

## **EFFECT OF PLANTING DATE AND SULPHUR FERTILIZER ON YIELD AND QUALITY OF SUGAR BEET UNDER NEWLY RECLAIMED SOILS**

**Sahar , F. Tawfic.; Ranya M.Abdel Aziz and A.K. Eanar**  
**Sugar Crop Res. Inst ,Agric.Res.Center,Giza,Egypt**

### **ABSTRACT**

Two field experiments was conducted at El-Serw Agricultural Research Station, Damietta Governorate, Egypt during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons. The objective of this investigation was aimed to study the effect of three sowing dates (mid September , first October and mid October) and soil application of sulphur fertilizer in the form of Calcium poly sulfide ( $\text{CaSO}_4$  30% sulphur) at the levels of (zero, 100 and 200 kg  $\text{CaSO}_4$ /fed., mixed with soil) which were applied at age 45 and 75 day, for Soltan cultivar.

A split plot design with four replicates was used. The main plots were assigned to sowing dates, whereas, soil application with  $\text{CaSO}_4$  were distributed in the sub plots.

**The results can be summarized as follows:-**

- 1- Lating planting sugar beet until mid October due to significant increase in root dimension and root fresh weight/plant in addition, applied sulphur at rate (200 kg  $\text{CaSO}_4$  30% sulphur) take the same trend of lating planting date for the same characters.
- 2-Significant increase was obtained in quality characters as (TSS, Sucrose and Purity %) in both seasons resulted from planting at mid October and addition 200 kg calcium poly sulphur.
- 3- The highest values of all characters under study resulted from addition 200 kg sulphur for lat planting date in both seasons.
- 4- Significant decrease in values of impurities (K, Na and  $\alpha$ -amino N.) were found resulted from lat planting up mid October and fertilization with 200 kg sulphur in both season.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Under the limited cultivated area and the scarce water resources there are many attempts to increase vertically the productivity of the cultivated unit area. It is well known that the productivity of any crop broadly depends upon the used varieties and fertilization program. Egyptian Government imports large amounts of sugar, i.e. about 1.10 million ton, every year to face the rapid increase of population. Sugar beet plays a prominent role for sugar production, about 37.27% of locally sugar production. (CCSC, 2010).

There is a great need to find out the proper technical recommendations for improving the productivity and quality of sugar beet under Egyptian conditions. Because the Egyptian soils suffer from a high pH values, the availability of P, K and micronutrients is reduced . The use of sulphur might help in decreasing soil alkalinity during sulphur biological oxidation. In this subject, El- Kammah and Ali (1996) and Hashem *et al* (1997) indicated that yields of roots and sugar were significantly increased with increasing levels of applied sulphur.

There are many factors affecting yield and quality of sugar beet as nutritional status as well as some agro practices application, i.e., fertilization, sowing dates and methods. With respect to sowing dates, Allam *et al.* (2005) showed that the highest value of root and sugar yields/fed were obtained when sugar beet sowing date at 1<sup>st</sup> October. Ismail *et al.* (2006) found that early sowing date at 1<sup>st</sup> October led to significant increase in root fresh weight, sucrose%, purity%, sugar and root yields/fed as compared with delay sowing dates on 15<sup>th</sup> October and 1<sup>st</sup> November. El-Geddawy *et al.* (2007) showed that sowing sugar beet early at 15<sup>th</sup> September significantly attained the higher value of root length, diameter, root fresh weight/plant, root and sugar yields/fed than at late sowing date on 15<sup>th</sup> October. Mosa (2009) studied three sowing dates on 15<sup>th</sup> September, October and November. He found that early sowing date on 15<sup>th</sup> September significantly increased root length, diameter, root and sugar yields/fed as compared with delay sowing date on 15<sup>th</sup> October or November. El-Hosry *et al.* (2010) revealed that root length and root yield/fed were significantly increased with sowing date on 15<sup>th</sup> October as compared on 15<sup>th</sup> September and 15<sup>th</sup> November.

