), heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S₁ progenies were 77.84 and 11.71 at Gemmeiza and 87.24 and 10.96 at Sids, respectively. According ear height (cm), heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 $S_{\rm 1}$ progenies were 57.69 and 11.31 at Gemmeiza and 83.12 and 15.26 at Sids, respectively. For ear position%, heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S₁ progenies were 74.29 and 10.11 at Gemmeiza and 70.87 and 6.88 at Sids, respectively. For late wilt%, heritability in broad sense and gain from selection of 121 S₁ progenies were 60.15 and 6.38 at Gemmeiza and 81.37 and 12.27 at Sids, respectively (Table 5).

Sadek *et al.* (1986) found that heritability estimates in broad sense in AED were 49.2, 22.9, 32.8, 42.2, 25.0, 13.6 and 23.4% for silking date, plant height, late wilt resistance, grain yield, 100-kernels weight, number of rows/ear and ear length, respectively. While, in Gemmeiza-7421 population, heritability estimates were 55.0, 57.9, 37.3, 75.2, 66.0, 61.0 55.2 and 45.3 for the same traits, respectively. Singh *et al.* (1989) found that the expected genetic gain was higher in the

Saad El-Deen, et al.,

spring season. Coors (1988) showed that heritability estimates in broad sense were 76% for S₁ family for grain yield. Nawar *et al.* (1995) found that the highest estimates of the expected genetic advance from different selection methods obtained from full-sib family selection either based on S₁ or S₂. Soliman *et al.* (1999) indicated that the expected genetic gain from selection of the best 10% families were 31.70 and 7.41% for grain yield and late wilt resistance, respectively. Barakat (2003) found that the expected genetic gain from selection of the best 10% families was high and in the better direction for all studied traits.

Generally, estimates of heritability in broad sense of $121 S_1$ progenies were highly vales for protein yield, grain yield and some other traits of yield components at Gemmeiza and Sids indicating that, S_1 recurrent selection method were effective to improving of these traits.

3- Mean performances of 121 S₁ and 12 S₁ progenies of AED Population:

Mean of the protein yield (kg/fed) and its components and agronomic characters for 121 S₁ progenies and the highest selected 10 % (12 S₁ progenies) in the original cycle (C₀) for the AED population at two locations are presented in (Table 6).

Mean of protein yield (kg/fed) of 121 S₁ progenies in the original cycle (C₀) were 143.0 \pm 5.02 and 277.4 \pm 5.94 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively. While, mean of protein yield (kg/fed) of the highest 10% (12 S₁ progenies) in the same cycle (C₀) were 214.3 \pm 17.01 and 373.5 \pm 12.53 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively. Mean of grain yield (ard/fed) of 121 S₁ progenies in the original cycle (C₀) were 10.0 \pm 0.35 and 19.5 \pm 0.40 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively. While, mean of grain yield (ard/fed) of the highest 10% (12 S₁ progenies) in the same cycle (C₀) were 14.7 \pm 1.31 and 26.1 \pm 1.04 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively.

Mean of ear length (cm) of 121 S_1 progenies in the original cycle (C_0) were

16.5±0.11 and 17.7±0.13 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively. While, mean of ear length (cm) of the highest 10% (12 S_1 progenies) in the same cycle (C_0) were 17.2±0.37 and at Gemmeiza and Sids, 19.0±0.37 respectively. Mean of ear diameter (cm) of 121 S_1 progenies in the original cycle (C_0) were 4.7±0.03 and 4.6±0.02 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively. While, mean of ear diameter (cm) of the highest 10% (12 S₁ progenies) in the same cycle (C_0) were 4.3±0.08 and 4.4±0.03 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively. Mean of number of rows/ear for 121 S₁ progenies in the original cycle (C₀) were 14.5±0.09 and 14.3±0.11 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively. While, mean of number of rows/ear for the highest 10% (12 S_1 progenies) in the same cvcle (C₀) were 14.9±0.30 and 14.7±0.19 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively.

