Studis on Cocccidiosis of camel in Assiut Governnate

A.M.Elbadr M.Essa M.Tolba Asmma Metwally G.Amin Taher Faculty of medicine. Asuit university

Abstract

Camels were investigated for their with Eimeria species, 33.3% of the examined camels were positive. Three species were detected: Eimeria cameli (18.39%), E. dromedarii (16.1%) and E. najasthami (6.9) Infection with single spp. (5.74%) and last 3 spp. (1.14%). Young camels are more susceptible to Eimeria infection (52%) than old aged ones (25.8%) The morphology of the unsporulated and sporulated oocyts as well as the sporulation of each species was recorded

Introduction

Gill, (1976) mentioned that out of a total of 321 camels from Punjab and Rajasthan examined for gastrointinal protozoal infections 87 (27%) animal (24%). The species of Eimeria infection was recoreded in 77 animal (24%) The species of Eimeria recorded were E. cameli (11.*%) E. dromedarii (9.3%), E.noller (5.9%) E. pellerdyi (9.3%) and E. rajasthani (4.0%).

Kawasmeh and El bihari, (1983) reported for the first time Eimeria cameli from Saudi Arabian camel.

Hussein et al, (1987) stated that three species of Eimeria oocysts were deted in the faecal samples of camels examined at the abattoirs of were detected in the faecal samples of camels examined the abattoirs of Saudi Arabia kingdom, E. dromedarii. E. rajasthani and E. cameli with an infection rate of 40% E. dromedarii was the most prevalent and E.camelii the least common Most infections were with a single Eimeria species but some camels had two or three species. Sakr (1988) in a study on the enteric protozoa of camels in Egypt found that the incidence of Eimeria spp in camels was 8.23% He also recorded two species of Eimeria namely Eimeria bactrani (6.09%) and Eimeria rajasthani (1.9%). El.Manyawe and Iskander (1994) detected Eimeria spp in 80 cases (19.7%) out of 800 faecal samples which were collected from camels of different aggs and sexes from Embaba market in Egypt Two species of Eimeria were identified Eimeria dromedarii 50 (9.2%) and Eimeria noller 30 (5.5%).

Morsy (1997) found that fourty percent of investigated camels (Camels dromedaries) in Egypt were infected with Eimeria spp., four Eimeria spp were reported: E. bactriani 35.1%, E.rajasthani 36.7% E.dromedarii 2.9% and E.cameli 2.4% Mixed infection, with two Eimeria species is the most common 32.2% then single spp 5.4% then three spp 1.5% and last fuor sp. 0.98% The morphology of the undporulated and sporulated oocysts of Eimeria spp was described. Monib and Arafa (2000) reported that out of 90 infected camels in Assiut Governirate with gastrointestinal pararitic stages in the faecal matter, coccidian oocysts were encountered in 15 animals (13.3%) as single infection and 16 camels as mixed infection was (27.4%) Two Species of Eimeria oocysts were recovered E.cameli oocysts and E.dromedarii oocysts which were recovered in 22 camels (71%) and 12 camels (38.7%) out of 31 infected camels respectively.

Materials and Methods

The oocysts were collected from the faccal samples by sedimentation and th were poured into clean Petri-dishes (5cm in diameter) containing 2.5% solution of potassium dichromate for the depth of 5mm.

They were incubared at 27 °C and were daily exposed to air for oxygenation oocysts.

Exzmined daily to follow up the process of sporulation .

The supernatant fluid was decanted and the remaining sediment was resuspended in distilled water and the centrifugation was repeated for sever times until the supernatant fluid becomes clear. Then examined microscopica for studying the morphological characters of sporulated oocysts of differe species of Eimeria according to (Levine 1985)

Out of 174 examined czmels, Eimerian oocysts were encountered in the faec samp; so of 10 camels (5.74%) as a single infection and total incidencel rate infection was 33.3% the oocysts detected in the faecal samples of the examine camels belonged to three species Eimeria cameli, E.dromedarii and E.rajastha Eimeria cameli was the most prevalent and predominant species 32 came (18.30%) followed by Eimeria dromedarii 28(16.1%) and E.rajasthani (6.9%)(Table 2).

Table (1): The No. of Eimeria species in individual faecl samples infects camels.