There is a great need to find out the proper technical recommendations for improving the productivity and quality of sugar beet under Egyptian conditions. Because the most Egyptian soils suffer from a high pH values particularly newly reclaimed soil, the availability of P, K and micronutrients is reduced. The use of sulphur might help in decreasing soil alkalinity during sulphur biological oxidation. Sulphur nutrient can significantly increase crop yield and improve its quality. It is indispensable for strong growth of plant, as it can involved in its metabolism in a host of ways as described in many basic text. Draycott (1972) and Thomas *et al.* (2000) stated that sulphur is a constituent element of some amino acids, namely Cystein and Methionine and it is involved in synthesis of chlorophyll, certain vitamins, carbohydrates and proteins. In recent years, sulphur has received increasing attention as world soils are becoming deficient in this element for that, use of sulphur as free fertilization is important for increasing and improving crop production. In this subject, El-Kammah and Ali (1996) and Hashem *et al.* (1997) indicated that yields of roots and sugar were significantly increased with increasing levels of applied sulphur. Root, top and sugar yields of sugar beet increased significantly with increasing potassium fertilizer rate up to 48 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed (El-Kassaby *et al.* 1991 and El-Ramady 1997), Sobh *et al.* 1992 and Soltan 1999) stated that K, Na and  $\alpha$ - amino N contents of fresh roots increased with increasing K level till 48 kg/fed .

Also, Nemeat Alla (2005) reported that sulphur fertilizer level at 300 kg/fed led to significant differences in root growth, i.e. length and diameter, as well as root yield/fed as compared with the other two levels 100 and 200 kg/fed in both seasons. Ouida, Sohier (2002), Shafika *et al.* (2005), Zeinab *et al.* (2006) and Awed Allah *et al.* (2007) reported that response degree of growth, quality, chemical composition and yield of sugar beet differ according to the level of sulphur fertilization. Osman and Shehata, Mona (2010) foliar spray with sulphur in the form of Calcium Poly Sulfide (30%) at concentration of 6 cm/l which was applied once, twice and three times at 70, 85 and 90 days after sowing in addition to foliar spray with distilled water as control. The

results observed that there were significant increases in root diameter, root fresh weight/plant, root yield/fed and accumulation N, P, K, and SO<sub>4</sub>. While, root quality significantly decreased.

Ferweez *et al.* (2011) indicated that sulphur fertilization level at 200 kg/fed. had a significant increase on root diameter, pol%, Na content, α-amino nitrogen, sugar recovery%, quality index and sugar yield/fed in the two growing seasons.

Awad *et al* (2013 c) to study the effect of three levels of sulphur, i.e. zero, 125 and 250 kg/fed. and three levels of potassium fertilizer (12, 24 and 48 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed.) on productivity and quality characteristics of sugar beet. A split plot design with four replications was used . The interaction between sulphur and potassium levels had a significant influence on root yield in the 1st season and their combined for recoverable sugar yield to level of significance. Applying (250 kg S/fed.) for sugar beet is preferable to get the highest root and recoverable sugar yields/fed of sugar beet with 24 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. to get the highest value of quality index. Under the experiment conditions, applying 250 kg sulphur with 24 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. is preferable to obtain the highest root and recoverable sugar yields/fed of sugar beet and the highest quality index .

The aim of this study was aimed to find out the suitable sowing dates and level of CaSO<sub>4</sub> as soil application to induce high quality and yields/fed. of sugar beet plants under newly reclaimed soil conditions.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments was conducted at El-Serw Agricultural Research Station, Damietta Governorate, Egypt during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons. This investigation was aimed to study the effect of three sowing dates (mid September , first October and mid October) and soil application of sulphur fertilizer in the form of Calcium poly sulfide (CaSO<sub>4</sub> 30% sulphur) at the levels of (zero, 100 and 200 kg CaSO<sub>4</sub>/fed., mixed with soil), which were applied at age 45 and 75 days, from sowing (Soltan) cultivar. The mean of temperature degree and relative humidity% in both seasons are presented in Table 1. The preceding crop was maize in both seasons.