Mean of number of kernels/row for 121 S_1 progenies in the original cycle (C_0) were 34.6±0.24, and 37.7±0.20 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively, While, mean of number of kernels/row for the highest 10% (12 S₁ progenies) in the same cycle (C_0) were 36.5±0.83 and 38.8±0.38 at Gemmeiza, and Sids, respectively. Mean of 100-kernels weight (g) of 121 S₁ progenies in the original cycle (C_0) for the population (A) were 41.7±0.19 and 35.7±0.28 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively. While, mean of 100kernels weight (g) of the highest 10% (12 S₁ progenies) in the same cycle (C_0) were 40.5±0.62 and 36.6±0.60 at Gemmeiza and Sids, respectively.

Mean of days to 50% tassling, days to 50% silking, plant height (cm), ear height (cm), ear position% and resistance of late wilt % of 121 S₁ progenies in the original cycle (C_0) for the AED population 60.6±0.17, 182.3±1.42, 61.4±0.18. 113.5±1.15. 62.5±0.44 and 93.7±0.51 at Gemmeiza and 57.2±0.20, 59.0±0.20, 215.1±1.40, 110.6±1.05, 51.3±0.26 and 92.4±0.72 at Sids, respectively. While, mean of the same traits of the highest 10% (12 S₁ progenies) in the same cycle (C_0) were 59.3±0.50, 59.8±0.49. 186.9±5.02. 117.3±4.19. 117.3±4.19 and 95.4±2.24 at Gemmeiza and

56.0±0.49, 57.4±0.40, 225.2±3.98, 115.8±3.68, 63.2±1.62 and 96.0±1.06 at

Sids, respectively.

Table 6

Saad El-Deen, et al.,

Table 6

Finally, the S₁ per se selection method was effective in improvement of the two populations under study. Mittelmann et al. (2003) found that genetic gain estimates for protein content varied from 4.07 to 8.97 %. The lowest estimates of genetic gain were observed for oil content. They indicated that estimates of additive variance for protein and oil content in maize populations were significant. Ajala et al. (2009), found that the grain yield varied from 1 to 4 t/ha for the S1 families and 2.5 to 6.6 t/ha for the half-sibs. The means showed that S_1 selection for plant height and grain yield were greatly reduced when compared with the other two methods. Gamea (2010), found that the average increasing rate of grain yield per cycle was 9.7% in the LOCAL Pop. and 6.6% in the Pop-59E. Gupta et al. (2013) found that, Vivek Quality Protein Maize (QPM) 9, the improved QPM hybrid, showed 41% increase in tryptophan and 30% increase in lysine over the original hybrid. Okporie et al. (2013), found that, genetic gains were 2.1% from protein, 0.1% from lysine, 1.4% from oil, 0.5% for amylpectin and 3.7% from sugar. While, genetic losses of -0.1 days in the number of days to 50% tasseling and -2.5 days in the number of days to 50% silking.

REFERENCES

- Ajala, S. O., A. Menkir, A. Y. Kamara, S. O. Alabi and M. S. Abdulai (2009). Breeding strategies to improve maize for adaptation to low soil nitrogen in West and Central Africa. Afric. J. of Crop Sci. 8: 87 - 94.
- Barakat, A.A., M.A. Abd El-Moula and A.A. Ahmed (2003). Combining ability for maize grain yield and its attributes under different environments. Assiut J. Agric. Sci. 34(3): 15-25.
- Coors, J. G. (1988). Response to four cycles of combined half-sib and S_1 family selection in maize. Crop Sci., 28: 891 896.

