

EVALUATION OF KEY HYPOTHESES ASSOCIATED WITH HVI AND AFIS FIBER QUALITY MEASURES

تقييم بعض الفروض الرئيسية المتعلقة بنتائج قياس خواص الشعيرات على جهازي HVI و AFIS

By

Dr. Ramsis Farag

Textile Engineering Department- Mansoura University- EGYPT

الملخص العربي:

يقوم هذا البحث بإعادة وتدقيق النظر في قيم خواص الشعيرات القطنية المقاسة بجهازي HVI و AFIS في هذا البحث تم استخدام تحليل الارتباط لاختبار مدى صحة أربعة فروض رئيسية بخصوص قراءات هذين الجهازين لخواص شعيرات القطن. ولتحقيق هذا الهدف تم اختبار عينات في مدى واسع من درجات وأنواع القطن ومخلفاته. وكنتيجه لهذا التقييم نخلص إلى الآتي: أولاً: أن فرضية أن قيم الميكرونير المقاسة بجهاز الـ HVI تعبر عن دقة الشعيرات ونضجها تكون صحيحة فقط في حالة اختبار شعيرات قطنية قليلة الشوائب، وبزيادة نسبة الشوائب في القطن تتدنى صحة هذه الفرضية. ثانياً: وجد أن نضوجة الشعيرات المستنتجة بجهاز AFIS مرتبطة ارتباطاً وثيقاً مع نسبة الشعيرات القصيرة في القطن. وعلى هذا فإن فرضية صحة هذه النتائج يعترها الشك إذا ما قيست شعيرات قطنية ذات مستويات عالية من نسبة الشعيرات القصيرة. ثالثاً: وجد أن قيم اللون Rd المقاسة بجهاز HVI لا تعبر عن اللون الحقيقي لشعيرات القطن وإنما تعبر عن لون العينة بما فيها من شوائب، وذلك نتيجة لفشل جهاز HVI في الفصل بين اللون الطبيعي للقطن ولونه مع الشوائب المختلطة به. رابعاً: وجد أن قياسات للشوائب على جهازي HVI و AFIS تتفق في الاتجاه ولكن هذا الاتفاق يستمر فقط حتى حد معين لقيم الشوائب في العينة تفشل بعدها قياسات HVI في التعبير عن القيم الحقيقية للشوائب في عينة القطن.

Abstract

This paper revisits two existing fiber testing systems and questions the validity of some of the parameters they generate. These are the HVI system and the Advanced Fiber Information System. Both systems are commercially produced by zelweger Uster of Knoxville, TN. Using correlation and cluster analyses, four hypotheses about cotton fiber characteristics produced by the HVI and AFIS were examined. A wide range of cotton and cotton waste categories was used. As a result of evaluating these hypotheses, the analysis concludes that Micronaire value reflects the fineness and maturity of cotton fibers when samples of low trash levels are tested. When samples of high trash levels are tested, the Micronaire reading becomes largely unreliable. Cotton maturity as estimated by the AFIS exhibits a significant correlation with short fiber content. This makes AFIS maturity measures largely in doubt when samples of high short fiber content are tested. The color Rd value produced by the HVI system typically reflects the cotton sample color, not the cotton inherent color. This is a result of the failure of the HVI system color meter to segregate between fiber color and trash color. HVI and AFIS measures of trash largely agree in trend. However, this agreement only holds up to a certain level of trash content beyond which the HVI trash measure becomes insensitive and fails to reflect the true trash content in the sample.

1. Introduction

Over the years, many studies of cotton quality have been largely based on performing correlation or association analysis between different fiber attributes (El Mogahzy *et al* [1,2,3]). The extent of these correlations often reveals important information regarding the interactive nature of cotton fiber properties. It's conceivable that a fiber attribute measured by one instrument may differ considerably from that measured by another instrument, even from the same make. So, to evaluate and to improve the accuracy and precision of the measurements, correlations between different testing methods and testing instruments should be carried out (Zhang and Shofner [4], Cui *et al.* [5], Frydrych and Matusiak [6,7], Knowlton [8]). This paper continues with this tradition but in a different manner in which correlation analysis is used to test a number of physically-justifiable hypotheses that have hardly been questioned in past studies. These hypotheses are as follows:

- Hypothesis 1: Micronaire value fully reflects the fineness and maturity of cotton fibers
- Hypothesis 2: AFIS maturity ratio truly reflects the extent of maturity of cotton fibers
- Hypothesis 3: HVI color parameters, particularly Rd, fully reflects the inherent color of cotton fibers
- Hypothesis 4: HVI trash count truly reflects the percent of trash in a cotton bale

2. Experimental and Results

The above mentioned hypotheses are tested in this paper using a very large set of data consisting of over 550 cotton samples covering the following fiber types:

Cotton linters- these are the short fibers left on the cotton seed after ginning and extracted using machines similar to gins, but with circular saws and finer teeth. First-cut linters are used in manufacturing absorbent cotton, medical pads, mop and carpet yarns or to produce felts or batting for use in bedding products, and in cushioning for furniture and automobiles

1. Comber noils- these are fibers that are extracted during the combing process in which the typical purpose is to remove short fibers and neps
2. Upland cotton samples- these represent most of the cotton samples used in this study. They include cotton samples obtained from different states and USDA calibration cottons with extreme levels of fiber length and fineness
3. Extra-Long Staple (ELS) cotton samples- this is a small number of samples representing cottons of extra long-staple fibers typically used for producing fine and extra fine yarns

Tables 1 and 2 provide summary statistics of the data of all the cotton samples tested in this study. HVI and AFIS were calibrated according their own procedures and passed the calibration as specified (Zellweger Uster [9,10]).

Table 1. Summary Statistics of Fiber Samples used: HVI Data

Cotton		Mic	Mat	Len [in]	Unf %	SFI %	Str g/tex	Elong %	Rd	+b	Trash Cnt	Trash Area
Upland	min	4.01	0.87	1.04	79.5	7.6	24.2	6.4	7.0	5.5	2	0.04
	max	5.20	0.92	1.16	83.6	10.4	32.2	7.8	10.2	7.9	73	1.19
	Ave.	4.63	0.89	1.09	81.5	8.9	27.5	7.1	8.5	7.0	24	0.30
USDA cotton	min	2.53	0.77	0.93	77.1	6.8	23.4	5.8	9.5	6.5	5	0.01
	max	5.24	0.92	1.22	84.9	13.5	33.9	8.7	15.9	8.1	25	0.32
	Ave.	4.13	0.87	1.08	81.3	9.4	28.4	7.3	12.4	7.1	12	0.13
ELS	min	2.70	0.78	0.95	75.1	3.4	23.9	3.8	8.0	7.3	4	0.08
	max	5.50	0.93	1.44	89.5	17.4	44.0	5.5	14.3	8.3	24	0.57
	Ave.	4.03	0.88	1.23	83.6	8.2	34.0	4.7	10.5	7.8	14	0.21

Table 2. Summary Statistics of Fiber Samples used: AFIS Data

Cotton		L(w) [in]	SFC (w) %	L(n) [in]	SFC (n) %	Fin. mTx	MR	Nep Cnt/g	SCN Cnt/g	Dust Cnt/g	Trash Cnt/g	VFM [%]
LINTERS	min	0.50	43.0	0.27	76.4	168	0.69	1188	399	3266	447	15.90
	max	0.63	57.1	0.34	85.3	176	0.74	1476	533	6011	824	23.66
	ave.	0.56	49.8	0.30	80.9	172	0.71	1327	470	4210	555	18.49
COMBERS	min	0.43	17.0	0.33	39.1	135	0.73	235	3	88	1	0.07
	max	0.82	72.1	0.63	86.2	177	0.86	1242	134	799	94	1.91
	ave.	0.61	47.1	0.42	71.0	147	0.76	678	27	237	19	0.35
REGINS	min	0.75	11.4	0.50	29.4	153	0.73	571	29	255	61	1.44
	max	0.91	26.1	0.72	58.4	184	0.88	1741	134	1600	279	6.64
	ave.	0.82	18.5	0.62	41.8	170	0.81	972	69	545	134	3.54
Upland	min	0.90	6.7	0.74	19.3	154	0.82	144	8	63	9	0.27
	max	0.99	10.8	0.84	27.5	182	0.88	367	38	1495	202	5.38
	ave.	0.95	8.4	0.79	22.9	168	0.85	237	20	500	70	1.90
USDA cotton	min	0.75	5.2	0.60	16.7	147	0.77	152	6	56	23	0.55
	max	1.07	19.2	0.91	39.9	191	0.93	1160	42	755	96	2.20
	ave.	0.92	10.8	0.75	26.9	168	0.86	404	20	317	51	1.25
ELS	min	0.82	1.8	0.66	11.7	135	0.76	77	1	188	26	0.66
	max	1.24	14.5	1.05	34.3	198	0.95	800	25	1151	144	3.17
	ave.	1.06	6.6	0.88	19.7	159	0.87	271	12	571	60	1.45

