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#### Abstract

The present study was carried out at the Experimental Station Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Dakahlya Governorate, during the two successive seasons of 2011 and 2012 to determine general, specific combining abilities and heterosis for grain yield and yield associated traits by crossing 6 inbred lines of maize in a half diallel mating design. Fifteen $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ single crosses with their parents were planted in a randomized complete block design with three replicates.

Results showed that mean squares of crosses were significant for all studied traits i.e. number of days to $50 \%$ tasseling and silking, plant height, number of rows/ear, number of grains/row, 100-grain weight, grain yield/plant and shelling percentage. The analysis of variance revealed highly significant mean squares of general combining ability (GCA) and specific combing ability (SCA) for all studied traits, indicating the importance of both additive and non additive genetic effects for these traits. GCA/SCA variances ratios were found to be greater than unity for number of days to $50 \%$ tasseling and silking, plant height and 100-grain weight, indicating that the additive and additive $\times$ additive types of gene action were greater importance in the inheritance of these 4 traits. Best GCA effects for earliness traits (number of days to $50 \%$ tasseling and silking) achieved inbred lines P4 (Inb.173) and P5 (Inb.174), for plant height were P1 (Sd63), P3 (Inb.19), P4 (Inb.173) and P5 (Inb.174), for number of rows/ear were P1 (Sd63), P3 (Inb.19) and P4 (Inb.173), for 100-grain weight was P1 (Sd63). Inbred lines P1 (Sd63) and P2 (Sd7) showed best GCA effects for grain yield. Also P2 (Sd7) and P6 (Inb.170) showed best GCA effects for shelling percentage.

Hybrid combinations P2×P5 and P3×P6 showed largest SCA effects for number of days to $50 \%$ tasseling, for number of days to $50 \%$ silking were $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 2$, $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 6, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 5$ and $\mathrm{P} 3 \times \mathrm{P} 4$, for plant height were $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 5, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 6$, $\mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 5$ and $\mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 6$, for number of rows/ear were $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 4, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 3 \times \mathrm{P} 4$, $\mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 5$ and $\mathrm{P} 5 \times \mathrm{P} 6$ and for shelling percentage were $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 4, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 4$, $\mathrm{P} 3 \times \mathrm{P} 4$ and $\mathrm{P} 5 \times \mathrm{P} 6$. Concerning number of grains/row, grain yield/plant and100-grain weight, most of crosses recorded positive significant or highly significant SCA effects. Results showed significant or highly significant heterosis for all studied traits. Cross P3×P6 was the best, with highly significant negative heterosis over mid and better parents for number of days to $50 \%$ tasseling. Cross P2×P3 recorded the highest negative heterosis over mid and better parents for number of days to $50 \%$ silking. Regarding to plant height, none of the cross combinations showed negative heterosis over mid and better parents. The best cross over mid and better parents for number of grains/row, grain yield and 100 -grain weight was P1×P2. Crosses P1×P4 and P5 $\times$ P6 recorded the highest positive heterosis over mid and better parents for number of rows/ear and shelling percentage, respectively.

Therefore, it could be stated that parents with good positive GCA for grain yield (P1 and P2), negative GCA for tasseling, silking date (P4 and P5) and dwarf plant height (P1, P3, P4 and P5) may be extensively used in hybridization program as a donor. The better performing four crosses ( $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 4, \mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 6$ and $\mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 3$ ) can
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be utilized for developing high yielding hybrid varieties as well as for exploiting hybrid vigor.

## INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, maize (Zea mays L.) is an important cereal crop and it ranks third position after wheat and rice. Maize plays a significant role in human and livestock nutrition world-wide. Moreover, it confirms the basis of several industries such as; starch, cooking oil and main of animal food. The five main producers in the world are: the United States of America (USA), China, Brazil, India and Mexico. The USA is the major producer of maize in the world, with just below a quarter of the world's production. In Egypt, the annual production of maize is 41.825 million ardab from 1.75 million feddan, viz. a mean yield of only 23.9 ard/fad. (FAO, 2010). There is a critical need to increase the production of maize to face the gab between production and consumption. Exploitation of hybrid vigor and selection of parents based on combining ability has been used as an important breeding approach in crop improvement (Uddin et.al. 2006). Developing of high yielding $F_{1} s$ along with other favorable traits is receiving considerable attention. For developing desirable hybrids, information about combining ability of the parents and the resulting crosses is essential. The variances of general and specific combining ability are related to the type of gene action involved. Variance for GCA includes additive portion while that of SCA includes non-additive portion of total variance arising largely from dominance and epistatic deviations (Rojas and Sprague, 1952). Diallel crosses have been widely used in genetic research to investigate the inheritance of important traits among a set of genotypes. These were devised, specifically, to investigate the combining ability of the parental lines for the purpose of identification of superior parents for use in hybrid development programs. Heterosis can be defined as the increased performance of offspring compared with their respective parents. That is, progeny resulting from hybridization are superior to either of their two parents. (Shull 1908) first described this phenomenon after observing the stimulation of heterozygosity upon cell division, growth, and other physiological characters in maize. Therefore, the objective of this study is meant to estimate general, specific combining abilities and heterosis among six inbred lines and their $F_{1} s$ crosses.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and field experiments: Six inbred lines of maize viz. P1 (Sd.63), P2 (Sd.7), P3 (Inb.19), P4 (Inb.173), P5 (Inb.174) and P6 (Inb.170) obtained from Field Crop Research Institute (FCRI), Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Egypt were crossed according to a half diallel crosses mating design during summer season of 2011 in Farm of the Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University. During summer season 2012, the $15 \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{~s}$ and along with their six parents were grown following Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications in the same farm. Each plot area was $6.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, which
consisted of 3 ridges, each of 3 m in length and 70 cm in width. The distance between hills was 25 cm . Calcium super phosphate ( $15.5 \% \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ) was incorporated in the soil during the tillage operation at a rate of $150 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$. Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of Urea ( $46 \% \mathrm{~N}$ ) was added at the rate of 120 $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{N} /$ fed in two equal doses, the first was after thinning and before the first irrigation, and the second before the second irrigation. The first irrigation was applied after 21 days from planting and then at 15 days intervals during the growing seasons. Weeds were controlled by using manual method before irrigation. Other agricultural practices were carried out as recommended by Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation. Samples of ten guarded plants were taken at random from middle ridge of each plot to determine the quantitative and qualitative characters.
Studied traits: Number of days to $50 \%$ tasseling and silking (days), plant height (cm), number of rows/ear, number of grains/row, 100-grain weight (g), grain yield/plant (g) and shelling percentage (\%).
Statistical analysis: The obtained data were statistically analyzed for analysis of variance by using computer statistical program MSTAT-C. The 15 single crosses comprise a half diallel between 6 inbred lines. Data of all 21 genotype were statistically analyzed, as the technique of analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures of the used (randomized complete block) design, as mentioned by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The sum of squares of crosses was partitioned to general and specific combining ability following method 4 model 1 (fixed effects) of (Griffing 1956). Heterosis as proposed by Mather and Jinks (1982) was determined for individual crosses as the percentage deviation of $F_{1}$ means from mid-parents means (MP) and better parent (BP).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Analysis of variance: As shown in Table 1 analysis of variance for combining ability reveled that mean squares of crosses were highly significant for all studied traits, indicating wide range of genetic variability among the studied crosses and this is primary requirement for further computation. Both GCA and SCA variances were highly significant for all the studied characters, indicating the importance of additive as well as nonadditive type of gene action in controlling the traits. General combining ability/specific combining ability (GCA/SCA) variance ratios were found to be greater than unity for 4 traits i.e. number of days to $50 \%$ tasseling and silking, plant height and 100-grain weight, indicating that the additive and additivexadditive types of gene action were greater importance in the inheritance of these 4 traits. It is therefore could be conducted that the presence of large amounts of additive effects suggests the potentiality for obtaining further to improve these 4 traits. On the other hand, GCA/SCA variances ratios were found to be lower than unity for other remaining traits, indicating the performance of non-additive genetic variance in the inheritance of these traits, therefore selection procedure in late or advanced generations will be very important to improve these traits. Similar results were reported by Alam et al. (2008), Barakat and Osman (2008) and Sultan et al. (2011).
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Table 1: Mean squares from the analysis of variance, for general and specific combining abilities (SCA\&GCA) for all studied traits.