**Table 1: Mean of temperature degree and relative humidity% in both seasons.**

Year	2012-2013 season						2013-2014 season					
	Temperature (C°)			Relative humidity%			Temperature (C°)			Relative humidity%		
	Max	Min	Aver	Max	Min	Aver	Max	Min	Aver	Max	Min	Aver
September	31.5	19.5	25.5	84.0	33.4	58.7	32.5	19.7	26.1	81.6	28.3	54.9
October	32.3	18.6	25.45	85.3	30.0	57.6	31.4	19.5	25.4	80.9	27.7	54.3
November	27.4	15.3	21.35	88.2	36.0	62.1	28.4	15.3	21.8	81.6	29.8	55.7
December	22.2	9.7	15.95	80.1	36.8	58.4	23.1	9.7	16.4	81.9	35.4	58.6
January	21.3	9.4	15.35	81.7	35.2	58.4	21.8	9.1	15.4	78.4	33.6	56.0
February	23.4	10.0	16.7	84.5	35.9	60.2	22.7	7.9	15.3	86.4	35.4	60.9
March	26.2	11.7	18.95	81.5	33.0	57.2	24.9	10.6	17.7	78.6	27.8	53.2
April	28.5	13.4	20.95	80.6	23.0	51.8	30.4	13.6	22.0	76.8	24.6	40.7
May	30.5	14.5	22.5	79.3	22.0	50.6	31.8	14.2	23.0	75.7	22.8	49.2

Source: Agro-meteorological station, Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

A split plot design with four replicates in both seasons was used. The main plots were assigned to sowing dates, whereas, soil application with CaSO<sub>4</sub> in the sub plots. Sub plot area was 12.25 m<sup>2</sup> consisted of 5 ridges of 3.5 m long at 70 cm apart and spacing between hills 20 cm.

**Table 2: Mechanical and chemical analysis of soil.**

Particle Size distribution							
Coarse sand %		Fine sand %		Silt %		Clay %	
1.55		10.70		22.4		85.0	
Texture Clayey							
Characters Treatments	OM %	Available N ppm	Available P Ppm	Available K ppm	PH Of soil Susp 1:25	Total dissolved salts	
						%	mmhos /cm
Burning	2.66	81.4	40.0	607.3	8.4	0.21	0.655
Without Burning	2.94	84.3	33.3	624.0	8.7	0.17	0.542

Some physical and chemical analysis of the experimental soils according to Page (1982) in Table 2. Nitrogen fertilizer at the level of 120 kg/fed. in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) was applied in four equal doses, the first was applied after thinning and the others was applied at 2-weeks interval after the first application. Phosphorus fertilizer level at the rate of 45 kg/fed. in the form of calcium super phosphate (15.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) was added during land preparation. Potassium fertilizer level of 24 kg/fed. in the form of potassium sulfate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) was applied in four equal doses with nitrogen fertilizer. Other agricultural practices for sugar beet field were carried out as recommended by Sugar Crops Research Institute.

**Recorded data:**

At harvest time (210 days from planting) the three guarded ridges were topped: A sample of 10 roots was randomly taken and the following traits were recorded:

- 1-Root length (cm). 2- Root diameter (cm). 3- Root fresh weight (g/plant). 4- Total soluble solids (TSS%) was determined by using Hand refractometer.5- Sucrose% was determined according to the procedure of Le Docte (1927). 6- Purity percentage: It was estimated according to the following equation  

$$\text{Purity \%} = 99.36 - \{14.27(V1+V2+ V3/ V4)\}$$
 Where: V1=Na , V2= K, V3= α-amino-N, V4= sucrose %. (Na, K and α-amino-N ) were determined as milliequivalent/100 g beet.
- 7- Root yields (ton/fed.) was determined on the whole plot basis were harvested, topped and weighed to determine root yield.
- 8- Sugar yield which was calculated by multiply root yield (ton/fed.) x sucrose%. Data statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1981).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Root growth**

**Root length, root diameter and root fresh weight:-**

Data presented in Table 3 showed that root growth in both season take the same trend, significant increased in values of these traits with lating

planting dates from mid September till mid October. These significant increase due to available good chance for growth from optimum temperature for growth and accumulation of photo syntheses substances which gave maximum root dimensions and root fresh weight.