3. Discussions

3.1. Hypothesis 1: Micronaire Values Fully Reflect the Fineness and Maturity of Cotton Fibers

The theory of Micronaire device is based on measuring the air flow through a sample constituted of randomly oriented fibers. AFIS calculates the fiber fineness in millitex from measured fiber diameter and assumed density. Figure 1 shows the value of Micronaire reading and AFIS fineness (millitex) for the different cotton samples tested in this study. As can be seen in this Figure, cotton linters exhibit exceptionally high Micronaire values. These high values were not fully supported by AFIS fineness values; instead the AFIS fineness values were in the middle to high range. Another noticeable observation of this Figure is the leveling-off of AFIS fineness values associated with an increase in Micronaire over some of the Upland cotton samples. This observation is further illustrated in Figure 2, which shows a wide scatter of finesses over a certain level of Micronaire reading. Generally, a lack of good correlation between fineness and Micronaire is attributed to the well-known fact that Micronaire is a combined index of both fineness and maturity. However, a closer look at some of the samples that exhibited approximately the same Micronaire, yet a wide range of AFIS fineness (e.g. points A, B, and C, Figure 3) reveals interesting results. These three samples exhibited approximately the same Micronaire values, also the same maturity, yet a wide range (34 points) of millitex. As can be seen in the data listed with the Figure, the key difference was in the trash levels of these samples. The Micronaire-Fineness relationship was corrected by removing of trash-biased points in the data. The correlation coefficient r was increase to be 0.844.




Figure 1. HA Micronaire and AFIS Fineness of Different Cotton Types




Figure 2. HA Micronaire vs. AFIS Fineness




Figure 3. Specific HVI Micronaire and AFIS Maturity Values

In light of the above results, the hypothesis that Micronaire value fully reflects the fineness and maturity of cotton fibers can be in doubt when samples of high trash levels are tested. Figure 4 shows the correlation coefficients between Micronaire and other fiber properties such as fineness, maturity, and trash parameters. As can be seen in this Figure, there is a good positive correlation between Micronaire reading and all trash parameters measured by the AFIS and the HVI system. Note that these correlations were obtained after excluding the cotton linter data. It is important therefore that the Micronaire reading be corrected for the impact of trash content on its reliability.




Figure 4. Correlation Coefficients Derived from Micronaire Reading and Other Fiber Attributes

The presence of excessive trash particles in the sample is likely leads to an easier flow of air through the cotton sample during Micronaire testing and results in apparent higher values. Also, trash particles may create voids by virtue of their obstructing size. This is particularly true for large trash particles. As a result the density and the specific surface of a high trash sample will be different than that of a low trash sample. Figure 4 also shows that the relationship between HVI Micronaire and AFIS maturity ratio was not significant. This point will be discussed in the next hypothesis.

3.2. Hypothesis 2: AFIS Maturity Ratio Truly Reflects the Extent of Maturity of Cotton Fibers

AFIS maturity ratio is measured optically as a reflection of the extent of roundness of the fiber. The maturity ratio is also estimated in the HVI using data of fiber Micronaire, fiber length, and fiber strength. Figure 5 shows the value of HVI maturity ratio and AFIS maturity ratio for the different cotton samples tested in this study. As can be seen in this Figure, cotton linters exhibit exceptionally high maturity ratio by the HVI estimation equation, and exceptionally low maturity ratio measured by the AFIS. In addition, maturity ratio estimated by the HVI is consistently higher than that measured by the AFIS for all cotton samples. More importantly, one can see that the HVI maturity ratio does not follow the incremental trends of the AFIS maturity ratio, which was induced by sorting the maturity ratio within each cotton type.




