Integrated Application of Proline or Potassium in Alleviating the Adverse Effects of Irrigation Interval on Wheat Plants Desoky, E. M. ; N. M. El-Sarkassy and Seham A. Ibrahim Agric. Bot. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Zagazig University, Egypt



ABSTRACT

Drought stress is one of the most harmful factors of plant growth and productivity. Two pot experiments were carried out at greenhouse of agriculture botany department, faculty of agriculture, zagazig university, Sharkya Governorate, Egypt, during two successive winter seasons (2014/2015 and 2015/2016), to study the role of proline or potassium in mitigation the harmful impact of drought stress conditions on wheat plants c.v Misr 2 grown in Egypt. Growth parameters, yield and biochemical constituents were evaluated.Results show that all plant growth characters studied (shoot dry weight, leaf area and plant height) as well as yield and its components (dry weight of grains /plant, number of spikes/plant, number of grains/plant, number of grains/ spike, and1000-grains weight) were decreased with increasing irrigation intervals (irrigation every 10, 20 and 30 days) during the two successive growing seasons. The most effective treatment was Irrigation every 30 days in decreasing yield and its components. Integrated application of proline or potassium at rate of 0.1 and 0.2 % alleviated these negative effects by enhancing the growth and productivity. However, these increases were less than the control treatment. Moreover, it was found that drought stress decreased RWC, ELWR, RWL, photosynthetic pigments contents, whilst increasing, total phenol, proline as well as peroxidase and catalase activities in the leaves of wheat plants during the two growing seasons. However, application of proline or potassium increasing total phenols. Treatment of proline at rate of 0.2 % was the most effective in this respect. It is recommended that application of proline or potassium can fully or partially counteract the adverse effect of drought stress on growth, and productivity of wheat plants through their effects on biochemical constituents

Keywords : Wheat, Irrigation intervals, Adverse effcet, Proline, Potassium, Drought stress.Abb. :RWC; Relative Water Content. ELWR; Excised Leaf water retention. RWL; Relative Water Loss

INTRODUCTION

The most important cereal crops indented to poaceae as wheat *Triticum aestivum*. It is a stable diet for the world population and contributes more calories and protein to the world diet more than other cereal crop. It is grown on roughly 200 million hectares with production average of 600 million tons (Rajaram and Braun, 2006). The cultivated area of wheat in Egypt is about 3.4 million fedan with an average production of 9.4 million tons (Anonymous, 2017).

The abiotic stress as drought, which negatively affects performances of crop plants. Under drought, many crop plants are dehydrated and died. Drought stress significantly decreased growth and crop productivity. However, in certain tolerant/ adaptable crop plants morphological and metabolic changes happen in reaction to drought stress, which participate towards adaptation to such inescapable environmental constraints (Sinha et al., 1982; Blum, 1996). Through crop plants, wheat (Triticum aestivum), is one of the most important crops to study its behavior under water stress conditions due to the presence of natural genetic differences associated with resistance to water (Loggini et al., 1999). Drought not only affects the water relations of the plant through limiting water content and turgor, but it also causes stomatal closure which limiting gaseous exchange, reduces transpiration and stopping, carbon assimilation (photosynthesis) rates (Razak et al., 2013). During limiting water conditions, photosynthesis is an important plant food making organelles that function is repressed. Decreased the availability of CO₂, change in photochemical activity, photosynthesis and metabolism (Tang et al., 2002, Flexas et al., 2004). Plants exposed to water stress in field has decreased CO₂ uptake (Chaves, 2002). Free radical processes are activated during water stress condition that has significant possibility to decline photosynthesis, proteins and other plant metabolites. Plants have inbuilt mechanism to reduce drought stress harm through diminishing plant growth (Mitchell et al., 1998). Drought stress positively leads to oxidative stress in the plant cell due to higher leakage of electrons towards O2 during respiratory processes and photosynthetic leading to increase in producing of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Asada, 1999). The ROS such as H_2O_2 , O_2^- and OH radicals, can attack directly membrane lipids, inactivate metabolic enzymes and damage the nucleic acids leading to cell death (Mittler, 2002). Under normal conditions, the balance between ROS production and exhaustion is controlled by antioxidant enzymes system (Noctor and Foyer, 1998). This includes superoxide dismutase, catalase, ascorbate peroxidase, peroxidases and glutathione reductase which provides an active protection against deadly ROS in all the sub-cellular organelles of the plant cell (M⁻oller, 2001). Nowadays, a few numbers of materials are used to alleviate the water stress effects in plants. Some of these products that potentially improve water stress tolerance are inorganic or organic substance. Heuer, (1994) show that in many crops under conditions of environmental stresses, proline accumulate as compatible solutes, it plays an important role in osmotic adjustment. Proline plays a major role in osmotic adjustment in many different organisms including higher plants (Hasegawa et al., 2000) to enhanced their drought tolerance. Therefore, to increased plant productivity under water stress condition there is an alternative or additional approach which is exogenous application of Proline (Makale et al., 1996). Potassium has role in enhancing resistance of drought to harmful environmental conditions. Potassium (K) deficiency is important to soil fertility constraints in increasing world food production. (Srinivasarao et al., 2009).

Maathuis and Sanders, (1996) reported that the major plant macro-nutrient as potassium plays role of stomatal behavior, activity of enzymes, osmoregulation, cell expansion (Elumalai *et al.*, 2002). Toxicity of Na⁺

due to its ability to compete with K^+ for binding site essential for cellular function (Bhandal and Malik, 1988). Also, high Na⁺/K⁺ ratios and/or high levels of Na⁺ can damage many reactions of enzymes in the cytoplasm (Blaha *et al.*, 2000). In fact, for many species high K⁺/Na⁺ ratio is important than simply maintaining some low levels of Na⁺ and related to stress resistance (Cuin *et al.*, 2003). Application of potassium decreased the adverse effect of water stress through its role in plants as stomatal movement and closure, photosynthesis, protein synthesis, and water relations *i.e.* turgor regulation and osmotic adjustment (Marschner 1995).

Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the adverse effect of water stress on growth, physiobiochemical traits, water relations, endogenous proline, enzymatic antioxidants and yield and its components of wheat plants c.v. Misr 2 and to clarify the ameliorative effects of exogenously application whether proline or potassium on these criteria.

MATRIALS AND METHODS

Two pot experiments were conducted during the two successive winter seasons (2014/2015 and 2015/2016) at agriculture botany department, faculty of agriculture, zagazig university, Sharkya Governorate, Egypt.

1. Growth conditions, experimental design and treatments:

Healthy wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., cv. Misr 2) grains were obtained from Wheat Research Section, Agronomy Research Institute, Agriculture Research Centre, Giza, Egypt. The grains were sterilized by using 1% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite for 2 minutes washed with distilled water, and sown on the 20^{th} of November for both seasons. In plastic pots (30 cm inner diameter and filled with 10 kg of air dried clayey soil. Physical and chemical properties of the tested soil were determined according to Page *et al.* (1982) and presented in Table (1).

 Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of the investigated soil

Mecha	nical an <i>a</i>	lyses					Che	mical ana	lyses				
Coarse Sand%		Clay %		Cati mg/10	ions Og soil			Anio mg/100		E. Ca ⁺ 25c ds/m	PH Soil	WHC	
Saliu /	0 /0	/0	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na^+	\mathbf{K}^{+}	CO3 ⁻	HCO3 ⁻	Cľ	SO4 ⁻	(mmhos/cm)	Reaction	
44.60	27.32	28.08	2.78	1.65	2.45	0.1	0.00	0.5	1.20	5.36	2.91	7.31	33.56

Three levels of water stress as irrigation intervals were examined, including irrigation every 10 days as a control, and two irrigation every 20 days and 30 days. Proline or potassium (Solupotasse K₂O 50.9% from products of the Belgian company Sndrolo) each at rate of 0.1 and 0.2 % was applied as foliar spray treatments. Ten grains per pot were sown. Two weeks after sowing, seedlings were thinned to three plants per pot. Nitrogen was added as ammonium sulphate (20.5% N) at a rate of 50 mg kg⁻¹ soil in three equal doses. The first addition was done before the 1st irrigation, while the second and third doses were after 30 and 40 days later. The doses recommended by ministry of agriculture, Egypt, for all experimental treatments of phosphorus and potassium were added as ordinary super phosphate (6.5% P) and potassium sulphate (41.0% K), respectively at rates of 15 mg P and 40 mg K kg⁻¹ soil before sowing. The amount of potassium found in Solupotasse was calculated and added as a foliar spray for plants. The experiments were arranged in a factorial system design. Spraying till dripping with took place three times at 25,40 and 55 days from sowing using hand atomizer and with few drops of Tween- 20 as a wetting agent" (0.05 %).

2. Growth characteristics measurements:

Sample was taken at random at 65 days old (botting stage) during each growing season to record growth parameters and physiological properties. Plant height (cm) and leaf area (cm²) were measured. Shoot samples for dry weight were dried on 70°C for 48 h or till a constant weight and weighted.

3. Physiological characters:

Relative Water Content (RWC) as described by Barrs and Weatherley (1962) was estimated by using the following formula. $RWC = [(FW - DW)/(TW - DW)] \times 100.$

where, DW: dry weight at 80 °C, FW: fresh weight, TW, turgid weight.

Four new leaves were collected and weighed (FW) then left for 4 h to wilt at 25°C and reweighed (WW4h). Excised Leaf water retention (ELWR) was calculated using the following formula according to Farshadfar *et al.* (2002).

 $ELWR = [1- (FW-WW4h)/FW] \times 100$

Relative Water Loss (RWL was calculated using the following equation according to Gavuzzi *et al.* (1997).

$RWL = [(FW - WW4h)/(FW - DW)] \times 100.$

Chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids were extracted from fresh leaf sample (Fadeel, 1962). and estimated using the formula adapted by (Wettestein 1957).

Photochemical activity in fresh leaves of wheat plants were determined according to Jagendorf (1956) and modified by Avron (1960) using Ferricyanide.

Estimation of Free, bound and total phenols in fresh leaves by using the colorimetric method described by Snell and Snell (1953).

As for antioxidant enzymes measurements:

Thomas *et al.* (1982) method was used to estimate the activity of peroxidase (POD). Catalase (CAT) was assayed spectro-photo-chemically according to Chance and Maehly (1955).

Proline concentration was estimated according to the method given by Bates *et al.* (1973).

4. Yield and its components:

At harvesting, number of spikes/plant, number of grains/ spike, number of grains/plant, dry weight of grains /plant (g) and1000-grain weight (g) was determined.

Statistical analysis:

The data of all experiments were analysed statistically using analysis of variance according to Gomez and Gomez, (1984). Combined analysis of data of the two seasons (2014/2015 and 2015/2016) was conducted, the treatment means were compared using the least significant differences (LSD)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth characters and water relations:

Table (2) shows control plants had highest values of plant height (cm), leaf area (cm²), shoot dry weight (g), RWC, ELWR and RWL during botting stage comparing to the other two water irrigation intervals (every 20 days and 30 days). Drought stress significantly reduced the plant height, leaf area, dry weight of shoot. These results hold true at both two seasons. The reduction of dry weight due to water stress might be attributed with the reduction in plant height as well as No. of leaves and tillers. In present study, Relative water content (RWC) was determined to give indication on the plant water stress applied at botting stage of wheat cultivar Misr 2. The highest RWC was reduced in the plant

grown under normal condition (10 days) and the minimum was detected in sever condition (30 days). Excised leaf water retention (ELWR) and relative water loss (RWL) significantly reduced an increase in water irrigation intervals.

These observations are in full agreement with the finding of Mirbahar et.al., (2009) who found that water stress significantly reduced growth characters and cell division. Several changes inside the cell, including synthesis of molecular chaperones, changes in levels of gene expression, and enzymic activity involved in the production and removal of ROS as a consequence of drought stress (Mahajan and Tuteja, 2005). Siddique et al. (2000) reported that plants lose their turgor and thus cell expansion and growth are reduced, under water stress conditions, there was a positive relation between photosynthetic rate and RWC. In this connection, Akram, (2011) indicated that increasing yield and yield components were associated with high value of RWC. Progressively, Leaf size, stems extension and root proliferation, wateruse efficiency reduces, and plant water relations disturbs by drought stress. (Anjum, et. Al. 2011). (Hussain et al., 2008) added that drought caused weakened mitosis; cell elongation and expansion

Table 2. Effect of water irrigation intervals and potassium or proline as well as their interactions on water relations measurements and growth parameters of wheat plant during the two growing seasons 2014/2015 and 2015/2016