| S.V | D.f | No. of days to 50\% tasseling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of days } \\ & \text { to } 50 \% \\ & \text { silking } \end{aligned}$ | Plant height | No. of rows/ear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crosses | 14 | 15.371 | 13.486 | 802.870 | 3.924 |
| GCA | 5 | 12.767 | 10.867 | 548.306 | 2.027 |
| SCA | 9 | 0.878 | 0.956 | 111.688 | 0.908 |
| Error | 28 | 0.238 | 0.238 | 0.407 | 0.003 |
| GCA/SCA |  | 4.896 | 3.703 | 1.231 | 0.559 |
| S.V | D.f | No. of grains/row | 100-grain weight | Grain yield/ plant | Shelling percentage |
| Crosses | 14 | 100.440 | 58.619 | 5393.759" | 22.291 |
| GCA | 5 | 64.378 | 45.340 | 2993.122 | 9.001 |
| SCA | 9 | 16.315 | 5.206 | 1133.933 | 6.558 |
| Error | 28 | 0.015 | 0.00003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| GCA/SCA |  | 0.987 | 2.177 | 0.660 | 0.343 |

*,** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.
2. General combining ability effects ( $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{i}}$ ): As shown in Table 2 estimates of GCA effects showed that, the parents P4 (Inb.173) and P5 (Inb.174) were found to be good general combiners for earliness traits (number of days to $50 \%$ tasseling and silking), where they showed negative and highly significant GCA effects for these traits. With respect to plant height, inbred parents P1 (Sd63), P3 (Inb.19), P4 (Inb.173) and P5 (Inb.174) showed negative and highly significant GCA effects, indicating that these inbred parents were good general combiners for short stature. Concerning number of rows/ear, the inbred parents P1 (Sd63), P3 (Inb.19) and P4 (Inb.173) showed positive highly significant GCA effects, indicating that these inbred parents are the best general combiners for increasing number of rows/ear. With respect to number of grains/row, the inbred parents P1 (Sd63), P2 (Sd7) and P5 (Inb.174) showed positive highly significant GCA effects, indicating that these inbred parents are the best general combiners for increasing number of grains/row. In connection with 100-grain weight, P1 (Sd63) was found to be good general combiner for this trait. The best general combiners for grain yield/plant were P1 (Sd63) and P2 (Sd7), indicating that these inbred parents could be considered as good combiners for improving this trait. P2 (Sd7) and P6 (Inb.170) recorded positive highly significant GCA effects for shelling percentage, indicating that these inbred parents are the best general combiners for increasing this trait. The obtained results completely agreed with the points of view which were reported by Choukan (1999), Alam et al. (2008), Abdel-Moneam et al. (2009) and Haddadi et al. (2012).

Table 2: Means and general combining ability (GCA) effects ( $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) for inbred parents for all studied traits.

|  | No. of days to 50\% tasseling |  | No. of days to 50\% silking |  | Plant height |  | No. of rows/ear |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{gi}_{i}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{gi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| P1 | 58.00 | 1.667 | 61.00 | 1.667 | 135.50 | -9.000 | 10.00 | 0.472 |
| P2 | 57.00 | 1.167 | 62.00 | 0.917 | 185.00 | 17.375 | 11.33 | -1.276 |
| P3 | 56.00 | -0.083 | 60.00 | -0.333 | 180.75 | -2.875 | 12.00 | 0.139 |
| P4 | 51.00 | -1.333 | 55.00 | -1.083 | 131.50 | -14.063 | 11.33 | 0.807 |
| P5 | 47.00 | -2.833** | 50.00 | -2.583 | 186.50 | -1.188 | 14.00 | -0.113* |
| P6 | 57.00 | 1.417 | 61.00 | 1.417 | 157.25 | 9.750 | 12.67 | -0.028 |
| S.E ( $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) | -- | 0.223 | -- | 0.223 | -- | 0.291 | -- | 0.026 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.E }\left(g_{i-}\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | -- | 0.345 | -- | 0.345 | -- | 0.451 | -- | 0.041 |
|  | No. of grains/row |  | 100-grain weight |  | Grain yield/plant |  | Shelling percentage |  |
|  | Mean | $\mathrm{gi}^{\text {i }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{gi}^{\text {i }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{gi}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| P1 | 14.33 | 0.944 | 21.53 | 6.072" | 57.123 | 45.514 | 80.95 | -1.958" |
| P2 | 15.83 | 6.697 | 22.10 | -0.306 | 62.140 | 22.079 | 82.22 | 1.927 |
| P3 | 12.33 | -4.096 | 22.57 | -0.113 | 70.050 | -19.661 | 84.00 | -0.071 |
| P4 | 17.67 | -0.806 | 16.83 | -4.256 | 68.149 | -11.894* | 83.67 | -1.168 |
| P5 | 30.50 | 1.234 | 22.70 | -0.143 | 63.104 | -16.437 | 82.42 | -0.238 |
| P6 | 22.67 | -3.973 | 21.80 | -1.253 | 62.123 | -19.602 | 82.22 | 1.509 |
| S.E ( $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) | -- | 0.057 | -- | 0.003 | -- | 0.008 | -- | 0.008 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.E }\left(g_{i-}\right. \\ & \left.g_{j}\right) \end{aligned}$ | -- | 0.088 | -- | 0.004 | -- | 0.013 | -- | 0.013 |