Concerning the effect of sulphur on root growth traits root length, root diameter and root fresh weight data in Table 3 cleared that with increasing sulphur fertilizer rates from zero to 200 kg S/fed. to sugar beet plants caused significant increase in values of root growth this was true in both seasons.

**Table 3: Effect of sowing dates on growth, quality traits and yields at harvest during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.**

2012/2013 season								
Treatment	Root growth traits.			Juice quality %.			Yields (ton/fed.).	
	RL	RD	RFW	TSS	S	P	R	S
<b>Planting date</b>								
15/9	28.6	10.3	1.11	20.75	16.45	81.20	27.84	4.58
1/10	31.3	11.7	1.23	21.65	17.56	83.32	29.65	5.20
15/10	34.5	12.9	1.76	22.40	18.12	84.64	32.45	5.88
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 5%	1.23	0.44	0.28	0.12	0.84	0.76	0.65	1.02
<b>Sulphur(CaSO<sub>4</sub> kg/fed.).</b>								
Zero	24.30	9.85	1.08	19.84	16.34	74.32	24.84	4.06
100	30.70	11.24	1.42	21.37	17.25	81.87	28.67	4.94
200	33.45	12.87	1.87	22.95	18.07	83.45	32.78	5.92
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 5%	3.18	0.97	0.64	1.04	0.87	1.32	2.11	1.04

RL= Root length (cm), RD = Root diameter (cm), RFW = Root fresh weight Kg/plant, TSS = Total soluble solids%, S= Sucrose%, P = Purity%, R. = Root yield and S = Sugar yield(ton/fed.).

These results due to the important role of sulphur in improving properties of soil to absorption more nutrients for growth and gave maximum growth. Similar results were obtained by El-Geddawy *et al* (2007), El-Hosry *et al* (2010) , Awad *et al* (2012) and Awad *et al* (2013 a, b and c).

**Effect of soil application with calcium poly sulfide CaSO<sub>4</sub> (30% sulphur): Juick quality**

**Total soluble solids, sucrose and purity %.**

All of above mentioned characters significantly increased with lating planting dates from mid September to mid October in both seasons in Table 3.The superiority which resulted from planting date due to giving suitable environmental conditions to good growth, accumulation sucrose in roots and decrease impurities to gave extent purity for juice of root. Concerning the effect of sulphur fertilizer on juice quality of root, with increasing the rates of sulphur nutrient to soil gave a good moderation to soil solution and increased most of macro and micro elements to plants and decreased the impurities in roots. Similar findings were found by Ouida, Sohier, (2002), Shafika *et al* (2005), Awad Allah and Ahmed (2007) and Awad *et al* (2013 c).

**Yields**

**1-Root and sugar yields ton/fed.**

Table 3 show that root and sugar yields significantly increased with delaying planting date on mid October in both seasons. With lating planting

date gave more suitable conditions for increasing root size and sucrose accumulation in root which gave the highest root and sucrose yields. Allam *et al* (2005) reported that late planting in first October gave the highest root and sugar yields. Also, Awad *et al* (2013 c) found the same trend.

Application of (200 kg S/fed.) exhibited significant differences in values of root and sugar yield compared to zero addition from sulphur which gave the lowest one. Sulphur fertilizer controlled pH of soil and root lake sufficient nutrients for maximum root and sugar yields. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Ferwez *et al* (2011) ,Awad *et al* (2012) and Awad *et al* (2013 a, b and c).