2014 /2015 and 2015/2016.													
	Plant height		Leaf area		Dry weight		RWC		ELWR		RWL		
Character	rs	(cm)		(c1	n ²)	of sho	oot (g)	Rwe				N W L	
Treatmen	ts	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
Effect of v	vater irrigation	n interva	ıls										
10 days(co		75.00	72.37	31.00	29.22	5.46	5.15	75.43	75.84	76.15	75.22	93.37	90.62
20 days		66.27	62.50	23.48	24.71	4.09	4.05	69.07	68.25	68.62	72.10	76.94	79.59
30 days		58.90	59.53	17.40	17.84	3.15	3.00	62.61	57.55	50.99	56.05	59.94	54.57
L.S.D. (0.0	05)	6.44	3.82	3.60	5.45	0.58	0.83	8.54	5.49	4.98	3.74	14.54	15.20
Effect of f	oliar spray wi	th Potas	sium or	Proline									
Distilled w		59.72	58.72	22.18	20.98	3.81	3.75	66.98	62.13	57.01	61.87	67.02	69.33
Potassium	0.1%	63.67	62.72	23.15	22.19	4.02	3.92	67.63	65.86	62.87	65.16	71.42	73.01
Potassium	0.2%	65.94	63.78	23.61	24.34	4.14	4.12	68.59	67.29	65.74	69.25	77.61	76.37
Proline 0.1%		71.50	68.44	24.52	24.53	4.52	4.15	70.33	69.98	68.29	71.20	81.18	77.57
Proline 0.2%		72.78	70.33	26.33	27.57	4.68	4.38	71.67	70.80	72.38	71.47	86.52	78.38
L.S.D. (0.05)		5.09	4.55	3.36	4.10	1.00	1.21	6.41	4.31	6.71	4.20	12.18	11.67
irrigation intervals	Foliar spray					Ι	nteracti	ion Effe	ct				
	Distilled water	71.83	70.33	28.73	24.61	4.87	5.08	72.55	74.32	73.62	74.02	86.70	84.68
10 1	K 0.1%	70.17	70.17	29.71	26.20	5.39	5.12	73.69	74.87	75.11	74.04	87.40	85.76
10 days	K 0.2%	72.00	70.67	30.54	30.61	5.50	5.12	74.20	75.02	75.75	74.16	95.36	92.56
(cont.)	Pr 0.1%	80.17	73.67	31.46	31.09	5.72	5.17	78.18	77.14	77.16	76.66	98.11	94.10
	Pr 0.2%	80.83	77.00	34.54	33.58	5.82	5.23	78.55	77.85	79.13	77.19	99.26	96.01
	Distilled water	55.33	54.50	22.42	22.32	3.70	3.59	67.35	62.24	65.22	67.41	62.57	77.39
	K 0.1%	67.50	60.50	23.04	24.01	3.76	3.94	67.71	65.19	65.61	71.95	73.79	79.65
20 days	K 0.2%	67.00	62.83	23.65	24.30	3.86	4.06	68.43	67.53	68.22	73.57	80.82	79.72
	Pr 0.1%	70.67	67.00	24.09	24.35	4.55	4.25	69.64	72.47	70.54	73.66	81.14	80.51
	Pr 0.2%	70.83	67.67	24.18	28.55	4.59	4.39	72.24	73.84	73.52	73.93	86.38	80.70
	Distilled water	52.00	51.33	15.40	16.02	2.85	2.58	61.04	49.84	32.19	44.18	51.79	45.91
	K 0.1%	53.33	57.50	16.71	16.37	2.90	2.70	61.48	57.52	47.88	49.50	53.07	53.61
30 days	K 0.2%	58.83	57.83	16.63	18.10	3.07	3.17	63.15	59.32	53.23	60.02	56.65	56.82
	Pr 0.1%	63.67	64.67	18.00	18.14	3.29	3.02	63.17	60.33	57.16	63.27	64.29	58.09
	Pr 0.2%	66.67	66.33	20.27	20.59	3.62	3.52	64.21	60.72	64.48	63.30	73.92	58.43
L.S.D. (0.	05)	10.09	7.96	6.27	8.28	1.65	2.04	12.97	8.56	11.47	7.45	23.60	23.39

RWC: Relative Water Content, ELWR: Leaf water retention, RWL: Relative Water Loss

Concerning the effect of proline or potassium on plant growth characters, the results in Table (2) show significant increases in plant height, leaf area, shoot dry weight, RWC, ELWR and RWL as a result of spraying wheat plants with proline or potassium (at rate of 0.1 and 0.2 %), the trend was true for wheat plant c.v. Misr 2 during botting stage in the two growing seasons. Under drought stress conditions, the critical roles of proline have been actively researched to understand the tolerance of plants to dehydration. (Reddy *et al.*, 2004).

Proline is an amino acid and compatible solutes and plays a crucial major role in osmoregulation and osmotolerance (Helaly et al. 2017). They added that it protects membranes and proteins against the destabilizing effects of dehydration and under stress conditions, it has some ability to scavenge free radicals generated (Ashraf and Foolad 2007). Potassium as plant macro-nutrient plays an important role related to decline the harmful effect of drought stress through its role of cell expansion, closure, behavior and movement of stomata. (Elumalai et al., 2002) and water relations as osmotic adjustment and turgor regulation in plants (Marschner 1995). K-fed plants maintained higher leaf water potential, lower osmotic potential and RWC and turgor potential as compared to untreated plants of wheat (Pier and Berkowitz 1987). Cackmark (2002) showed that production of oxygen radicals generates by the activity of NADPH oxidase, could alleviated by K supply. He suggested that potassium is a very important cation for multiple roles in plant growth and metabolism. In various physiological processes, potassium plays a vital role such as meristematic growth, cation/anion balance, osmoregulation and stomatal movement (Epstein and Bloom, 2005).

In conclusion, the adverse effects of water deficit condition irrigation intervals on the growth criteria and water relations measurements of wheat plants *c.v.* Misr2 can be partially mitigated by foliar sprayed of proline or potassium.

Photochemical activity:

In table (3) data show the effect of proline or potassium as foliar application on photosynthetic pigments and photochemical activity in fresh leaves of wheat plants c.v. Misr 2 grown under different levels of irrigation intervals. Different irrigation intervals treatments decreased significantly the photosynthetic pigments *i.e.* chlorophyll a, b, carotenoids and photochemical activity in the homogenate leaves in the two growing seasons. The severe drought stress treatment (irrigation every 30 days) was the most effective treatment in this result compared with the control. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Reddy, et al., (2004) who reported that, photosynthetic pigments synthesis and CO₂ assimilation rates decreased due to drought stress as a result of reduction in stomatal conductance. They added that drought stress leads to a reduction in the contents and activities of photosynthetic carbon reduction cycle enzymes. Water stress induced by irrigation intervals decreased the chl. a, chl., b and carotenoids. This reduction in the photosynthetic pigments and photochemical activity under water stress observed here is in agreement with the results of Huseynova et al., (2007) who reported that wheat plants grown under normal water supply and severe water deficit showed the intensive fluorescence at 740 nm and higher photochemical activity of PS II which decreased under water deficit condition. Farooq, et al., (2009) noticed that, under drought stress condition, photosynthetic pigments were decreased and produced changes in the ratio of chlorophyll 'a' and 'b' and carotenoids. Abdul Jaleel, et al., (2009) showed that synthesis of photosynthetic pigments were reduced by water deficit. The foliar photosynthetic rate of higher plants is known to decrease the relative water content (RWC) and leaf water potential. However, the debate continues as to whether drought mainly limits photosynthesis through metabolic impairment or stomatal closure (Lawson, et al., 2003). Under drought stress, plants generally display many physiological responses such as decreased / stopped photosynthetic activity (Secenii, et al., 2005). Photosynthetic pigments disrupt and the gas exchange reduces by drought stress leading to a reduction in plant growth and productivity. (Anjum, et. al. 2011).

Concerning the applied proline or potassium, data in the same table show that, each of proline or potassium (0.1 %& 0.2%) increased photosynthetic pigments content and photochemical activity in the leaves during the two growing seasons. Proline at 0.2% level was the most effective in this respect. As for the interaction effects, it could be shown that all application of proline or potassium at rate 0.1 % & 0.2% enhanced the contents of photosynthetic pigments and photochemical activity under drought stress levels (irrigation every 20, 30 days). Applied proline or potassium can partially mitigate the harmful effect of drought stress on photosynthetic pigments and photochemical activity in wheat plants. Stomatal closure is related with K transport and high proline content of the plant parts (Reddy, et al., 2004). By the excess K in the leaf can be partial protected photosynthesis from the harmful effects of drought stress. Through the mechanism of a K/H antiport system, the protective impact appears by extra choloroplantic K in the plant cells, possibly acting on chloroplast photosynthesis. (Srinivasarao et.al., 2009). Potassium plays a vital role in various physiological processes, such as photosynthesis, osmoregulation, and protein synthesis (Epstein and Bloom, 2005). Increase in photosynthetic rate due to foliar application of K might have been due to stomatal or non-stomatal limitations, major controlling factors of photosynthetic rate (Dubey, 2005). Phenols, proline, as well as activities of peroxidase and Catalase:

Data presented in Table (4) show the changes of total phenols (free and bound phenols), proline, catalase (CAT) and peroxidase (PX) activities in the leaves of wheat plants as affected by water irrigation intervals and integrated applied of proline or potassium. Data indicated that total phenol, proline, peroxidase and catalase activities an increased gradually with increase in drought stress in the shoot of wheat plants during the two growing seasons. The obtained results are in agreement with those obtained by Mallick *et al.*, (2011) who showed that superoxide dismutase, catalase and peroxidase activities in leaves increased under water

On the contrary, POD activities and MDA stress. contents greatly increased in response to water stress (Helaly et al. 2017). It is well known that the proline contents in leaves of many plants get enhanced by several stresses including drought stress. Higher proline content in wheat plants after water stress has been reported by Errabii et al. (2006). Relative water content (RWC) decreased and proline content increased under drought stress (Mahajan and Tuteja, 2005) and Hura et al., (2008) reported that during drought stress, phenolics change optical properties of leaves and have possibility to protect photosynthetic apparatus. Drought stress cause increase of phenolics compound in leaf tissue (D'souza and Devaraj 2011). The increase of proline and soluble phenols were much higher in pea and wheat plants, due to drought stress alone Alexieva et al., (2001).

As for the effect of proline or potassium at the same data show that they promoted the synthesis and accumulation of proline and peroxidase and catalase activities under drought stress levels. Proline 0.2% treatment and irrigation every 30 days were most effective in this respect. But each of the potassium or proline decreased total phenols. For the interaction, data also show that, the application of potassium or proline gave similar response to enhance the contents of proline, promote the enzymatic activity of peroxidase

and catalase but reduced total phenols, under drought stress during the two growing seasons. It can also be inferred that proline acts as a free radical scavenger and may be more important in overcoming stress than in acting as a simple osmolyte proline accumulation caused by drought stress in maize plant does not seem to be an indication of drought stress resistance, but rather a symptom of it. For this accumulation to take place it seems that fully organized chloroplasts are required as well as the systemic development of the plant. Caballero et al (2008). Elumalai et al., (2002) reported that the major plant macro-nutrient as potassium plays role of stomatal behavior, activity of enzymes, osmoregulation, cell expansion Osmolytes as proline play a major role in osmotic adjustment and protect the cells by scavenging ROS (Helaly et al., 2017). Proline involved in reducing the photodamage in the thylakoid membranes by scavenging and/or reducing the production of ${}^{1}O_{2}$. (Pinhero et al., 2001). Proline accumulation in plants is caused, by the activation of proline biosynthesis, and the inactivation of proline degradation. Secenji et al. (2005) reported that the accumulation of proline is one of the most studied phenomenon as an osmoprotectant. Under water deficit, proline biosynthesis is increased and due to increased expression of the key enzyme.

Table 3. Effect of water irrigation intervals and potassium or proline as well as their interactions on photosynthetic pigments (mg. /g. F. Wt.) and photochemical activity (μmol /mgchl. per10min.) of fresh leaves of wheat plant during the two growing seasons 2014/2015 and 2015/2016.

	aves of wheat pl		0		<u> </u>	0					
Characters			<u>l. a</u>		<u>l. b</u>					Photochemical	activity
Treatments			2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
Effect of water irrig	gation intervals										
10 days(cont.)						2.50			0.70	162.51	160.95
20 days			1.21			2.19		0.76	0.62	154.37	152.33
30 days						1.74		0.71	0.62	131.64	128.90
L.S.D. (0.05)				0.145	0.141	0.185	0.193	0.003	0.013	1.36	1.62
Effect of foliar spra	y with Potassiur	n or Pr	oline								
Distilled water		1.43	1.11	0.56	0.51	1.98	1.62	0.73	0.63	144.20	141.84
Potassium 0.1%		1.52	1.17	0.57	0.53	2.09	1.69	0.74	0.64	147.76	145.48
Potassium 0.2%		1.54	1.19	0.62	0.56	2.16	1.75	0.75	0.65	149.86	147.76
Proline 0.1%		1.54	1.23	0.66	0.58	2.21	1.81	0.75	0.65	151.66	149.71
Proline 0.2%		1.59	1.23	0.68	0.61	2.28	1.84	0.75	0.65	154.06	152.18
L.S.D. (0.05)		0.115	0.070	0.066	0.105	0.139	0.132	0.004	0.011	2.23	2.26
irrigation intervals	Foliar spray						Intera	action I	Effect		
e	Distilled water	1.682	1.248	0.684	0.657	2.365	1.905	0.760	0.671	158.22	156.51
10.1	K 0.1%	1.746	1.271	0.705	0.662	2.451	1.933	0.761	0.676	159.22	157.59
10 days	K 0.2%	1.767	1.298	0.710	0.663	2.477	1.961	0.762	0.715	161.87	160.31
(cont.)	Pr 0.1%	1.771	1.302	0.785	0.666	2.555	1.968	0.762	0.716	164.40	162.90
	Pr 0.2%	1.833	1.302	0.805	0.704	2.638	2.006	0.775	0.722	168.86	167.43
	Distilled water	1.483	1.190	0.601	0.501	2.084	1.691	0.744	0.621	148.67	146.42
	K 0.1%	1.545	1.190	0.611	0.533	2.156	1.723	0.755	0.621	153.27	151.09
20 days	K 0.2%	1.569	1.193	0.618	0.616	2.186	1.809	0.759	0.621	155.00	152.86
5	Pr 0.1%	1.576	1.246	0.629	0.638	2.205	1.884	0.759	0.621	157.29	155.41
	Pr 0.2%	1.646	1.246	0.661	0.646	2.307	1.892	0.760	0.622	157.64	155.84
	Distilled water	1.121	0.895	0.381	0.382	1.502	1.277	0.697	0.606	125.73	122.59
	K 0.1%							0.715		130.79	127.76
30 days	K 0.2%							0.715		132.70	130.10
<i>j</i> ~	Pr 0.1%							0.715		133.30	130.80
	Pr 0.2%							0.716		135.69	133.25
L.S.D. (0.05)								0.006		3.62	3.84
2.5.2. (0.00)		5.107	<u>.</u> 1	0.170	U	5.201	5.270	0.000	5.021	5.02	5.01

Desoky, E. M. et al.