- Gamea, H. A. A. (2010). Genetic improvement of yield and oil percentage in maize. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt
- Gupta, H.S., B. Raman, P.K. Agrawal, F. Hossain and N. Thirunavukkarasu (2013). Accelerated development of quality protein maize hybrids through marker-assisted introgression of *opaque-*2 allele. Plant Breeding 132: 77-82.
- Hallauer, A. R. and J. B. Miranda (1988). Quantitative Genetics in Maize Breeding. 2ed edition. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Ia, U.S.A.
- Mazur, B., E. Krebbers and S. Tingey (1999). Gene discovery and product development for grain quality traits. Science, 285:372-375.
- Mittelmann, A., J. B. Miranda, G. J. M. Lima, C. Haraklein and R. T. Tanaka (2003). Potential of the ESA23B Maize population for protein and oil content improvement. Sci. Agric., 60(2):319-327.
- National Research Council (1988). Quality Protein Maize. National Academic Press, Washington, D.C., USA. pp. 41-54.
- Nawar, A. A., F. A. Hendawy, S. A. Shamarka, A. M. Shehata and M. M. M. Asfour (1995). Estimation of some genetical parameters in a composite maize cultivars. Menofiya J. of Agric., Res., 20: 463-482.
- Okporie, E. O., S. C. Chukwu and G. C. Onyishi (2013). Phenotypic recurrent selection for increase yield and chemical constituents of maize (*Zea mays* L.). World Applied Sciences Journal 21 (7): 994-999.
- Prasanna, B. M., S. K. Vasal, B. Kassahun and N. N. Singh (2001). Quality protein maize. Curr. Sci., 81:1308-1319.
- Rodrigues, M. C. and L. J. Chaves (2002). Heterosis and its components in crosses among high quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.). Crop Breed. Appl. Biotech., 2:281-290.
- Sadek, E. S., H. A. El-Itriby and A. H. Shehata (1986). Excepted and actual gain from full-sib family selection in two maize populations. Egypt J. Genet. Cytol. 15: 297-306.

Genetic improvement for protein content and some agronomic traits in

- Singh, V. K., B. D. Singh, J. P. Shahi and R. P. Singh (1989). Estimates of genetic variance in a population of maize. Crop Improvement, 16: 29-33.
- Soliman, F. H. S., A. A. Mahmoud, F. A. El-Zeir and Afaf A. I. Gaber (1999). Genetic variance, heritability and genetic gain form S_1 family selection in a yellow maize population. Egypt. J. plant Breed. 3:127-137.
- Tanner, A. H. and D. S. Smith (1987). Comparison of half-sib and S₁ recurrent

selection in the Krug Yellow Dent maize populations. Crop Sci. 27: 509-513.

- Vasal, S. K. (2001). High quality protein corn. In: "Specialty Corns" (ed. Hallauer, A.R.), CRC Press, Boca Raton FL:85-129.
- Wang, X. L. and B. A. Larkins (2001). Genetic analysis of amino acid accumulation in *opaque-2* maize endosperm. Plant Physiol.,125:1766-1777.

التحسين الوراثى لمحتوي البروتين و بعض الصفات المحصولية فى عشيرة بيضاء من الذرة الشامية أسامة محمد سعدالدين حرب⁽¹⁾، حمزة السيد يس⁽¹⁾، عصام فتحي محمد الحشاش⁽¹⁾، عفيفي عبدالمعبود بركات⁽²⁾، عبدالفتاح عفيفي محمد عفيفي⁽²⁾ ⁽¹⁾ قسم المحاصيل، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الازهر.

الملخص العربي

أجريت هذه الدراسة في محطتي بحوث الجميزة و سدس بمركز البحوث الزراعية خلال الفترة من2011-2012. وكانت أهداف الدراسة:-

1 - تقدير كفاءة طريقة الانتخاب المتكرر الـ S₁ في تحسين نسبة البروتين والصفات المحصولية في عشيرة من
 الذرة الشامية البيضاء الأمريكاني بدري (AED Population).

2 – تقدير مكونات التباين الوراثي والمظهري في أنسال S₁ في نفس العشيرة لمختلف الصفات.