**Table 4: Effect of sulphur on roots growth, quality traits and yields/fed. at harvest during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.**

2013/2014 season								
Treatment	Root growth traits.			Juice quality %.			Yields (ton/fed.).	
	RL	RD	RFW	TSS	S	P	R	S
Planting date								
15/9	29.12	10.5	1.25	21.12	17.02	82.31	28.31	4.82
1/10	32.54	11.9	1.46	22.41	17.87	84.54	30.97	5.79
15/10	35.17	13.4	1.75	24.10	18.44	86.32	34.25	6.31
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 5%	1.32	0.51	0.02	0.31	0.91	0.84	0.77	1.10
Sulphur(CaSO <sub>4</sub> kg/fed.).								
Zero	25.44	10.10	1.24	20.65	16.55	75.18	25.64	4.24
100	32.32	11.85	1.64	21.87	17.46	82.33	29.87	5.21
200	34.86	13.10	1.93	23.75	18.15	84.25	33.64	6.10
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 5%	1.54	0.43	0.21	0.65	0.77	1.18	1.97	0.84

RL= Root length (cm), RD = Root diameter (cm), RFW = Root fresh weight Kg/plant, TSS = Total soluble solids%, S= Sucrose%, P = Purity%, R. = Root yield and S = Sugar yield(ton/fed.).

**Impurities**

Sodium, potassium and  $\alpha$ -amino N concerning the effect of planting date on impurities values in sugar beet root, data presented in Table 4 and 5 showed that lating in planting date from mid September to mid October caused to significant decrease in impurity content as potassium, sodium and  $\alpha$ -amino N in roots.

These results are in accordance with those obtained by Awad *et al* (2013 c). Concerning to the effect of sulphur fertilization on impurities in sugar beet root. Results indicated that with increasing sulphur fertilizer doses from zero to 200 kg S/fed. due to significant decrease in impurities contents, this was true in both seasons. These decrease in impurities reflected the beneficial role of sulphur to plants which represented in more growth and little impurities in plant. These results are harmony with those obtained by Osman and Shehata, Mona (2010) and Awad *et al* (2013 c).

**Interaction effects:**

Results in Table 6 and 7 found that the interaction between sowing dates and soil CaSO<sub>4</sub> application led to a significant effect on potassium content, sodium content,  $\alpha$ -amino N content milliequa valents/100 gm beet, sucrose %, root and sugar yields ton/fed. in both seasons.

**Table 5: Effect of sowing dates on potassium content, sodium content and  $\alpha$ -amino N content harvest during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.**

Traits	Na *		K *		$\alpha$ -amino N *	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Treatment						
15/9	6.31	6.00	1.58	1.46	2.13	2.10
1/10	5.88	5.70	1.43	1.50	1.89	1.85
15/10	5.79	5.63	1.30	1.26	1.82	1.79
F. Test	*	*	*	*	*	*
LSD at 5%	0.12	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.13	0.10
Sulphur(CaSO <sub>4</sub> kg/fed.).						
Zero	6.02	5.74	1.50	1.40	2.00	1.95
100	5.73	5.65	1.37	1.26	1.75	1.78
200	5.46	5.55	1.21	1.20	1.68	1.69
F. Test	*	*	*	*	*	*
LSD at 5%	0.09	0.10	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.09

\*( milliequivalent/100 g beet).

Significant interaction effects were found between planting dates x sulphur fertilizer levels on sucrose %, root and sugar yields in both seasons in Table 7.

**Table 6: Interaction between sowing dates and soil application with CaSO<sub>4</sub> in both seasons.**

2012/2013 seasons.									
Traits	Na *			K *			$\alpha$ -amino N *		
	Sulphur (CaSO <sub>4</sub> kg/fed.).								
Sulphur									
Dates	Zero	100	200	Zero	100	150	Zero	100	200
15/9	5.67	6.27	6.52	1.56	1.52	1.49	2.14	2.11	2.10
1/10	5.25	5.90	6.21	1.41	1.38	1.36	1.90	1.88	1.84
15/10	5.42	5.62	6.10	1.30	1.28	1.27	1.84	1.80	1.78
F. Test	*			*			*		
LSD at 5%	0.14			0.09			0.11		
2013/2014 season.									
15/9	5.54	6.14	6.47	1.51	1.46	1.43	2.07	2.04	2.06
1/10	5.21	5.78	6.13	1.37	1.31	1.29	1.77	1.76	1.81
15/10	5.36	5.46	6.02	1.24	1.22	1.22	1.78	1.77	1.74
F. Test	*			*			*		
LSD at 5%	0.16			0.11			0.12		

\*( milliequivalent/100 g beet).