seasons 2014 /2015 and 2015/2016.													
		Cata	alase	Peroy	kidase	Pro	line	Fr	·ee	Bo	und	Total p	ohenols
Characters	Characters		$1_2O_2/mg$	•	2 2 0	μmoles						mg/gm	
Treatment	s	protein/min		protein/min		/gm F. wt.		phenols		phenols		F.Wt.	
		2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
Effect of wa	ater irrigation i	intervals											
10 days(cor	nt.)	376.92	375.66	0.53	0.49	9.67	8.42	1.13	1.15	0.61	0.66	1.74	1.82
20 days		439.29	438.04	0.65	0.61	13.16	12.58	1.22	1.24	0.69	0.74	1.91	1.98
30 days		486.65	485.39	1.05	1.02	18.35	16.95	1.33	1.37	0.77	0.73	2.10	2.10
L.S.D. (0.0	5)	64.25	64.25	0.18	0.18	0.63	0.88	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.06
Effect of fo	liar spray with	Potassiu	n or Prol	ine									
Distilled wa	ater	407.17	405.91	0.65	0.61	12.23	11.55	1.27	1.32	0.79	0.77	2.06	2.09
Potassium (0.1%	414.26	413.00	0.69	0.65	13.04	11.95	1.25	1.27	0.69	0.72	1.94	1.99
Potassium (0.2%	435.23	433.97	0.74	0.70	13.73	12.62	1.23	1.26	0.70	0.70	1.93	1.96
Proline 0.19	%	446.57	445.32	0.78	0.75	14.21	13.33	1.21	1.24	0.65	0.70	1.86	1.94
Proline 0.2	%	468.21	466.96	0.85	0.81	15.43	13.80	1.16	1.19	0.63	0.66	1.79	1.85
L.S.D. (0.05	5)	51.97	51.97	0.25	0.25	0.79	0.84	0.04	0.03	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.10
Water	·												
irrigation	Foliar spray					Intera	action E	ffect					
intervals	1 2												
	Distilled water	344.30	343.04	0.442	0.404	8.43	7.40	1.17	1.19	0.66	0.74	1.83	1.93
10 1	K 0.1%	351.05	349.79	0.509	0.472	9.38	8.23	1.17	1.19	0.63	0.70	1.80	1.89
10 days	K 0.2%	382.69	381.43	0.554	0.517	9.86	8.35	1.16	1.18	0.64	0.67	1.79	1.85
(cont.)	Pr 0.1%	399.73	398.48	0.555	0.518	10.23	8.87	1.13	1.16	0.58	0.69	1.72	1.85
	Pr 0.2%	406.83	405.57	0.576	0.539	10.42	9.26	1.02	1.05	0.53	0.52	1.55	1.57
	Distilled water	420.17	418.92	0.579	0.541	11.14	11.42	1.27	1.33	0.72	0.68	1.99	2.01
	K 0.1%	427.27	426.01	0.599	0.562	12.49	11.56	1.22	1.26	0.75	0.74	1.98	2.00
20 days	K 0.2%	442.50	441.25	0.667	0.629	12.75	11.90	1.22	1.24	0.73	0.74	1.94	1.98
2	Pr 0.1%	449.93	448.67	0.666	0.629	13.51	13.84	1.19	1.21	0.64	0.76	1.83	1.96
	Pr 0.2%	456.60	455.35	0.727	0.689	15.90	14.16	1.18	1.19	0.63	0.77	1.81	1.96
	Distilled	457.02		0.022	0.000	1711	15.04	1 27	1 4 4	0.00	0.00	2.25	2.22
	water	457.03	455.77	0.933	0.896	17.11	15.84	1.37	1.44	0.98	0.88	2.35	2.32
20.1	K 0.1%	464.45	463.20	0.967	0.929	17.24	16.05	1.37	1.36	0.69	0.72	2.05	2.07
30 days	K 0.2%	480.50	479.25	1.000	0.963	18.57	16.93	1.31	1.36	0.73	0.69	2.04	2.04
	Pr 0.1%	490.06	488.80	1.133	1.096	18.87	17.27	1.30	1.36	0.73	0.66	2.02	2.02
	Pr 0.2%	541.22	539.96	1.233	1.196	19.96	17.97	1.29	1.33	0.72	0.68	2.01	2.02
L.S.D. (0.0		102.03	102.03	0.42	0.42	1.36	1.56	0.07	0.09	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.17

Table 4. Effect of water irrigation intervals and potassium or proline as well as their interactions on total phenols, proline, catalase and peroxidase activities of leaves of wheat plant during the two growing seasons 2014 /2015 and 2015/2016.

photosynthesis and Net assimilation rate as well as growth decreased by drought stress, while increasing total phenolic glycoside concentrations (Hale *et al.*, 2005). Hoque *et al.*, (2007) concluded that proline alleviate the adverse effects of salinity stress because of its superior ability to increase the antioxidant enzymes activities. To scavenge ROS, plant tissues contain peroxidase, SOD, and catalase. (Khedr *et al.*, 2003) reported that under salt stress, activities of antioxidant enzyme decrease in plant cells and increase in the presence of proline. In various physiological processes, potassium plays a vital role such as enzyme activation, photosynthesis, and protein synthesis (Epstein and Bloom, 2005).

Yield and its components:

Data in Table (5) show that drought stress reduced yield and its components as indicated with number of spikes/plant, number of grains/spike, number of grains/plant, dry weight of grains/plant and weight of 1000 grains comparable to the control. The severe drought stress treatment was more effective on decreasing yield and its components than the control in both growing seasons.

The remarkable reduction of wheat grain yield under water stress may be attributed to the reductions in number of spikes/plant, number of grains/spike, 1000-grains weight observed in the present work. The decrease in grain yield may be due to disturbed nutrient uptake efficiency and photosynthetic translocation within the plant (Iqbal et. al., 1999) Similarly, Akram, (2011) indicated that high relative water contents were associated with increased yield and yield components. In addition, water stress decreased 1000 grain weight and grain yield (El-Banna et. al., 2002). Mirbahar et al., (2009) found that increasing level of water stress decreased significantly spike length, number of grains per spike, and 1000 grain weight. The deficiency of water leads to severe decline in yield traits of crop plants probably by disrupting leaf gas exchange properties which not only limited the size of the source and sink tissues but the phloem loading, assimilate translocation and dry matter portioning are also impaired (Farooq et al., 2009).

Regarding the effects of proline or potassium on yield and its components, result in the same table results show significant increases due to spraying wheat plants with proline or potassium (at rate of 0.1 and 0.2 %). This result was true for two growing seasons.

It could be noticed that spraying wheat plants with proline at rate of 0.2 % had the best results in this respect comparing to the other treatments. The excess in grain yield/plant in response to proline treatment could be discussed on the basis of the review described by Sakr, *et al.*, (2012) who concluded that the exogenously applied osmoregulators glycine betaine and proline can fully or partially counteract the harmful effect of salinity stress on growth and yield of canola. Potassium application could play an important role in alleviation of injury of wheat irrigated with salinized water depend on the level of salinity. especially at lower levels on yield (El-Lethy *et al.*, 2013)

In conclusion, that wheat yield and its components was highly reduced under drought stress. Spraying plants with proline or potassium at rate of 0.1 and 0.2 % improved plant yield but less lower than the control. Moreover, the adverse effects of drought stress could be partially or fully offset by integrated application of proline or potassium. Proline was generally more effective than potassium. Proline led to an enhanced grain yield in the absence of drought stress and this effect was maintained as drought stress increased.