Figure 5. Maturity Ratio of Different Cotton Types




Figure 6. HVI MR-AFIS MR Relationship

The above observations yielded a poor correlation between the measured AFIS maturity ratio and the estimated HVI maturity ratio as shown in Figure 6. This is despite that fact that the HVI maturity ratio was estimated in reference to the AFIS maturity ratio. It is also important to point out that the difference between the HVI maturity ratio and the AFIS maturity ratio is highly negatively correlated with the AFIS maturity ratio as shown in Figure 7. This means that as the AFIS maturity ratio increases, the difference between the two parameters decreases in a linear fashion. This is an indication of a systematic error of the estimation of maturity ratio by the HVI system.




Figure 7. Relationship Between the Difference Between HVI and AFIS Maturity Ratio and AFIS MR (Cotton Linters excluded).

Close examination of the AFIS maturity ratio revealed even more stunning results. As can be seen in Figures 8 and 9, there is a negative correlation between the AFIS maturity ratio and short fiber content




Figure 8. AFIS Maturity Ratio and AFIS SFC of Different Cotton Types




Figure 9 AFIS MR-AFIS SFC Relationship (All Cotton Samples)

This negative correlation was exaggerated by the use of waste samples such as linters, comber noils, and regin fibers. However, even with normal cottons, the linear negative correlation between the AFIS maturity ratio and short fiber content still persist even with wider scatter.

In view of the above results the hypothesis that the AFIS maturity ratio truly reflects the extent of maturity of cotton fibers is now in doubt. It seems that the capability of the AFIS to reliably measure fiber maturity is limited by the extent of fiber length passing through the AFIS sensor.

3.3. Hypothesis 3: HVI Color Parameters, Particularly Rd, Fully Reflects The Inherent Color of Cotton Fibers

Figure 10 shows the values of HVI color Rd and color +b for the different cotton samples tested in this study. As can be seen in this Figure, cotton linters exhibit exceptionally low Rd value and exceptionally low +b values. The results of this figure also indicate that the color Rd and +b parameters may exhibit some correlations. Figure 11 shows the relationship between these two color parameters for Upland cottons. As can be seen in this Figure, the correlation

between the two parameters depends largely on the level of +b. For low levels of +b (in the common practical range), there is a positive correlation between the parameters. At the very high levels of +b, the correlation tends to be negative.




Figure 10 Color Rd and Color +b of Different Cotton Types

One of the reasons for addressing the hypothesis above is the possibility of the influence of trash or off-white color particles on the values of the color reflectance of cotton. Figures 12 and 13 clearly address this point using the cottons tested in this study. As can be seen in these Figures, There is an obvious negative correlation between trash and color Rd. Referring to our hypothesis, one should expect that the presence of off-white particles such as trash content should influence the color reflectance of the cotton. However, this raises an important point that need to be clarified; that is whether the color Rd parameter is an index of the inherent whiteness of cotton or the whiteness of the cotton sample. It would seem logical that the interest of fiber color should be independent of the trash content of the sample since color reflects unique physical and growing characteristics of cotton. It is recommended that a better image analysis approach be taken to isolate the inherent color of the fiber from the trash-biased sample color.

3.4. Hypothesis 4: HVI Trash Count Truly Reflects The Percent of Trash In A Cotton Bale

This hypothesis should be addressed with the full awareness of the purpose of measuring trash content on the HVI system. Typically, HVI trash measures are used to provide relative difference in trash content for normal cottons exhibiting low to medium levels of trash. When trash content exceeds certain limits one should not expect that HVI measures will be as effective as other weight-based trash measures. On that ground any analysis of this hypothesis should be based on normal cotton bales, not on waste bales.