وقد تم إجراء التلقيحات الذاتية سنة 2011 في محطة بحوث الجميزة لعدد 500 نبات في العشيرة تحت الدراسة. لإنتاج أنسال S₁ وذلك لتنفيذ طريقة الانتخاب المتكرر لله S₁ .

في سنة 2012 زرعت أنسال S₁ في تجربة في جهتين (سدس والجميزة) ، ونفذت التجربة في تصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية في ثلاث مكررات.

بناء على التحليل الإحصائي لبيانات هذه التجارب تم انتخاب 10 % من أنسال S₁ الأعلى في محصول البروتين ومحصول الحبوب لعمل جميع التوليفات الممكنة لكل التراكيب الوراثية .

سجلت القياسات على الصفات التالية :-

محصول البروتين (كجم/فدان) ، نسبة البروتين ، محصول الحبوب (اردب/فدان) ، طول الكوز (سم) ، قطر الكوز (سم) ، عدد الصفوف / كوز ، عدد الحبوب / صف ، وزن 100 حبة (جم) ، ارتفاع النبات (سم) ، ارتفاع الكوز (سم)، موضع الكوز ، تاريخ التزهير في النورة المذكرة ، تاريخ التزهير في النورة المؤنثة و نسبة المقاومة لمرض الذبول المتأخر.

و يمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها فيما يلى : -

- 1- كانت هناك اختلافات عالية المعنوية بين أنسال الـ S₁ في قدرتها لمحصول البروتين ومعظم الصفات المدروسة لكل التراكيب الوراثية.
- 2 أظهرت النتائج وجود اختلافات عالية المعنوية لصفة محصول البروتين و الصفات الخضرية الأخري مما يدل
 على ان 121 S₁ 2 كانت مختلفة لكل الصفات تحت الدراسة.
- 4- اختلفت مكونات التباين المظهري اختلافا معنويا لكل التراكيب الوراثية فى العشيرة تحت الدراسة. وكان هناك تباينا واسعا مما شجع على استخدام الانتخاب المتكرر لل S₁ في تحسين مثل هذه التراكيب الوراثية لمحصول البروتين .
- 5 كانت قيم درجة التوريث بالمعنى الواسع لانسال الـ S₁ لصفة محصول البروتين (كجم/فدان) عالية (95,78
 و 91,90%) في الجميزة وسدس على الترتيب .
- 6 حقق التحسين المتوقع على أساس انتخاب أفضل 10 % من سلالات S₁ لصفة محصول البروتين ارتفاعا بلغ 23,08 % في الجميزة و 15,07% في سدس.

