# SAKHA -1 NEW CULTIVAR OF OKRA Metwally, E. I.<sup>\*</sup>; A. M. Masoud<sup>\*\*</sup>; Y. B. El-Waraky<sup>\*\*</sup> and M.H. Kasem<sup>\*\*</sup> <sup>\*</sup> Horticulture Dept., Faculty of Agric., Kafr EL-Sheikh Univ., Egypt <sup>\*\*</sup> Veg. Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt

# ABSTRACT

This investigation carried out in co-operation work between Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh and Horticulture Research Institute during the period of 2001 to 2010 through two stages. The first stage: Six strains of okra were obtained after six generations of inbreeding and selection from the local cultivars. The six selected strains and the original cultivars were evaluated during the summer season of 2006 for yield and horticultural characteristics. Evaluation results indicated that the, Strain No. 1 had the lowest values of vegetative traits and it produced the largest values of early and total yield as a number and weight of pods, therefore, this strain was chosen as a new cultivar under name of Sakha-1 after produced their seeds in isolated area in two successive years. The second stage: The new cultivar and two commercial cultivars, i.e., Balady Green and Sabahia -1 cultivars were evaluated in summer seasons of 2009 and 2010 in the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station. The new cultivar Skha-1 surpassed the Balady Green and Sabahia -1 cultivars for early and total yield as a number and weight of pods and pod quality.

# INTRODUCTION

Okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench], 2n = 2x = 130. It is an important fruit vegetable crop of the tropical and subtropical regions of the world. In Egypt, it is one of the most popular vegetables and considered a valuable source of calcium, iron and vitamins. It has been grown for its edible green pods which can be used as fresh, canned, frozen, or dried food.

Okra is considered one of the partial allogamous crops, since its natural cross pollination exceeded 20 % (Tindal, 1983), subsequently variation in its characteristics could be expected. Some characteristics of okra such as, plant height, branch number, color of veins and base of leaf, leaf lobes, pod type, pod color, pod pubescence and earliness proved its simply inheritance and high heritability (Martin *et al.*, 1981 and Ariyo, 1990), as well as number of pods per plant which is very important character.

Many researchers noticed high variations for the previous characteristics in the Egyptian local cultivars of okra (Abd El-Maksoud *et al.*, 1984; Damarany and Farag, 1994; Hussein, 1994 and Masoud, *et al.*, 2007).

Pod yield represented as a number and weight of pods per unit area (square meter or fedden) is very important economic character, since selection has to be applied simultaneously to all of its components and quality characters (Falconer, 1960).

There are great variations in vegetative growth, earliness, productivity and pods quality in okra plants growth in Kafr EL- Sheikh Governorate. Therefore, pure line selections which used in this study were evaluated to select superior strain (strain 1) from the local cultivars through inbreeding and

## Metwally, E. I. et al.

selection programs and comperd this strain with the two local cultivars of okra, i.e., Balady Green and Sabahia 1.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work was carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, during the summer seasons of 2009 and 2010. Great variations in plant vigor, earliness, productivity and pod characteristics are present in local cultivars of okra plants grown in Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. Selection of individual plants based on earliness, high number of pods, uniformity of plant phenotypie with moderate vegetative growth and uniformity of fruit color (pale green) and shape was carried out. Inbreeding and selection for chosen plants were carried out for six successive generations, the pollination technique method described by Lee (1980) was followed. After six generations of inbreeding and selection, six strains were selected. The six selected strains as well as the original cultivars were evaluated during the summer season of 2006 at a private farm in Disuq district, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. In isolation area, seeds of the best strain were produced in summer seasons of 2007 and 2008. The best strain was selected as a new cultivar of okra under the name of Sakha -1 (Masoud *et al*, 2007).

In the summer seasons of 2009 and 2010, the new cultivar (Sakha-1) besides Sabahia -1 and Balady Green cultivars of okra were evaluated in the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station, seeds were sown on April 18<sup>th</sup>. The experimental plot area was 22.5 m<sup>2</sup>, including 5 rows, each of 6 m length and 75 cm width using one plant per hill with 20 cm apart. The cultural practices were regularly done according to the general program of okra cultivation as reported by Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture.

# The following data were recorded:

#### 1. Vegetative traits

Five plants were uprooted from each plot after 55 days from sowing, and the following data were recorded: 1) Stem length (cm), 2) Number of branches per plant, 3) Number of leaves per plant, 4) Plant fresh weight (g), and 5) Leaf area per plant (cm<sup>2</sup>). Also, five plants were uprooted from each plot after 120 days from sowing, and the following data were recorded: 1) Stem length (cm), 2) Number of nodes on main stem, 3) Internode length (cm), 4) Number of branches per plant, and 5) Number of leaves per plant.