Figure 14 shows the values of HVI trash count and AFIS visible foreign matter (VFM) for the different cotton samples tested in this study except cotton linters. Figure 15 shows the relationship between these two parameters. As can be seen in this Figure, both measures largely agree in trend. One can easily see that up to a certain level of HVI trash count (about 10) there is a corresponding scatter in AFIS VFM of up to about 1% difference. Beyond this point, the scatter increases as the trash content increases and it can be as high as 2.5% difference in AFIS VFM corresponding to the same level of HVI trash count. A high correlation (around 0.9) was found also between HVI trash count and AFIS trash parameters trash/gram and dust/gram. Figure 16 shows a very good correlation between HVI trash area and HVI trash count. The scatter increases as the trash level increases.

In light of the above results, the hypothesis that HVI trash count truly reflects the percent of trash in a cotton bale may be accurate up to a certain level of trash beyond which this hypothesis will become in doubt.




Figure 11. Color Rd-Color -b Relationship of Upland Cotton




Figure 12. Color Rd and HVI Trash Count of all Cotton




Figure 13. Color Rd-Trash Count Relationship of Upland Cotton




Figure 14. AFIS VFM and HVI Trash Count of all Cottons Excluding Lintex




Figure 16. Relationship between HVI Trash Area and Trash Count of all Cottons Excluding Lintex




Figure 15. Relationship between AFIS VFM and HVI Trash Count of all Cottons Excluding lintex

4. CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this study was not to raise too many doubts about HVI and AFIS Measures. It was to pinpoint issues that deserve attention if forward progress is desired. With the current trends of International HVI testing and Cotton Classing, these issues will become more serious in years to come. Summing up the above results, the following conclusions can be made:

- The hypothesis that Micronaire value fully reflects the fineness and maturity of cotton fibers can be in doubt when samples of high trash levels are tested. Also, the relationship between HVI Micronaire and AFIS

maturity ratio was found to be non significant

- The hypothesis that the AFIS maturity ratio truly reflects the extent of maturity of cotton fibers is now in doubt. It seems that the capability of the AFIS to reliably measure fiber maturity is limited by the extent of fiber length passing through the AFIS sensor.
- The hypothesis that the HVI Rd color parameter fully reflects the inherent color of cotton is now in doubt. A better image analysis approach needs to be taken to isolate the inherent color of the fiber from the trash-biased sample color
- The hypothesis that HVI trash count truly reflects the percent

of trash in a cotton bale may be accurate up to a certain level of trash beyond which this hypothesis will become in doubt.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank USTER Technologies, McKay & Company, Inc. – Roswell, GA, Newco Fiber Company – Charlotte, NC, T.J.Beall Company – West Point, GA, and Continental Eagle Corp. – Prattville, AL for their valuable and continuous support.

REFERENCES

1. El Mogahzy, Y. E., Chewning, C. Jr., "Cotton Fiber to Yarn Manufacturing Technology", Cotton Incorporated, Cary NC, 2000.
2. El Mogahzy, Y. E., and Broughton, R., Preparatory Processing Performance and Blending Efficiency of Cotton Fibers. Melliland Textiles (English/German Edition), Vol. 4, pp E52-E55, G212-G218, 1995.
3. EL Mogahzy, Y.E., Broughton, R., Guo, H., and Taylor, R. A., Evaluating Staple Fiber Processing Propensity, Part I: Processing Propensity of Cotton Fibers, Textile Res. J., 68(11), 835-840, 1998.
4. Zhang , Y., Shofner, C., Shofner, F. , True Short Fiber Content: Complete Fiber Length Distributions from Tapered Beards", Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference, pp1967-1977, 2003.
5. Cui X. et al, Measuring the Short Fiber Content of Cotton, Textile research Journal 73(10)891-895 2003.
6. Frydrych and Matusiak, Methods of Cotton contamination Measurement, Meeting of ITMF International committee on cotton Testing Methods, Bremen, 2000.
7. Frydrych and Matusiak, Comparison between Methods of Cotton Maturity Measurements, Same Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference, New Orleans, 2005.
8. Knowlton J.L., Evaluation of Short Fibeer Measuring methods, Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference, pp2370-2377, San Antonio, 2004.
9. HVI manuals, Zellweger Uster, 2004.
10. AFIS manuals, Zellweger Uster, 1996.