 Table 5. Effect of water irrigation intervals and potassium or proline as well as their interactions on yield and yield components of wheat plant during the two growing seasons 2014 /2015 and 2015/2016.

yield components of wheat plant during the two growing seasons 2014 /2015 and 2015/2016.												
Characters			. of		. of). of		grain	Grain yield/		
Treatmen		spike	/ plant	grain/	' spike	0	/ plant	0	ht (g)	plan	t (g)	
		2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	
Effect of v	vater irrigation											
10 days(co	ont.)	4.60	4.33	59.93	62.67	276.00	272.27	55.45	44.75	15.30	12.19	
20 days		3.47	2.40	48.73	55.87	170.13	134.53	50.37	39.65	8.68	5.47	
30 days		1.80	1.60	35.40	33.87	65.60	55.60	38.21	33.11	2.56	1.86	
L.S.D. (0.0		0.76	0.54	5.04	5.93	43.80	29.71	5.52	3.81	1.88	0.58	
Effect of f	oliar spray wit	h Potass	sium or l	Proline								
Distilled w	vater	2.78	2.11	42.00	45.67	132.78	108.00	45.29	36.31	6.63	4.24	
Potassium	0.1%	3.11	2.33	44.89	50.67	149.33	129.33	46.51	37.31	7.55	5.28	
Potassium	0.2%	3.33	2.89	48.56	51.33	171.89	160.00	48.39	39.97	8.94	6.77	
Proline 0.1	%	3.44	3.11	51.44	52.11	188.56	175.89	49.41	40.62	9.90	7.54	
Proline 0.2	2%	3.78	3.44	53.22	54.22	210.33	197.44	50.46	41.63	11.20	8.71	
L.S.D. (0.05)		0.50	0.46	4.52	4.18	30.67	22.39	3.13	3.43	1.79	1.01	
Water												
irrigation	Foliar spray					Interact	tion Effect					
intervals	1 2											
	Distilled water	4.33	3.67	56.67	59.00	245.67	216.67	54.33	42.50	13.20	9.05	
10 1	K 0.1%	4.33	4.00	58.00	62.33	250.67	249.33	54.37	44.00	13.70	10.97	
10 days	K 0.2%	4.67	4.33	59.33	63.00	274.67	272.33	54.50	44.67	14.96	12.07	
(cont.)	Pr 0.1%	4.67	4.67	61.33	63.67	288.00	296.33	56.10	44.83	16.17	13.27	
	Pr 0.2%	5.00	5.00	64.33	65.33	321.00	326.67	57.97	47.73	18.46	15.59	
	Distilled water	3.00	1.67	41.67	50.33	125.00	79.67	45.67	35.77	5.70	2.84	
	K 0.1%	3.33	1.67	43.67	56.33	143.00	94.67	47.97	35.87	6.89	3.45	
20 days	K 0.2%	3.67	2.67	48.67	56.67	178.33	150.33	52.23	41.93	9.42	6.33	
2	Pr 0.1%	3.67	3.00	54.33	58.00	200.33	174.00	52.20	42.27	10.46	7.36	
	Pr 0.2%	3.67	3.00	55.33	58.00	204.00	174.00	53.80	42.40	10.91	7.39	
	Distilled water	1.00	1.00	27.67	27.67	27.67	27.67	35.87	30.67	0.99	0.85	
	K 0.1%	1.67	1.33	33.00	33.33	54.33	44.00	37.20	32.07	2.06	1.42	
30 days	K 0.2%	1.67	1.67	37.67	34.33	62.67	57.33	38.43	33.30	2.43	1.91	
2	Pr 0.1%	2.00	1.67	38.67	34.67	77.33	57.33	39.93	34.77	3.08	1.98	
	Pr 0.2%	2.67	2.33	40.00	39.33	106.00	91.67	39.60	34.77	4.24	3.14	
L.S.D. (0.0	05)	1.07	0.89	8.55	8.69	63.94	45.22	7.27	6.48	3.32	1.67	

CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that either of potassium or proline at the rate of 0.1 and 0.2 % can be applied exogenously to alleviate the harmful effects of prolonging irrigation intervals up to 30 days under Egyptian conditions. Both physio-chemical aspects, growth parameters and yield components of wheat plants c.v. Misr2 were promoted.

REFERENCES

- Abdul Jaleel, C.; P. Manivannan, Abdul Wahid; M. Farooq; J. AL Juburi, R. Somasundaram and R. Panneerselvam (2009): Drought Stress in Plants: A Review on Morphological Characteristics and Pigments Composition. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 11: 100– 105.
- Akram, M. (2011). Growth and yield components of wheat under water stress of different growth stages. Bangladesh J.Agril.Res.36(3):455-468.

- Alexieva V., I. Sergiev, S. Mapelli , Karanov Acad. M. Popov(2001) The effect of drought and ultraviolet radiation on growth and stress markers in pea and wheat. Plant, Cell & Environment. Volume 24 Issue 12 Page 1337 - December 2001
- Anjum, S. A., Xiao-yu Xie , Long-chang Wang , Muhammad Farrukh Saleem , Chen Man and Wang Lei (2011). Morphological, physiological and biochemical responses of plants to drought stress. African Journal of Agricultural Research Vol. 6(9), pp. 2026-2032
- Anonymous (2017). Economic and Statical Research Institute, Ministry of Agric., Cairo, Egypt.
- Asada, K., 1999. The water-water cycle in chloroplasts: scavenging of active oxygen and dissipation of excess photons. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 50, 601–639.
- Ashraf M, Foolad MR (2007) Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress resistance. Environ Exp. Bot 59:206–216
- Avron, M. (1960): Photophosphorylation by Swiss chard chloroplasts. Acta. Biochim. Biophys., 40:257-272.
- Barrs, H.D. and Weatherley, P.E. 1962. A reexamination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficits in leaves. Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 24, 519-570.
- Bates, L.S.; R.P. Waldren and I.D. Teare(1973) .Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant and Soil 39:205-207.
- Bhandal, I.S., Malik, C.P., 1988. Potassium estimation, uptake, and its role in the physiology and metabolism of flowering plants. Int. Rev. Cytol. 110, 205–254.
- Blaha G., Stelzl U., Spahn C.M.T., Agrawal R.K., Frank J., Nierhaus K.H. (2000): Preparation of functional ribosomal complexes and effect of buffer conditions on tRNA positions observed by cryoelectron microscopy. Methods Enzymol., 317: 292–309.
- Blum, A., 1996. Crop responses to drought and the interpretation of adaptation. Plant Growth Regul. 20, 135–148.
- Caballero, J. I.; C. V. Verduzco; J. Galan and E. S. D. Jimenez (2008). Proline accumulation as a symptom of drought stress in maize: A tissue differentiation requirement. Journal of Experimental Botany, 39(7): 889-897.
- Cackmark, I. (2002). The role of potassium in alleviating detrimental effects of abiotic stresses in plants. Proceedings of the IPI Congress on 'Feed the soil to feed the people': the role of potash in sustainable agriculture, October 8-10, Basel, Switzerland.
- Chance, B. and Maehly, A. C (1955). Assay of catalase and peroxidase. Methods in enzymology. 2: 764-775
- Chaves M.M., Pereira J.S., Maroco J., Rodrigues M.L., Ricardo C.P., Osorio M.L., Carvalho J., Faria T. and Pinheiro C. (2002). How Plants Cope with Water Stress in the Field. Photosynthesis and Growth. Annals of Botany, 89, 907-916.