#### 2. Earliness

Earliness was recorded as number of nodes to the first flower and number of elapsed days from sowing to first flower anthesis.

#### 3. Early and total pods yield

Early and total yield expressed as total number of pods per plant and weight of pods (kg per fed.) were recorded. Early yield was determined from the first four pickings, and twenty harvests were recorded and calculated as the total yield.

#### 4. Pods characteristics

At the 5<sup>th</sup> picking, ten flowers were labeled in each plot, after six days from anthesis pods were picked as reported by Metwally and Etman (1992), and

the following data were recorded: pod weight (g), pod length (cm), pod diameter (cm), peduncle length (cm) and peduncle weight (g).

#### **Description of selected strains**

All observations determined by measurement, weighting or counting were done on 20 plants or parts taken from each of the 20 plants. All observations on the fruits were recorded on the young fruits at commercial harvest stage ( six days from anthesis). All observations on the stem, the leaf blade and the petiole were made on the 10<sup>th</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup> nodes of the main stem (UPOV, 1999). **Statistical Analysis** 

# Data were statistical by analysis of variance, and Duncan's multiple range test was used for the comparisons among the genotype means (Duncan, 1955).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Vegetative traits

Data presented in Table (1) show that the differences among the Sakha-1 cv. and the original cultivars of okra were significant for all studied traits; i.e., stem length, number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, plant fresh weight and leaf area per plant. Balady Green cv. showed the largest values of stem length (40.8 and 45.3 cm), number of branches per plant (2.9 and 3.1), number of leaves per plant (12.8 and 14.2), plant fresh weight (109.4 and 115.3 g) and leaf area per plant (1801.5 and 1895.8 cm<sup>2</sup>), while Sakha -1 cv. produced the lowest values for all the studied vegetative traits, i.e., stem length (26.5 and 28.8 cm), number of branches per plant (0.0 and 0.2), number of leaves per plant (8.1 and 10.0), plant fresh weight (79.5 and 88.9 g) and leaf area per plant (1265.3 and 1300.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) in 2009 and 2010, respectively .

Table (1): Vegetative and flowering traits of the three cultivars of ok	ra
after 55 days from sowing in 2009 and 2010 seasons.	

	Vegetative traits							Flowering traits					
len	gth	brand	ches	lea	ves					fir	st	-	/s to ering
2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010
26.5	28.8	0.1	0.2	8.1	10.0	79.5	88.9	1265.3	1300.5	3.4	3.5	48.9	49.0
С	С	С	С	b	b	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С
40.8	45.3	2.9	3.1	12.8	14.2	109.4	115.3	1801.5	1895.8	6.0	6.5	60.1	62.0
а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а
32.4	36.2	2.1	2.5	11.3	11.5	100.8	109.5	1713.0	1769.5	5.1	5.5	55.8	56.1
b	b	b	b	ab	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b
	len (ci 2009 26.5 c 40.8 a 32.4 b	26.5 28.8 c c 40.8 45.3 a a 32.4 36.2 b b	length (cm)         brand /pla           2009         2010         2009           26.5         28.8         0.1           c         c         c           40.8         45.3         2.9           a         a         a           32.4         36.2         2.1           b         b         b	length (cm)         branches /plant           2009         2010         2009         2010           26.5         28.8         0.1         0.2           c         c         c         c           40.8         45.3         2.9         3.1           a         a         a         a           32.4         36.2         2.1         2.5           b         b         b         b	Stem length         No. of branches         No. lea lea (cm)         No. plant         No. lea lea (plant)           2009         2010         2009         2010         2009           26.5         28.8         0.1         0.2         8.1           c         c         c         b         b           40.8         45.3         2.9         3.1         12.8           a         a         a         a         a           32.4         36.2         2.1         2.5         11.3           b         b         b         b         b	Stem length (cm)         No. of branches         No. of leaves           2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010           26.5         28.8         0.1         0.2         8.1         10.0         0           c         c         c         c         b         b         b         b           40.8         45.3         2.9         3.1         12.8         14.2         a         a         a         a         a         a         a         a         a         a         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b	Stem length (cm)         No. of branches /plant         No. of leaves /plant         No. of leaves /plant         Plant Weig           2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009           26.5         28.8         0.1         0.2         8.1         10.0         79.5           c         c         c         c         b         b         c           40.8         45.3         2.9         3.1         12.8         14.2         109.4           a         a         a         a         a         a         a         a           32.4         36.2         2.1         2.5         11.3         11.5         100.8           b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b	Stem length (cm)         No. of branches /plant         No. of leaves /plant         Plant fresh Weight (g)           2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009	Stem length (cm)         No. of branches /plant         No. of leaves /plant         No. of leaves /plant         Plant fresh Weight (g)         Leaf plant           2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2010         2010         2010         2010         2010         2010         2010         2010	Stem length (cm)         No. of branches /plant         No. of leaves /plant         Plant fresh Weight (g)         Leaf area / plant (cm <sup>2</sup> )           2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2010<	Stem length (cm)         No. of branches /plant         No. of leaves /plant         Plant fresh Weight (g)         Leaf area / plant (cm <sup>2</sup> )         Nod fir flow           2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         <	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Stem length (cm)         No. of branches /plant         No. of leaves /plant         Plant fresh Weight (g)         Leaf area / plant (cm <sup>2</sup> )         Nods to first flower         Day flow           2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010         2009         2010