*,** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.
S.E (gi) Standard error for an GCA effect.
S.E (gi-gj) Standard error for the difference between estimates of GCA effects.
3. Specific combining ability effects $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$ : As shown in Table 3 Significant or highly significant negative SCA effects were found in earliness traits for some crosses. Based on SCA effects, it could be concluded that crosses i.e. P $2 \times$ P5 5 , P3×P6 showed highly significant or significant negative SCA effects for number of days to $50 \%$ tasseling and crosses P1 $\times$ P2, P1 $\times$ P6, P2 $\times$ P5 and P3 $\times$ P4 for number of days to $50 \%$ silking, indicating that these crosses are the best combinations for improving earliness traits. For plant height, crosses i.e. $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 5, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 6, \mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 5$ and $\mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 6$ showed negative and highly significant SCA effects for this trait, indicating that these crosses are the best combinations for improving shortness stature trait. $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 4, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 3 \times \mathrm{P} 4, \mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 5$ and $\mathrm{P} 5 \times \mathrm{P} 6$ showed highly significant and positive SCA effects for number of rows/ear. For number of grains/row, results showed that all crosses recorded positive significant or highly significant SCA effects, except crosses i.e. P1×P3, P1×P5, P2×P6, P3×P6 and $\mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 5$. Crosses i.e. $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 4, \mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 5, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 6, \mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 5$, $\mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 6$ and $\mathrm{P} 5 \times \mathrm{P} 6$ showed positive highly significant SCA effects for 100grain weight, indicating that these crosses are the best combinations for improving the weight of 100-grain. According to grain yield/plant, P1×P2, $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 4, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 5, \mathrm{P} 3 \times \mathrm{P} 5, \mathrm{P} 3 \times \mathrm{P} 6, \mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 5, \mathrm{P} 4 \times \mathrm{P} 6$ and $\mathrm{P} 5 \times \mathrm{P} 6$ recorded positive and highly significant SCA effects, indicating that these crosses are
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the best combinations for improving grain yield/plant. P1×P3, P1×P4, P2×P3, $\mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 4, \mathrm{P} 3 \times \mathrm{P} 4$ and $\mathrm{P} 5 \times \mathrm{P} 6$ showed significant and positive SCA effects for shelling percentage. This means that, all of these crosses could be selected and used in breeding programs for improving all of these traits. These results are in confidence with those of Uddin et al. (2006), Alam et al. (2008), Barakat and Osman (2008) and Abdel-Moneam et al. (2009).