No of Eimerian species which infected camels	No of positive cases from 174 examined camels	Percentage %		
Infection with single spp.	46	26.43		
Infection with two spp.	10	5.74		
Infection with three spp.	2	1.14		
Total infection	58	33.3		

Young aged camels of 1-3 years old are more suscepitable to Eimeria infectic (Total 50 infected 26 camels (52%) than those over 3 years (Total 124 infecte 32 camels (25.8%).

Table (2): prevalence and size of imeria spp. (Coccidian oocysts) found in the faeces of 174 examined camels.

Sporulation time	Size of oocysts	%	No	Eimeria species
29-32 days At 27°C	Average 80.5-92.8.86x65-70 um mean (83.3x68.3 um)	18.39	32	Eimeria cameli
7-8 days At 27°C	Average 25-32x20-26um Mean (28.33 x24 um)	16.1	28	Edromedarii
4:6 days At 27 °C	Average 26.8-38x22.8-32.2um mean (31.5 x 24-4um)	6.9	12	Erajasthani

Morphological charactes of Eimeria species detected in the faeces of examine camels :

The oocysts detected in the faecal samples of the examind camels belonged three species: Eimeria cameli, E.dromedarii and E.rajasthani. Eimeria came was the most prevalent and predominant species 38 camels (21.8%) followed be E.dromedarii 30 camels (17.24%) and E.rajasthani 12 camels (6.9%) out of 17 examinded camels respectively (plate 1).

Discussion

The present study revealed that the total incidence of Eimeria species in camels in Assiut Goverorate was 33.3% Eimerian oocysts were encountered in 10 camels (5.74%) as a mixed infection with helminth eggs, near similar results were observed by (Monib &Arafa 2000)in the same locality of Assiut Governororate (27.4%) who reported that single infection of Eimerian oocysts were detected in (13.3%) and mixed infection with helminth eggs in (14.2%) High rates of infection were reported by Hussien et al. (1987) in Saudi Arabia 40%, Morrsy (1997) in Egypt 40% Low rates of infection were mentioned by Gill (1976) in India 24%, Kawasmeh and

El-Bihari (1983) in Saudi Arabia 14%, Sakr (1988) in Egypt 8-23%and El-Manyawe& Iskadar (1994) in Egypt 19.7%

The present work revealed that 26.43% of camels were infected with single species of Eimerain oocysts, 5.74% with two species and 1.14% with three species in India Gill (1997) recorded Eimeria species infection at the rate of 13.4% 7.2%, 2.5% and 0.9% single, two spp, three and four spp. Respectively . in Egypt Morrsy (1997) reported camels infected with four species at the rate of . 4%,32.3%,1.5% and 0.98% single, two spp., three and four spp., respectively in the same locality of Assiut Governorate Monib & Arafa (2000) mentioned that 13.3% of camels were enconted with single species and 14.2% with two species. The high prevalence rate of infection in the present work may be attributed to some factors which encourage parasitic infestation as increased humidity, bad hygienic conditions and over crowdness. The species of Eimeria recorded in this study were Eimeria cameli (18.39%), E.dromedarii (16.1%) and E.cameli 11.8% E.dromedarii 9.3% and E.rajasthani 4.0% (Gill 1976). In Saudi Arabia Kawasmeh & El-Bihari(1983) 14% of examined camels. Hussein et al., (1987 in Saudi Arabia found oocysts of Eimeria spp. In a decreasing order of prevalence rate E.dromedari, E. rajasthani and E.cameli respectively. In Egypt Sakr (1988) found E. dromedarii (9.2%) were and E.noller (5.5%) (El-Manyawe and Iskander 1994).

Eimeria rajasthani, E.bactriani, E.dromedarii and E.cameli occurred in Egypt at the rate of 36.7%, 35.1%, 2.9% and 2.4% respectively (Morrsy 1997) Two species of Eimeria were reported froum camels in the same locality of Assiut Goveronrate E.cameli (19.46%) and E.dromedarii (10.6%) Monib&Arafa 2000).