**Table 7: Interaction between sowing dates and soil application with CaSO<sub>4</sub> in both seasons.**

2012/2013 seasons.									
Traits	Sucrose %			Root yield ton/fed.			Sugar yield ton/fed.		
	Sulphur (CaSO <sub>4</sub> kg/fed.).								
Sulphur									
Dates	Zero	100	200	Zero	100	200	Zero	100	200
15/9	16.35	17.15	17.85	22.65	27.45	31.72	3.70	4.71	5.66
1/10	16.42	17.45	17.97	22.88	27.98	32.46	3.76	4.88	5.83
15/10	16.55	17.65	18.10	23.04	28.14	33.18	3.81	4.97	5.97
F. Test	*	*	*	*	**	**	*	*	*
LSD at 5%	0.17	0.21	0.14	0.23	0.31	0.64	0.07	0.09	0.12
2013/2014 season.									
15/9	16.41	17.26	17.87	22.87	27.94	31.88	3.75	4.82	5.70
1/10	16.55	17.56	18.00	23.10	28.21	32.87	3.82	4.95	5.92
15/10	16.62	17.70	18.24	23.46	28.76	34.08	3.90	5.09	6.22
F. Test	*	*	*	**	**	**	*	*	*
LSD at 5%	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.21	0.74	0.97	0.31	0.23	0.33

In respect to effect of interaction between planting date and sulphur fertilizer levels on sucrose %, maximum sucrose % was obtained (18.10 and 18.24 %) resulted from the interaction between planting date mid October and addition 200 kg S/fed. to plants in both seasons.

Concerning the interaction effect between planting date and sulphur fertilizer on root yield. Data collected in Table 7 showed that maximum root yields were obtained 33.18 and 34.08 ton/fed. resulted from delaying planting date to mid October and applied sulphur fertilizer up to 200 kg S/fed. in both seasons. As for effect of interaction between planting date and sulphur fertilizer on sugar yield in both seasons.

The interaction effect take the same trend in above two mention characters.

Planting late x sulphur level 200 kg S/fed. gave the highest values of sugar yield in both seasons 5.97 and 6.22 ton/fed. respectively.

## REFERENCES

- Allam, S.A.H.; K. El-Sh. Mohamed; G.S. El-Sayed and A.M.H. Osman (2005). Effect of sowing date, nitrogen fertilizer and row space on yield and quality of sugar beet crop. *Ann. Agric. Sc., Moshtohor*, 43 (1): 11-24.
- Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (A.O.A.C.)(2005). *Official Methods of Analysis*. Published by the A.O.A.C., Box 540, Washington, D.C.
- Awad Allah, M.A.; E.A. Abd El-Latief and M.S.H. Ahmed (2007). Influence of N fertilizer and elemental S levels on productivity and technological characters of beet under middle Egypt conditions. *Assiut. J. Agric. Sci.*, 38 (3): 1-16.
- Awad, N. M.M.; Sahar, F. Tawfik and Sahr, M. I. Moustafa (2012). Effect of plowing depth, sowing method and nitrogen fertilization on yield and quality of sugar beet. *J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ.* 38(4):458-470.
- Awad, N. M.M.; Sahar, F. Tawfik and Sahr, M. I. Moustafa (2013 a). Influence of foliar spray of some micronutrients and nitrogen fertilizer on productivity of sugar beet under newly reclaimed soils. *J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ.* 39(2):181-194.
- Awad, N. M.M.; Sahar, F. Tawfik and Sahr, M. I. Moustafa (2013 b). Response of two sugar beet varieties to nitrogen and magnesium fertilization at nubaria aria. *J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ.* 39(2):195-209.
- Awad, N. M.M.; H.s. Gharib and Sahr, M. I. Moustafa (2013 c). Response of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris L.*) to potassium and sulphur supply in clayed soil at north delta, Egypt. *Egypt. Agron. Vol.* 35. No.1, pp.77-99.
- CCSC (2010). *Central Council for Sugar Crops. Ann. Report*, Ministry of Agric., Egypt. (In Arabic).
- Drycott, A.P. (1972). *Sugar beet Nutrition*. Applied Science Publishers LTD London.
- El-Geddawy, I.H.; M.S. Osman; G.A. Taha and S.A.A.M. Enan (2007). Transplanting using paper pots technique and nutrition with relation to yield and its attributes of sugar beet under different planting dates. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 85 (1): 191-210.