Table 3: Means and specific combining ability (SCA) effects ( $s_{\mathrm{ij}}$ ) for all $F_{1}$ crosses for all studied traits.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of days to } 50 \% \\ \text { tasseling } \end{gathered}$ |  | No. of days to 50\% silking |  | Plant height |  | No. of rows/ear |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ |
| P1×P2 | 53.00 | -0.300 | 55.00 | -0.650 | 271.75 | 9.475 | 14.00 | 1.448 |
| P1×P3 | 52.00 | -0.050 | 55.00 | 0.600 | 225.00 | -17.025 | 13.67 | -0.298 |
| P1×P4 | 51.00 | 0.200 | 54.00 | 0.350 | 233.25 | 2.413 | 15.00 | 0.365 |
| P1×P5 | 50.00 | 0.700 | 53.00 | 0.850 | 242.50 | -1.213 | 12.33 | -1.385 |
| P1×P6 | 53.00 | -0.550 | 55.00 | -1.150 | 261.00 | 6.350 | 13.67 | -0.130 |
| P2×P3 | 52.00 | 0.450 | 54.00 | 0.350 | 267.00 | -1.400 | 12.67 | 0.450 |
| P2×P4 | 51.00 | 0.700 | 53.00 | 0.100 | 257.00 | -0.213 | 11.67 | -1.218 |
| P2×P5 | 47.00 | -1.800 | 50.00 | -1.400 | 275.00 | 4.913 | 11.67 | -0.298 |
| P2×P6 | 54.00 | 0.950 | 57.00 | 1.600 | 268.25 | -12.775 | 11.67 | -0.383 |
| P3xP4 | 49.00 | -0.050 | 51.00 | -0.650 | 250.25 | 13.288 | 14.67 | 0.368 |
| P3xP5 | 48.00 | 0.450 | 50.00 | -0.150 | 251.50 | 1.663 | 13.33 | -0.053 |
| P3xP6 | 51.00 | -0.800 | 54.00 | -0.150 | 264.25 | 3.475 | 13.00 | -0.468 |
| P4×P5 | 46.00 | -0.300 | 50.00 | 0.600 | 226.75 | -11.900 | 14.67 | 0.620 |
| P4×P6 | 50.00 | -0.550 | 53.00 | -0.400 | 246.00 | -3.588 | 14.00 | -0.135 |
| P5xP6 | 50.00 | 0.950 | 52.00 | 0.100 | 269.00 | 6.538 | 14.33 | 1.115 |
| S.E ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ ) | -- | 0.378 | -- | 0.378 | -- | 0.494 | -- | 0.045 |
| S.E ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ik}}$ ) | -- | 0.597 | -- | 0.597 | -- | 0.781 | -- | 0.071 |
| S.E ( $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{kl}}\right)$ | -- | 0.488 | -- | 0.488 | -- | 0.638 | -- | 0.058 |
|  | No. of grains/row |  | 100-grain weight |  | Grain yield/plant |  | Shelling percentage |  |
|  | Mean | $\mathbf{S}_{\text {ij }}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{S}_{\text {ij }}$ |
| P1×P2 | 43.50 | 2.383 | 35.94 | 1.568 | 274.077 | 56.177 | 79.98 | -0.183 |
| P1×P3 | 26.67 | -3.655 | 34.10 | -0.465 | 145.117 | -31.043 | 78.73 | 0.565 |
| P1×P4 | 33.83 | 0.215 | 30.50 | 0.078 | 207.117 | 23.190 | 78.48 | 1.412 |
| P1×P5 | 31.33 | -4.325 | 35.78 | 1.245 | 138.095 | -41.289 | 77.57 | -0.428 |
| P1×P6 | 35.83 | 5.383 | 31.00 | -2.425 | 169.184 | -7.035 | 78.38 | -1.366 |
| P2×P3 | 37.67 | 1.593 | 31.08 | 2.893 | 168.197 | 15.472 | 82.45 | 0.399 |
| P2×P4 | 40.00 | 0.633 | 22.14 | -1.905 | 123.117 | -37.376 | 82.58 | 1.627 |
| P2×P5 | 44.00 | 2.593 | 24.45 | -3.708 | 157.211 | 1.261 | 80.34 | -1.543 |
| P2×P6 | 29.00 | -7.200 | 28.20 | 1.153 | 117.250 | -35.534 | 83.33 | -0.301 |
| P3xP4 | 29.50 | 0.925 | 23.14 | -1.098 | 115.240 | -3.513 | 80.70 | 1.745 |
| P3xP5 | 32.83 | 2.215 | 27.31 | -1.040 | 121.127 | 6.918 | 78.38 | -1.506 |
| P3xP6 | 24.33 | -1.078 | 26.95 | -0.290 | 123.210 | 12.166 | 80.43 | -1.203 |
| P4×P5 | 31.33 | -2.575 | 26.64 | 2.433 | 132.180 | 10.203 | 76.70 | -2.088 |
| P4×P6 | 29.50 | 0.803 | 23.59 | 0.493 | 126.307 | 7.496 | 77.84 | -2.696 |
| P5xP6 | 32.83 | 2.093 | 28.28 | 1.07 | 137.176 | 22.908 | 87.03 | 5.565 |
| S.E ( $\mathrm{Sij}_{\text {ij }}$ ) | -- | 0.096 | -- | 0.004 | -- | 0.014 | -- | 0.014 |
| S.E ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ik}}$ ) | -- | 0.152 | -- | 0.007 | -- | 0.022 | -- | 0.022 |
| S.E ( $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{kl}}\right)$ | -- | 0.124 | -- | 0.006 | -- | 0.018 | -- | 0.018 |