- Cuin, T.A., Miller, A.J., Laurie, S.A., Leigh, R.A., 2003. Potassium activities in cell compartments of salt-grown barley leaves. J. Exp. Bot. 54, 657– 661.
- D'souza, M. R. and V. R. Devaraj (2011). Specific and non-specific responses of Hyacinth bean (*Dolichos lablab*) to drought stress. Indian J. Biotechnol. 10(1): 130-139.
- Dubey, R.S. 2005. Photosynthesis in plants under stressful conditions. In: Hand Book Photosynthesis, 2nd (ed.) by M. Pessarakli. C.R.C. Press, New York, USA, pp. 717-718.
- El-Banna, M. N.; M. A. A. Nassar; M. A. Moustafa and S. H. Abd-Allah (2002): Evaluation of some wheat genotypes under drought conditions in Nubaria region. *Jr. of advances in Agric. Res.*, 7 (2): 349-366.
- El-Lethy R. Safaa, Magdi T. Abdelhamid and Fatma Reda (2013). Effect of Potassium Application on Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Cultivars Grown Under Salinity Stress. World Applied Sciences Journal 26 (7): 840-850
- Elumalai, R.P., Nagpal, P., Reed, J.W., 2002. A mutation in the Arabidopsis KT2/KUP2 potassium transporter gene affects shoot cell expansion. Plant Cell 14, 119–131.
- Epstein, E. and A.J. Bloom. 2005. Mineral nutrition of plants: principles and perspectives by Mass.: Sinauer Associates, 2005, 2nd ed. QK867. E66.
- Errabii T, Gandonou CB, Essalmani H, Abrini J, Idaomar M, Skali- Senhaji N (2006). Growth, proline and ion accumulation in sugarcane callus cultures under drought-induced osmotic stress and its subsequent relief. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 5(6): 1488-1493.
- Fadeels, A.A. (1962). Location and properties of chloroplasts and pigment determination in roots. Physiol. Plant., 15:130-147.
- Farooq, M., A. Wahid and N. Kobayashi, D. Fujita and S.M.A. Basra (2009): Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, 29: 185–212.
- Flexas J., Bota J., Loreto F., Cornic Gand Sharkey T.D. (2004). Diffusive and metabolic limitations to photosynthesis under drought and salinity in C3 plants. Plant Biology, 6, 269-279.
- Gavuzzi, P.; F. Rizza; M. Palumbo; R.G. Campanile; G.L. Ricciardi and B. Borghi(1997).Evaluation of Field and Laboratory Predictors of Drought and Heat Tolerance in Winter Cereals, Can. J. Plant Sci., 77:523–531.
- Gomez, K.A., Gomez, A.A., 1984. Statistical Analysis Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, USA, pp. 25– 30.
- Hale.K.H, Herms.D.A, Hansen .R.C, Clausen.T.P. and Arnold.D.(2005). Effects of drought stress and nutrient availability on dry matter allocation, phenolic glycosides, and rapid induced resistance of poplar to two *Lymantriid defoliators*. J.Amer.Ecol.5:2601-2620.

- Hasegawa, P.M., Bressan, R.A., Zhu, J.K., Bohnert, H.J., 2000. Plant cellular and molecular response to high salinity. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 51, 463–449.
- Helaly M.N., Hanan A.R. El-Hosieny , N. M. El-Sarkassy , M. P. Fuller(2017): Growth, lipid peroxidation, organic solutes and antioxidative enzyme content in drought stressed date palm embryogenic callus suspension induced by polyethylene glycol. In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. -Plant (2017) 53:133–141
- Heuer, B., 1994. Osmoregulatory role of proline in water and salt stressed plants. In: Pessarakli, M. (Ed.), Handbook of Plant and Crop Stress. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 363–381.
- Hoque Md. A., Eiji Okuma, Mst. Nasrin Akhter Banu, Yoshimasa Nakamura, Yasuaki Shimoishi, Yoshiyuki Murata (2007) Exogenous proline mitigates the detrimental effects of salt stress more than exogenous betaine by increasing antioxidant enzyme activities. Journal of Plant Physiology 164 (2007) 553-561
- Hura, T.; K. Hura and S. Grzesiak (2008). Contents of total phenolics and ferulic acid, and PAL activity during water potential changes in leaves of maize singlecross hybrids of different drought tolerance. J Agron Crop Sci., 194:104–112.
- Huseynova, I. M.; S. Y. Suleymanov and J. A. Aliyev (2007). Structural-functional state of thylakoid membranes of wheat genotypes under water stress. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, Bioenergetics. 1767 (6): 869-875.
- Hussain M, Malik MA, Farooq M, Ashraf MY, Cheema MA (2008). Improving drought tolerance by exogenous application of glycinebetaine and salicylic acid in sunflower. J. Agron. Crop Sci., 194: 193-199.
- Iqbal M., Ahmed K., Sadiq M., and Ashraf M.Y. (1999). Yield and Yield components of durum wheat as influenced by water stress at various growth stages. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci. 2: 11-14.
- Jagendorf, A. T. (1956): Oxidation and reduction of pyridine nucleotides by purified chloroplasts. Biochem. Biophys Acta., 40: 257-272
- Khedr AHA, Abbas MA, Wahid AAA, Quick WP, Abogadallah GM. (2003) Proline induces the expression of salt-stress responsive proteins and may improve the adaptation of *Pancratium maritimum* L. to salt-stress. J Exp. Bot.; 54:2553–62.
- Klute, A., 1986. Methods of soil analysis. Part 1: physical and mineralogical methods. 2nd ed. Wisconsin, USA: American Society of Agronomy Madison.
- Lawson T, Oxborough K, Morison JIL, Baker NR. (2003). The responses of guard and mesophyll cell photosynthesis to CO2, O2, light, and water stress in a range of species are similar. J Exp Bot.;54 :1743– 52.

- Loggini, B., Scartazza, A., Brugnoli, E., Navari-Izzo, F., 1999. Antioxidant defense system, pigment composition, and photosynthetic efficiency in two wheat cultivars subjected to drought. Plant Physiol. 119, 1091–1099.
- M[°]oller, I.M., 2001. Plant mitochondria and oxidative stress: electron transport, NADPH turnover, and metabolism of reactive oxygen species. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 52, 561– 591.
- Maathuis, F.J.M., Sanders, D., 1996. Mechanisms of potassium absorption by higher plant roots. Physiol. Plant. 96, 158–168.
- Mahajan S, Tuteja N. (2005). Cold, salinity and drought stresses: An overview. Arch Biochem Biophys.; 444:139–158.
- Makale, P., Peltonen-Sainio, P., Jokinen, K., Pehu, E., Setala, H., Hinkkanen, R., Somersalo, S., 1996. Uptake and translocation of foliar-applied glycinebetaine in crop plants. Plant Sci. 121, 221–230.
- Mallick, S. A., S. K. Moni Gupta Mondal and B. K. Sinha (2011). Characterization of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L) genotypes on the basis of metabolic changes associated with water stress. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 81(8): 767-771.
- Marschner H. 1995. Mineral Nutrition in Higher Plants. Academic Press, London. pp. 477-542.
- Mirbahar, A.a., G.S.Markhand, A.R.Mahar, S.A.Abro and N.A.Kanhar (2009).Effect of water stress on yield and yield components of wheat *(Triticum aestivum)* varieties. Pak. J. Bot.,41(3):1303-1310.
- Mitchell J.H., Siamhan D., Wamala M.H., Risimeri J.B., Chinyamakobvu E., Henderson S.A., Fukai S. (1998). The use of seedling leaf death score for evaluation of drought resistance of rice. Field Crops Research, 55, 129-139.
- Mittler, R., 2002. Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci. 7, 405–410.
- Noctor, G., Foyer, C.H., 1998. Ascorbate and glutathione: keeping active oxygen under control. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 49, 249–279.
- Page, A.I., Miller, R.H., Keeney, D.R., 1982. Methods of soil analysis. Part 2: chemical and microbiological properties. 2nd ed. Wisconsin, USA: Amer Soc Agron Madison.
- Pier PA, Berkowitz GA (1987) Modulation of water stress effects on photosynthesis by altered leaf K. Plant Physiol. 85:655-661
- Pinhero, R. G.; M. Rao and fletcher (2001). Changes in the cactivity of antioxidant enzymes. Plant physiol. 114: 695-704.
- Rajaram S, Braun HJ (2006) Wheat yield potential. In: International symposium on wheat yield potential: challenges to international wheat breeding. Mexico, CIMMYT Report. 103-107.