- El-Hosry, A.A.; M.I. Salwau; A.M.M. Saad; I.H. El-Geddawy and B.S. Ibrahim (2010). Sugar beet yield and its components as affected by sowing date, mineral N and bio fertilizers. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sc.*, 25 (8A): 349-366.
- El- Kammah, M.A. and R.A. Ali (1996). Responsiveness of sugar beet biomass to band applied sulphur and its effects on the profitability of potassium and zinc fertilizers under clay soils. *J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 21 (1): 383-405.
- El-Kassaby, S.E.; A.A. Leila and H.S. El- Katib (1991). Effect of planting patterns and levels of N and K fertilization on yield and quality of sugar beet. *J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 16 (7): 1497-1504.
- El-Ramady, H.R. (1997). Response of sugar beet to N and K dressing at different levels of soil salinity. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta, Univ.
- Ferweez, H.; M.S.H. Osman and A.E. Nafie (2011). Raising productivity and quality of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) using the optimum level of sulphur and potassium fertilizers. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 26 (1): 74 - 87.
- Hashem, F. ; S. El- Maghraby and M. Wassif (1997). Efficiency of organic manure and residual sulphur under saline irrigation water and calcareous soil conditions. *Egypt. J. Soil. Sci.*, 37 (4): 451- 465.
- Ismail, A.M.A.; A.H.S.A. Al-Labody and N.M.S. Shalaby (2006). Variability and traits relationships in sugar beet under different sowing dates. *Egypt. J. Plant Breeding*, 10 (1): 387-406.
- Ismail, A.M.A. and S.M. Allam (2007). Yield and technological traits of sugar beet as affected by planting density, phosphorus and potassium fertilization. The 3rd Conf. Sustain. Agric. Develop., Fac. Agric. Fayoum Univ., 15-28. 1446.
- Johnson, C.M. and H. Nishita (1952). Micro estimation of sulphur in Plant materials. *Soil and Irrigation water. Annl. Chem.* 24: 736-742.
- Le-Docte, A. (1927). Commercial determination of sugar in the beet root using the sacks. *Le-Docte Process. Int. Sug. J.* 29: 488-492.
- Mosa, D.T.M.A. (2009). Effect of sowing and harvesting dates on yield and quality of some sugar beet varieties. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Nafei, A.I.; A.M.H. Osman and Maha M. El-Zeny (2010). Effect of plant densities and K fertilization rates on yield and quality of sugar beet crop in sandy reclaimed soils. *J. Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ.*, 1 (2): 229-237.
- Nemeat Alla, E.A.E. (2005). Yield and quality of sugar beet as affected by different N and sulphur rates under clay soils. *J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 30 (12): 7255-7264.
- Osman, M.S.H and Shehata, Mona, M. (2010). Response of sugar beet to nitrogen fertilizer and sulphur spray frequency in Middle Egypt. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 88 (4): 1277-1292.
- Ouida, Sohier, M.M. (2002). Response of sugar beet to N, K fertilizers levels and foliar with sulphur under sandy soil conditions. *Zagazig. J. Agric. Res.*, 28 (2): 275-297.