*,** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively
S.E $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$ : Standard error for an SCA effect.
S.E $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ik}}\right)$ : Standard error for the difference between two SCA effects for a common parent.
S.E ( $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ : Standard error for the difference between two SCA effects for a non-common parent.

4- Estimates of heterossis: Data presented in table 4 revealed that the most of cross combinations manifested negative highly significant or significant heterosis over mid and better parents for number of days to $50 \%$ tasseling and silking. The highest negative heterosis effect for days to tasseling was exhibited by cross P3×P6 (-9.73 and -8.93\%) over mid and better parents, respectively and for days to silking were P2×P3 (-11.48\%) over mid-parents and $\mathrm{P} 2 \times \mathrm{P} 3$ or $\mathrm{P} 3 \times \mathrm{P} 6(-10.00 \%)$ over better-parent. According to plant height, none of the cross combinations showed negative heterosis over mid and better parents. The highest positive significant heterosis effect was recorded by cross P1×P6 (78.31\%) over mid-parents and cross P1×P2 (100.55\%) over better-parent. The highest positive heterosis effect for number of rows/ear was P1×P4 (40.65 and $32.39 \%$ ) over mid and better parents. Results showed that $\mathrm{P} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 2$ recorded the highest positive significant heterosis in number of grains/row and 100-grain weight over mid and better parents. The corresponding data were ( 188.46 and $174.79 \%$ ) and ( 64.75 and $62.62 \%$ ), respectively. The highest positive heterosis effect for for grain yield/plant was P1×P2 (359.62 and 341.06\%) over mid and better parents and for shelling percentage was P5×P6 ( 5.72 and $5.59 \%$ ) over mid and better parents, respectively. These results are in confidence with those of Alvi et al. (2003), El-Gazzar (2004), Katta et al. (2007), Amiruzzaman et al. (2010), Patel et al. (2010) and Amanullah et al. (2011).

Table 4: Percentages of heterosis over mid and better parents for the studied maize traits during 2012 summer growing season.

|  | No. of days to 50\% tasseling |  | No. of days to 50\% silking |  | Plant height |  | N. of rows/ear |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P |
| P1×P2 | -7.83************) | -7.02** | -10.57 | -9.84 | 69.58 | 100.55 | $31.27{ }^{*}$ | 23.57 |
| P1×P3 | -8.77 | -7.14 | -9.09 | -8.33 | 42.29 " | 66.05 | $24.27{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 13.92" |
| P1×P4 | -6.42 | 0.00 | -6.90 | -1.82 | 74.72 " | 77.38 | $40.65{ }^{*}$ | 32.39" |
| P1×P5 | -4.76 | 6.38 | -4.50 | 6.00 | 50.62 * | 78.97 | 2.75 | -11.93* |
| P1×P6 | -7.83***********) | -7.02** | -9.84 | -9.84" | 78.31 | 92.62" | 20.60** | 7.89 |
| P2×P3 | -7.96" | -7.14 | -11.48** | -10.00* | 46.00* | 47.72* | 8.62* | 5.58 |
| P2×P4 | -5.56 | 0.00 | -9.40" | -3.64 | 62.40 | 95.44 | 3.00 | 3.00 " |
| P2×P5 | -9.62** | 0.00 | -10.71* | 0.00 | 48.05 | 48.65 | -7.86** | -16.64* |
| P2×P6 | -5.26 | -5.26 | -7.32" | -6.56 | 56.76 | 70.59 | -2.75 | -7.89 |
| P3×P4 | -8.41 | -3.92 | -11.30 | -7.27 | 60.29 | 90.30 | 25.76* | 22.25 |
| P3×P5 | -6.80 | 2.13 | -9.09 | 0.00 | 36.96 | 39.14 | 2.54 | -4.79 |
| P3×P6 | -9.73********* | -8.93 | -10.74 | -10.00* | 56.36 | 68.04 | 5.39 | 2.60 |
| P4×P5 | -6.12 | -2.13 | -4.76 | 0.00 | 42.61 | 72.43 | 15.83 " | 4.79 |
| P4×P6 | -7.41* | -1.96 | -8.62" | -3.64 | 70.39 | 87.07 | $16.67{ }^{\text {" }}$ | 10.50 |
| P5×P6 | -3.85 | 6.38 | -6.31 | 4.00 | 56.51 | 71.07 | 7.46 | 2.36 |
| LSD 5\% | 2.97 | 1.14 | 2.97 | 1.14 | 3.83 | 1.48 | 0.38 | 0.14 |
| LSD 1\% | 4.27 | 1.64 | 4.27 | 1.64 | 5.52 | 2.12 | 0.54 | 0.21 |