Desoky, E. M. et al.

- Razak, A.A., Ismail, M.R., Karim, M.F., Wahab, P.E.M., Abdullah, S.N., Kausar. H., 2013. Changes in leaf gas exchange, biochemical properties, growth and yield of chilli grown under soilless culture subjected to deficit fertigation. Australian Journal of Crop Science 7, 1582–1589.
- Reddy, A.R., K.V. Chaitanya and M. Vivekanandan, (2004): Drought induced responses of photosynthesis and antioxidant metabolism in higher plants. *Journal* of *Plant Physiology*, V.161, P.1189 -1202.
- Saker M.T., El-Sarkassy N.M., and Fuller, M. P. (2012): Osmoregulators proline and glycine betaine contract salinity stress. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 32:747-754.
- Secenji, M.; A. Lendvai; Z. Hajosne; D. Dudits and J. Gyorgyey (2005). Experimental system for studying long-term drought stress adaptation of wheat cultivars. Acta Biol. Szegediensis, 49(1-2):51-52.
- Siddique MRB, Hamid A, Islam MS (2000). Drought stress effects on water relations of wheat. Bot. Bul. Acad. Sin. 41(1): 35-39.
- Sinha, S.K., Khanna-Chopra, R., Aggarwal, P.K., Chaturvedi, G.S., Koundal, K.R., 1982. Effect of drought on shoot growth: significance of metabolism to growth and yield. In: Drought Resistance in Crop with Emphasis on Rice. IRRI, Manila, Philippines, pp. 153–169.

- Snell, R. and G. Snell (1953). Colorimetric Method of Analysis. Vol. III. 3rd ed. New York, D. van Nostrand company Inc. 225-233.
- Srinivasarao , Ch. KPR Vittal , B. Venkateswarlu 2009) Role of potassium in water stress management in dry land agriculture. Proceedings IPI-OUAT-IPNI International Symposium. Bhubaneswar, Orissa, India. Volume I: 199-213
- Tang A.C., Kawamitsa Y., Kanechi M. and Boyer J.S. 2002. Photosynthesis at low water potentials in leaf discs lacking epidermis. Annals of Botany, 89(7), 861-870.
- Thomas, R.L., Jen, J.J., Morr, C.V., 1982. Changes in soluble and bound peroxidase-IAA oxidase during tomato fruit development. J. Food Sci. 47, 158-161.
- Wettestein, D. 1957. Chlorophyll–Lethal undder submink roskopische formivechoel der plastiden. Exp. Cell. Res., 12: 427 – 433.
- Zhang Jingxian and M.B. Kirkham (1994) Droughtstress-induced changes in activities of superoxide dismutase, catalase, and peroxidase in wheat species. Plant and Cell Physiology, Vol. 35, No. 5 785-791

التطبيق المتكامل للبرولين أو البوتاسيوم في التخفيف من الآثار الضارة لفترات الري على نباتات القمح السيد محمد دسوقي حسن ، ناصر محمد السركسي و سهام عبد العال ابراهيم قسم النبات الزراعي ـ كلية الزراعة ـ جامعة الزقازيق ـ مصر

إجهاد الجفاف أحد العوامل الأكثر ضررا لنمو وإنتاجية النبات. هنا، أجريت تجربتي أصص في صوبة قسم النبات الزراعي بكلية الزراعة جامعة الزقازيق- بمحافظة الشرقية -مصر، خلال شتاء موسمين متعاقبين (٢٠١٥/٢٠١٤ و٢٠١٦/٢٠١٠) لدراسة دور كَلا من البرولين أو البوتاسيوم في التخفيف والتغلُّب على الأثار الضارة الناجمة عن فترات الري في نباتات القمح صنف مصر ٢. قمنا بتقييم خصائص النمو والمحصول والمكونات البيوكيميائية أوضحت النتائج اولا، لصفات النمو و للمحصول ومكوناته, أن معامات الري على فترات (الري كل ٢٠، ٢٠، ٢٠، يوم) أدى الى النقص في طول النبآت (سم) ومساحة الورقة (سم) والوزن الجاف للمجموع الخضري و عدد السنابل/نبات وعدد الحبوب/سنبلة وعدد الحبوب/نبات والوزن الجاف للحبوب/نبات بالجرام ووزن الالف حبة بالجرام لنباتات القمح خلال موسمي الزراعة , علاوة على ذلك كانت معاملة الري كل ٣٠ يوم هي الأكثر فاعلية في احداث النقص في المحصول ومكوناته. على النقيض من ذلك, أوضحت النتائج أن التطبيق المتاكامل لكلا من البرولين أو البوتاسيوم (بمعدل ٠.١% و ٠.٢% له دور في تخفيف تلك الاثار السُلبية بتحسين صُفات النمو والمحصول ومكوناته تحت ظروف اجهاد الجُفاف ولكن تلك الزيادة ظلت أقل من الكنترول. ثانيا، أدى اجهاد الجفاف الى نقص محتوى الماء النسبي ، احتفاظ ورقة النبات بالماء ، فقد الماء النسبي ومحتوي صبغات البناء الضوئي والنشاط الكيموضوئي و في حين ازدادة المحتوى من الفينولات والبرولين و نشاط انزيمات الكتاليز والبيروكسيديز في أوراق نباتات القمح خلال موسمى الزَّراعة . ثالثًا، كان لتأثير كلا من البرولين أو البوتاسيوم دور ايجابى في زيادة محتوى الماء النسبي ، واحتفاظ ورقة النبات بالماء ، وفقد الماء النسبي ومحتوى صبغات البناء الضوئي والنشاط الكيموضوئي والبرولين و نشاط انزيمات الكتاليز والبيروكسيديز بينما يقل محتوي الفينولات. وعلاوة على ذلك ،كانت معاملة البرولين ٢.٠% الافضل فاعلية بهذا الخصوص . ويتضح ذلك مُقارنة بمعاملات اجهاد الجفاف فقط ولكن تلك القيّم ظلت أقل أو قريب من الكنترول. وخلصت النتائج إلى أن التطبيق المتكامل للبرولين أو البوتاسيوم يقلل كليا أو جزئياً من التأثير الضار لإجهاد الجفاف على النمو و والمكونات البيوكيميائية ومحصول نباتات القمح