The present work revealed that young camels (1-3 years) 52% are more susceptible to infection than older camels (over .3 years) 25.8% Hussein et al (1987) in Saudi Arabia mentioned that camle calves (98%) were more susceptible than older camels (18%) In Egypt Morsy (1997) reported that camels under one year (61.9%) were more susceptible to infection than older camels more than one year (17%) The higher prevalence rate of infection of the young aged camels in the present woek may be attributed to that camels are oocyst shedding carrier without clinical signs, some factors as over crowding, stress factors, bad hygienic

Condition might encourage the spreading of infection in adition to the fact that infection in Eimeria takes place from host to another one without intermediate houst thus infection easily spread.

In the present work the oocysts of E. cameli, E.dromedarii and E.rajasthani infecting camels in Assiut Governorate were described Eimeria cameli may be

the only species in the genus with agiant oocysts (80-5-92.86 x 65-70um) a thus can easily be differentiated from other camel oocysts not only in size t also by its colour and prominat micropyle as well. The synonymy of E.noell with E.cameli (Levine and Ivens 1970) has removed some of the confusi attending identification, leaving E,camels as the only known species with a lar oocyst. On the other hand in E.rajasthani the oocysts have nearly ellipsoid shape, are without a visible micropyle but have a well defined cap. Eime dromedarii have oval or subspherical oocysts which are truncate at one end a have a polar cap; their size being smaller than in E.rajasthani. The sporocysts E.dromedarii also differ from those of E.rajasthani in the absence of a stie body and sporocystiic residuum In the presnt study the detected oocysts Eimeria species in camels shoewed slight variation in siz and other mir characteristics from the previous studies (Duby & Pande 1963, Kawaseh and Bihari 1983, Levine 1985, Morsy 1997 and Monib & Arafa (2000).

In the present work the sporulation time of E.cameli (29-32 days at 27°C) w comparatively similar to that reportd by Kawasmeh & El-Bihari (1983) 23-days at 27°C and Morrsy (1997) (28-30 days at 27°C. However shorter peri was reported by Tsygankov (1950) 10-15 days at 16-20°C. Sporulation time E.dromedarii in the present study was 7-8 days at 27°C. Thesporulation time E.raiasthani in the present work.

4-6days at 27°C was similar to that reported by Rangarao & Sharma (1997) ² days at 27°C and also agreed with that reported by Morsy (1997) 7-8 days 27°C. The difference in sporulation time may be due to the difference environmental conditions and other ecological factors prevailing in differe countries.

Reference

El-Manyawe, and Iskander, A.R. (1994): A study of the gestro Intestinal parasites of camels Egypt. J. Egypt Vet. Med. Ass. 54.(1) 225-230 (1994)

Gill H.S. (1976): Incidence of Eimeria and infundibulorium in camels. Indian Vet.J.53,897-898.

Hussein H.S; Kasim, A.A. and Shawa, Y.R. (1987): The prevalence And pathology of Eime infections in camels in Saudi Arabia Journal of Comp. path 1987 Vol 97 293-297.

Kawasmeh, Z.A. and El-Bihari, S. (1983): Eimeria cameli (Henry and Masson 1932) Reichent 1952 Redescription and Prevalence in the easterm province of Saudi Arabia Corr Vet. 1983 73: 58-66.

Levine, N. D. (1977): Nomenclature of sarcocystis in the ox and sheep And of faecal coccidian of dog and cat. J. Parasitol 63:36-

Levine, N.D. (1985): Veterinary protozology lowa state University press Ames.

Levine, N.D. and V.Ivens (1970): The coccidian parasites (protozoa, Sporozoa) of ruminatns, Biod. Monogr No. 44 Univer III Press Urbana.

Monib , M.M. and Arafa, M.I. (2000): parasitological studies of so Gastrointestinal parasites of camels in Assiut Governorate With special reference to zoon nematode nematodes. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 43(87) 280-294

Morsy, N.G. (1997): Astudy on Eimeria species infecting camels (Camels dromedaries)in Egyi Vet.Med.J.Giza 45 No. 4 499-507.

Rangarao, G.S.C and Sharma, RL. (1997): Intestinal coccidiosis due to Eimeria rajasthani in car (Camelus dromedaries). Indian. Vet. Journal 74,427-428.

Sakr, H.R.M. (1988): Studies on the enteric protozoa of camel in Egy Thesis, M.V.Sc. Fac. Of Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.

Tsygankov, A.A. (1950): Date on a study of Coccidia of the camel (Russiam) Lzv. Ankazssr ser parazitol 8 174-180.