Means designated by the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 level, of probability, according to Duncan's test.

Data in Table (2) show that the same trend was notice in vegetative traits, i.e., stem length, number of nodes on main stem, internode length, number of branches per plant and number of leaves per plant after 120 days from sowing, except for number of nodes on the main stem as Sakha -1 cv. produced the highest number, while Balady Green cv. Gave the lowest one.

## Metwally, E. I. et al.

The significant differences among the Sakha- 1, Balady Green and Sabahia -1 cultivars in the vegetative traits indicate that variations among the three cultivars of okra are due to genetic differences. Early work indicated high variations for vegetative traits of the Egyptian local cultivars of okra (Ragheb *et al.*, 1994, Abo El-khar 2003 and Masoud *et al.*, 2007)

	Vegetative traits									
Cultivars	Stem length (cm)		5		Internode length (cm)		No of branches/ plant		No. of leaves / plant	
	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010
Sakha -1	108.5	115.7	42.5	45.7	2.0	2.2	0.5	0.6	28.3	30.5
	С	С	а	а	С	С	С	С	С	С
Balady	135.8	140.2	14.3	15.5	9.5	9.6	4.5	5.0	53.9	59.2
Green	а	а	С	С	а	а	а	а	а	а
Sabahia - 1	128.6	130.8	19.6	20.0	6.4	6.9	3.2	3.5	49.5	51.3
	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b

 Table (2): Vegetative traits of the three cultivars of okra after 120 days from sowing in 2009 and 2010 seasons.

Means designated by the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 level, of probability, according to Duncan's test.

#### **Flowering traits**

It is obvious from Table (1) that Sakha -1 cv. was earlier in flowering than the other cultivars. Differences among Sakha -1 cv. and original cultivars were significant for number of nodes to the first flower and number of days from sowing to flowering (Table 1). The new cultivar (Sakha-1) had the lowest number of nodes to the first flower (3.4 and 3.5), while, Balady green cultiver had the highest number of nodes to the first flower (6.0 and 6.5). The number of days from sowing to flowering ranged from 48.9 and 49.0 days in Sakha -1 cv. to 60.1 and 62.0 days in Balady green cv in 2009 and 2010, respectively.

Okra plants which bear the first flower on a lower node, and need fewer days to flowering will be earlier than those bearing the first flower on a higher node and need more days to flowering (Metwally *et al.* 1988). Therefore, Sakha – 1 cv. was earlier than the other original cultivars.

## Early and total pods yield

Data in Table (3) indicate that Sakha -1 cv. produced higher early yield than Sabahia -1 and Balady Green cvs.

Table (3): Early and total yield o	f the three cultivars of okra in 2009 and
2010 seasons.	

Cultivars		Ea	rly yield		Total yield				
	No. of pods Pods w /plant (kg/fe					•	Pods v (kg/i	weight fed.)	
	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	
Sakha -1	11.5	12.2	908.5	963.8	39.7	42.5	6285.8	6729.1	
	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	
Balady Green	0.8	1.2	120.5	180.8	17.9	19.4	2273.3	2463.8	
	С	С	С	С	С	С	с	с	
Sabahia -1	1.5	2.1	235.7	324.0	23.7	25.8	3143.6	3422.1	
	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	

Means designated by the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 level, of probability, according to Duncan's test.