Trait	Loc.				Mean ± Sx	Mean of all	/alue									
		2	18	115	35	4	85	11	60	9	92	107	46		± Sx	t-\
Protein	Gem.	353.0	288.9	227.2	236.7	165.1	137.5	205.6	196.9	218.0	200.9	175.8	165.8	214.3±17.01	143.0±5.02	**
(kg/fed)	Sids	399.7	426.5	352.7	422.4	367.2	411.4	282.4	389.4	370.0	317.8	396.4	346.2	373.5±12.53	277.4±5.94	**
Grain yield/ (ard/fed)	Gem.	25.2	20.0	13.9	16.6	9.2	9.4	13.4	13.0	16.9	12.6	14.0	11.6	14.7±1.31	10.0±0.35	*
	Sids	28.5	30.0	22.8	28.7	23.1	29.4	20.4	26.4	25.7	21.6	31.5	24.9	26.1±1.04	19.5±0.40	*
Ear	Gem.	20.0	17.5	17.2	16.2	18.0	14.9	16.5	18.3	18.0	17.0	16.6	16.7	17.2±0.37	16.5±0.11	*
(cm)	Sids	20.3	20.8	17.9	18.7	17.7	18.2	18.3	21.0	17.8	18.2	20.5	18.2	19.0±0.37	17.7±0.13	*
Ear	Gem.	5.2	4.4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.7	4.9	5	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.3±0.08	4.7±0.03	**
(cm)	Sids	4.9	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.4±0.03	4.6±0.02	**
No. of rows/	Gem.	16.4	14.6	13.0	14.6	15.4	15.4	15.4	15.8	15.6	14.0	13.2	14.8	14.9±0.30	14.5±0.09	N.S
ear	Sids	15.2	14.2	14.2	15.2	14.8	15.8	15.0	14.0	15.4	15.0	13.6	14.4	14.7±0.19	14.3±0.11	N.S
No. of kernels/	Gem.	42.5	40.5	33.9	34.5	32.8	38.2	33.3	36.9	35.9	36.6	36.6	35.8	36.5±0.83	34.6±0.24	N.S
row	Sids	40.5	38.7	37.7	39.0	38.0	37.6	39.8	40.5	38.9	36.1	39.1	39.9	38.8±0.38	37.7±0.20	N.S
100- kernels	Gem.	38.6	36.3	42.1	42.7	40.3	38.5	38.5	42.3	43.2	42.2	40.2	40.6	40.5±0.62	41.7±0.19	N.S
weight (g)	Sids	40.3	36.8	39.9	35.0	36.5	35.4	37.5	37.1	33.8	35.9	37.3	33.6	36.6±0.60	35.7±0.28	N.S

Table (6): Mean per formances of some characteristics of the highest 12 S₁ progenies in first cycle of the Pop. A.

*, ** and N.S indicates significant differences at the 0.05, 0.01 and non-significant probability, respectively.

Trait	Loc.		Pedigree												Mean of all	Value
		2	18	115	35	4	85	11	60	9	92	107	46	UX	± Sx	ţ
Days to 50%	Gem.	57	59	60	58	56	61	62	60	59	59	60	61	59.3±0.50	60.6±0.17	N.5
tassling	Sids	57	57	54	54	55	58	57	56	54	58	54	58	56.0±0.49	57.2±0.20	N.S
Days to 50%	Gem.	58	60	60	58	57	62	62	61	58	60	61	61	59.8±0.49	61.4±0.18	N.S
silking	Sids	58	59	56	56	56	59	58	57	56	59	56	59	57.4±0.40	59.0±0.20	N.S
Plant height	Gem.	200.0	202.5	175.0	197.5	187.5	152.5	190.0	205.0	182.5	190.0	202.5	157.5	186.9±5.02	182.3±1.42	**
(cm)	Sids	210.0	232.5	220.0	257.5	212.5	220.0	220.0	237.5	212.5	217.5	237.5	225.0	225.2±3.98	215.1±1.40	**
Ear height	Gem.	122.5	122.5	107.5	147.5	115.0	100.0	110.0	120.0	115.0	105.0	140.0	102.5	117.3±4.19	113.5±1.15	*
(cm)	Sids	110.0	130.0	107.5	140.0	97.5	115.0	105.0	127.5	102.5	110.0	120.0	125.0	115.8±3.68	110.6±1.05	**
Ear Position	Gem.	61.7	60.5	61.4	74.7	61.4	65.4	57.6	58.6	63.1	55.3	69.0	70.0	63.2±1.62	62.5±0.44	N.5
%	Sids	52.4	55.9	48.9	54.4	45.8	52.3	47.7	53.7	48.3	50.6	50.5	55.6	51.3±0.94	51.3±0.26	N.5
Resistan ce to	Gem.	100.0	97.1	100.0	97.1	100.0	76.5	88.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	85.3	95.4±2.24	93.7±0.51	N.5
late wilt %	Sids	93.7	100.0	94.1	94.1	91.2	91.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	93.8	94.1	96.0±1.06	92.4±0.72	N.S

Table (6) : Continued.

*, ** and N.S indicates significant differences at the 0.05, 0.01 and non-significant probability, respectively.