- Page, A.L. (1982). "Methods of Soil Analysis". Chemical and Microbiological Properties. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Agron. 9, Am. Soc. Agron. Inc. Publ. Madison, Wis, USA.
- Shafika, N.M.; Safaa, S.M., A.M.E.; Gomaa and Zeinab, M.R. (2005). Response of sugar beet to nitrogen and sulphur foliar application levels. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 20: 45-58.
- Sobh, M.M.; S.A. Genady; M.A. Hegazy and A.Y. Negra (1992). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on sugar beet. Proc., 5th Conf. Agron., Zagazig Univ., (2): pp. 1945-1953.
- Soltan, M.K.I. (1999). Effect of NPK and storage periods on yield and its quality of sugar beet . M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Kafr. El- . Sheikh, Tanta Univ. Egypt.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1981). Statistical Method, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, Iowa State Univ., Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Thomas, S.G.; P.E. Bilsborrow; T.J. Hocking and J. Bennett (2000). Effect of sulphur deficiency on growth and metabolism of beet. J. Sci., Food and Agric., 80: 2057-2062.
- Zeinab, M.R.; Safaa, S.M. El Sayed.; Shafika, M.N. and A.M.E. Gomaa (2006). Physio-chemical properties, quality and yield as affected by foliar sprays with B and S in beet plants. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. 31 (4): 957-970.

## تأثير مواعيد الزراعة و التسميد بالكبريت على محصول وجودة بنجر السكر في الأراضي حديثة الاستصلاح

سحر فايز توفيق ، رانيا محمد عبد العزيز و أمين كمال عيبر

معهد بحوث المحاصيل السكرية - مركز البحوث الزراعيه - الجيزة.

أقيمت تجربتان حقليةتان خلال الموسمين الزراعيين ٢٠١٣/٢٠١٢ و ٢٠١٣/٢٠١٤م بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالسرو بمحافظة دمياط لدراسة تأثير ثلاثة مواعيد للزراعة وهي (منتصف سبتمبر، الأول من أكتوبر ونصف أكتوبر) والتسميد بالسماد الكبريتي في صورة كالكسيوم بولى سلفيد ٣٠% كبريت بثلاثة معدلات وهي صفر ، ١٠٠ ، ٢٠٠ كجم كالكسيوم بولى سلفيد ٣٠% /فدان مرتين بعد ٧٥،٤٥ يوم من الزراعة علي بنجر السكر صنف (سلطان). وقد استخدم التصميم قطع منشقة مرة واحدة حيث تم وضع مواعيد الزراعة في القطع الرئيسية- وفي القطع الشقية الأولى تم وضع معدلات السماد الكبريتي وأوضحت النتائج ما يلي:-

- ١-أوضحت النتائج أن الزراعات المتأخرة حتى (نصف أكتوبر) حدثت زيادة معنوية في كلا من طول وقطر الجذر والوزن الغض للجذور في كلا الموسمين. كذلك كان لزيادة معدلات السماد الكبريتي من ( صفر إلى ٢٠٠ كجم/فدان) أدى إلى نفس الاتجاه في الزيادة المعنوية للصفات السابقة في كلا الموسمين.
  - ٢-أشارت النتائج إلى زيادة صفات جودة العصير (المواد الصلبة الذاتية الكلية- نسبة السكر-ز- النقاوة) زيادة معنوية في كلا موسمي الزراعة وذلك بالتأخير في مواعيد الزراعة حتى (نصف أكتوبر) وبزيادة معدل التسميد بالكبريت من صفر إلى ٢٠٠ كجم/فدان أدى إلى نفس الزيادة المعنوية في الصفات السابق ذكرها.
  - ٣- أظهرت النتائج أن الزراعة المتأخرة وكذلك أكبر معدل للسماد الكبريتي (١٥/١٠، ٢٠٠ كجم كبريتات الكالكسيوم ٣٠ %) أكبر القيم للصفات تحت الدراسة السابق ذكرها في موسمي الزراعة.
  - ٤- أوضحت النتائج أنه انخفضت الشوائب في الجذور في كلا موسمي الزراعة وذلك بالتأخير في الزراعة وكذلك بزيادة معدل التسميد بالكبريت من صفر إلى ٢٠٠ كجم/فدان.
- توصى هذه الدراسة باستخدام معدل التسميد بالكبريت من ٢٠٠ كجم/فدان ، والزراعة المتأخرة حتى منتصف أكتوبر للحصول على أعلى إنتاجية من وحدة المساحة لمحصول بنجر السكر تحت ظروف البيئية لمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالسرو بمحافظة دمياط.