Data elicit that the differences were significant for early pods yield, as a number and weight of pods. Sakha-1 cv. produced the highest values for number of pods per plant with a value of 11.5 and 12.2 and pods weight with 908.5 and 963.8 kg per fed. in 2009 and 2010, respectively. The superiority of Sakha -1 cv. for early yield as a number and weight may be due to its early flowering compared with the other cultivars.

As for the total yield; i.e., number of pods per plant and pod weight (kg/fed.), data in Table (3) show that Sakha -1 cv. surpassed the original cultivars. Sakha -1 cv. produced the largest values of total pods yield as number and weight with 39.7 and 42.5 pods per plant and 6285.8 and 6729.1 kg per fedden. However, Balady Green cultivar produced the lowest values of total pods yield as number and weight with 17.9 and 19.4 pods per plant and 2273.3 and 2463.8 kg per fedden in 2009 and 2010, respectively. The differences among Sakha -1 cv. and other original cultivars for total pods yield were significant. The superiority of Sakha -1 cv. is due to the higher number of pods produced per plant compared with the other original cultivars. Many investigators noticed high variation for pods yield of the Egyptian local cultivars of okra (Abd El-hafez and Salah, 1977; Damarany and Farag, 1994; Hussein, 1994; Ragheb et al., 1994 Abo El-khar, 2003 and Massoud *et al.*, 2007).

## Pod characteristics

Data presented in Table (4) show that pod length ranged from 4.3 and 4.0 cm for Balady Green cultivar to 5.6 and 5.5 cm for Sakha -1 cv. Pod diameter ranged from 1.5 cm for Sakha -1 cv. to 1.8 and 2.0 cm for Balady Green cultivar. The length of peduncle of pods ranged from 3.3 and 3.0 cm for Balady Green cultivar to 4.9 and 4.8 cm for Sakha -1 cv. Average pod weight was 3.95, 3.90 and 4.85, 4.70 g. for Sakha -1 cv. and Sabahia 1 in 2009 and 2010, respectively. Average peduncle weight was 1.50, 1.46 and 2.60, 2.58 g. for Balady Green cultivar and Sakha -1 cv. in 2009 and 2010, respectively.

Cultivars	Average pod length (cm)		od Average pod diameter (cm)		Average pod weight (g)		Average peduncle length (cm)		Average peduncle weight (g)	
	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010
Sakha -1	5.6	5.5	1.5	1.5	3.95	3.90	4.9	4.8	2.60	2.58
	а	а	b	b	b	b	а	а	а	а
Balady Green	4.3	4.0	1.8	2.0	4.32	4.10	3.3	3.0	1.50	1.46
-	b	b	а	а	ab	b	С	С	С	С
Sabahia -1	5.3	5.2	1.7	1.8	4.85	4.70	3.9	4.1	1.85	1.90
	ab	ab	ab	b	а	а	bc	ab	bc	b

Table (4): Pods characteristics of the three cultivars of okra after 6 days from anthesis in 2009 and 2010 seasons.

Means designated by the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 level, of probability, according to Duncan's test.

It is clear from the pod characteristics that the differences among the new cultivar Sakha -1 and the two original cultivars of okra were significant. These data confirm that Sakha -1 cv. is genetically different than the original cultivars.

## Metwally, E. I. et al.

 Egyptian investigators noticed high variations for pod characteristics of the Egyptain cultivars of okra (Damarany and Farag, 1994; Hussein, 1994; Abo El-khar, 2003 and Masoud *et al.*, 2007).

# Description of the the new and original cultivars

Data presented in Table (5) show that the differences among the Sakha-1 cv. and the original cultivars of okra, according to UPOV guideline.



Sakha -1 Balady Green Sabahia -1 Fig. (1): Size and shape of pods after six days from anthesis of the three studied cultivars.

Table (5): Description of Sakha -1 cv. and their original cultivars of okra
according to UPOV guideline.

Characteristics <sup>1</sup>	Character states	Cultivars	Note
1. Plant: degree of branching	Weak	Sakha -1	3
	Medium	Sabahia -1	5
	Strong	Balady Green	7
2. Plant: height	Short	Sakha -1	3
	Medium	Sabahia -1	5
	Tall	Balady Green	7
3. Stem: diameter	Small	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	3
		Balady Green	
	Medium	-	5
	Large		7
4. Stem: color	Green	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	1
		Balady Green	
	Red		2
5. Stem: intensity of color	Light	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	3
	-	Balady Green	
	Medium		5
	Dark		7
6. Stem: number of nodes (up to a	IndFew	Sakha-1	3
including the first flowering node)	Medium	Sabahia-1	5
	Many	Balady Green	7

<sup>792</sup> 

7. Leaf blade: size	Small		3
	Medium	Sakha-1,Sabahia -1	5
		Balady Green	7
O l ast blada, danth at labbin r	Large	Balady Green	3
8. Leaf blade: depth of lobbing	Shallow	Caliba 1 Cababia 1	
	Medium	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	5
	_	Balady Green	_
	Deep		7
9. Leaf blade: denotation of margin	Weak		3
	Medium	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	5
		Balady Green	
	Strong		7
10. Leaf blade: color between veins	Green	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	1
		Balady Green	
	Red	2	2
11. Leaf blade: intensity of color between	Liaht		3
veins	Medium	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	5
		Balady Green	Ũ
	Dark		7
12. Petiole: length	Short		3
	Medium	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	5
		Balady Green	0
	long	Dulddy Oreen	7
13. Petiole: diameter	Long Small		3
		Caliba 1 Cababia 1	
	Medium	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	5
		Balady Green	_
	Large		7
14. Flower: size	Small		3
	Medium	Sabahia-1,Balady	5
		Green	
	Large	Sakha-1	7
15. Fruit: color	Green	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	1
		Balady Green	
	Red	-	2
16. Fruit: intensity of color	Light	Sabahia -1	3
	Medium	Sakha-1, Balady	5
		Green	
	Dark		7
17. Fruit: diameter of young fruit (at mid		Sakha -1	3
length)	Medium	Sabahia-1, Balady	5
longin)	mediam	Green	0
	large		7
18. Fruit: surface between ridges	Large		3
ro. Fruit. Sufface between nuges	Concave	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	
	Flat	Sakna-1,Sabania-1, Balady Green	5
	Conver	Dalady Green	-
10 Emili essentiation (1) 1 1	Convex	Data da Ora	7
19. Fruit: constriction of basal part		Balady Green	1
	weakly expressed		<u> </u>
	vveakly expressed	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1	2
	Strongly		3
	expressed		
20. Fruit: shape of apex	Narrow acute	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	1
		Balady Green	
	Acute		2
	Broad acute		3
21. Fruit: number of locules	Five	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1,	1
		Balady Green	
	More than five	,	2
		1	2

# J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 2 (6), June, 2011

22. Fruit: thickness of carpel	Thin	Sakha -1	3
•	Medium	Sabahia-1,Balady	5
		Green	
	Thick		7
23. Fruit: length of mature fruit	Short	Balady Green	3
5	Medium	Sabahia -1	5
	Long	Sakha -1	7
24. Fruit: diameter of mature fruit (at	t midSmall	Sakha-1,Sabahia-1	3
length)	Medium	Balady Green	5
2 /	Large		7
25. Time of flowering	Early	Sakha -1	3
-	Medium	Sabahia -1	5
	Late	Balady Green	7
26. Time of commercial harvest	Early	Sakha -1	3
	Medium	Sabahia -1	5
	Late	Balady Green	7

(1) To asses distinctness, uniformity and stability, the characteristics and their states as given in the table of characteristics should be used.

(\*) Characteristics that should be used on all lines in every growing period over which the examinations are made and always be included in the lines description, except when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this impossible.

# REFERENCES

- Abd El-Hafez, A.A. and M. Salah (1977). Comparative studies on exotic and strains of Egyptian local cultivars characters in okra. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 2:247-258.
- Abd El-Maksoud, M.A.; R.M. Hela and M.H. Mohamed (1984). Heritablility estimates and correlation studies of six economic characters in okra. Annals of Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ. 29: 439-452.
- Abo El-Khar, Y.Y. (2003). Efficiency of selection with inbreeding on improving some characteristics in the Balady cultivar of okra. M.Sc. Thesis Fac. Agric., Alex. Univ., Egypt. Pp: 111.
- Ariyo, O.J. (1990). Variation and heritability of fifteen characters in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Trop. Agric. 67: 213-216.
- Damarany A.M. and I.A. Farag (1994). An evaluation of growth, yield and quality of some okra cultivars and strains under Assiut /conditions. Assuit J. Agric. Sci. 25: 57-70.

Duncan, B.D. (1955). Multiple ranges and multiple F-test. Biometrics, 11:1-42.

- Falconer, D.S. (1960). Introduction to quantitative genetics. The Ronald Press Co. N.Y. 365p.
- Hussein, H.A. (1994). Variation, heritability and response to selection in okra. Assiut. J. Agric. Sci. 25: 193-202.

Lee, J.A. (1980). Cotton in: Fehr, W.R. and H.H. Hadley (eds.) Hybridization of crop plants. Amer. Soci. Agron. And Crop Sci. Soci. Amer., Publi. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Martin, F.M.; A.M. Rhodes, M. Ortiz and F. Diaz (1981). Variation in okra. Euphytica, 30:697-705.

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> Notes (number, for the purposes of electronic data processing, are given opposite the states of expression for each characteristic.

- Masoud, A.M.; Y.B. El-Waraky; T.A. Shalaby and M.H. Kasem (2007). Developing new strains of okra. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (1): 583-590.
- Metwally, E.I and A.A. Etman (1992). Diallel cross analysis of yield and related traits of okra in winter season under plastic house condition. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ. 18: 135-147.
- Metwally, E.I; B.I. El-Sawy and R.M. Khalil (1988). Heterosis and nature of gene action studies on yield and related traits of okra[*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L) Moench]. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ. 18: 1088-1105.
- Ragheb, W.S.; H.A. El-Shamma and H. A. Ghazal (1994). Inheritance of yield and pod characters in okra. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 21: 1785-1795.
- Tindal, H.D. (1983). Vegetable in the tropics, P.325, MaComillan Press. London.
- UPOV. (1999). Guidelines for the conduct of test for distinctness, uniformity and stability, of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. International Union for the protection of new varieties of plants, TG/167/3, Geneva.

صنف جديد من الباميا 1"" سخا المهدى ابراهيم متولى\*، على محمد مسعود\*\*، يونس بيومى الورقى\* محمد حسن قاسم\* \* قسم البساتين – كلية الزراعة – جامعة كفر الشيخ \*\*بحوث الخضر – معهد البساتين – مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجرى هذا البحث كعمل مشترك بين قسم البساتين بكلية الزراعة بكفر الشيخ ومعهد بحوث البساتين وذلك فى الفترة من 2001 حتى 2010 م وذلك على مرحلتين: المرحلة الأولى: تم عمل تربية داخلية وانتخاب لمدة ستة أجيال فى صنفى االبامية " البلدى الأخضر والبلدى الأحمر " المنزرعة فى محافظة كفر الشيخ " حتى تم الحصول على عدة سلالات متماثلة. بعد ذلك تم انتخاب افضل ستة سلالات بها صفات بستانية جيدة وقيمت هذه السلالات مع الصنفين الأصليين (البلدى الأخضر والبلدى الأحمر) فى تجربة حقلية خلال الموسم الصيفى لعام 2006 وأوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها ان السلالة (1) أعطت أقل القيم لصفات النمو الخضرى ولكنها أعطت أكبر القيم لمحصول القرون المبكر والكلى وكانت نسبة الزيادة عن الصنف البلدى الأخضر 93,3 و 100 م فى محصول القرون المبكر والكلى وكانت نسبة الزيادة عن الصنف البلدى الأخضر 93,3 و 100 م فى محصول القرون المبكر والكلى على التوالى . المرحلة الثانية: تم تقييم السلالة (1) المتفوقة والمبشرة بالاضافة الى الصنفين البلدى الأخضر وصبحية 1 وقمت بمزرعة البحوث الزراعية بسخا فى الموسم الصيفى لعام 2008 م محصول القرون المبكر والكلى على التوالى . المرحلة الأنية ا تقيم البلدى الأخضر 3,0 و 100 % فى محصول القرون المبكر والكلى على التوالى . المرحلة الأوليا البلدى الأخضر 3,0 و ولكنها أعطت أكبر القيم لمحصول القرون المبكر والكلى على التوالى . المرحلة الثانية: تم تقييم المنون البلدى الأخضر 3,0 و 100 % من محصول القرون المبكر والكلى على التوالى . المرحلة الثانية: م تقييم المن البلدى الأخضر 3,0 و 100 % من محصول القرون المبكر والكلى على التوالى . المرحلة (1) على الزراعية بسخا فى الموسم الصيفى لعام 2009، 2010م. وقد ظهر من التقيم تفوق السلالة (1) على الصنفين البلدى الأخضر والكل وصنون الموسم المرون المركر والكلى ومعظم صفات المرد (1) على الموسم المومم الموسم الموسم الموسم

قام بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة	ا <u>د</u> / محمود محمد زغلول
كلية الزراعة – جامعة كفر الشيخ	أد / عبد الشفيق اسماعيل الزعويلي