*,** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively
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Table (4): Continue...

|  | No. of grains/row |  | 100-grain weight |  | Grain yield/plant |  | Shelling percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P |
| P1×P2 | 188.46 " | 174.79 | 64.75 | 62.62 | 359.62* | 341.06 | -1.97" | -2.72 |
| P1×P3 | 100.08 " | $86.11^{\prime \prime}$ | $54.65{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 51.09 | 128.22* | 107.16 | -4.54" | -6.27 |
| P1×P4 | 111.44 | 91.45 | $59.02{ }^{\text {" }}$ | 41.66 | $230.67{ }^{*}$ | 203.92 | -4.65" | -6.20' |
| P1×P5 | 39.77 | 2.72 | 61.79 " | 57.62 | 129.72* | 118.84 | -5.04" | -5.88 |
| P1×P6 | 93.68 | 58.05 | 43.09" | 42.20 | 183.76" | 172.34 | -3.93" | -4.67 |
| P2×P3 | 167.54* | 137.97 | 39.15" | 37.70 | $154.48{ }^{*}$ | 140.11 | -0.79" | -1.85 |
| P2×P4 | 138.81 " | 126.37 | 13.74" | 0.18 | 88.99 | 80.66 | -0.44" | -1.30 |
| P2×P5 | 89.94 | 44.26 | 9.15 | 7.71 | 151.05" | 149.13 | -2.41" | -2.52 |
| P2×P6 | 50.65 | 27.92 | $28.47{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 29.36 | $88.71{ }^{\text {² }}$ | 88.69 | 1.35 " | 1.35 " |
| P3×P4 | 96.67 | 66.95 | 17.46" | 2.53 | 66.77 | 64.51 | -3.74" | -3.93 |
| P3xP5 | 53.30 | 7.64 | 20.65 | 20.31 | 81.94 | 72.92 | -5.80 | -6.69 |
| P3xP6 | 39.03 | 7.32 | 21.48 " | 19.41 | 86.44 | 75.89 | -3.22" | -4.25 |
| P4×P5 | 30.08 | 2.72 | 34.78" | 17.36 | 101.41" | 93.96 | -7.64" | -8.33 |
| P4×P6 | 46.26 | 30.13 | 22.13 " | 8.21 | 93.91 | 85.34 | -6.15" | -6.97 |
| P5 $\times$ P6 | 23.49 | 7.64 | $27.10{ }^{\text {" }}$ | 24.58 | 119.08** | 117.38 | 5.72 | 5.59" |
| LSD 5\% | 0.69 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.113 | 0.043 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| LSD 1\% | 0.99 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.163 | 0.063 | 0.16 | 0.06 |

*,** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively
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```
القدرة علىى التتآلف و قوة الهجين لمحصول الحبوب وبعض الصفات الأخري في
    الذرة الثـامية
أحمـا نــادر اللــيا عطيـة، محسـن عبـدالعزيز بـدوي، عــدل محمــ ســلامة، مــأمون أحمــ
                                    عبدالمنعم و أحمد عبدالرحيم ليله
                            قسم المحاصيل ـ كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة ـ مصر
```






```
تصميم القطاعات الكاملة العشو ائبة ذو ثلاث مكررات بهدف تقيبم كل من السلالات والهجن. تم أخـّ
بيانات محصول الحبوب، عدد الأيـام حتى طرد النورة المذكرة، عدد الأيـام حتى ظهور الحريـرة،
        إرتفاع النبات، عدد الصفوف/كوز، عدد الحبوب/صف، وزبرد وزن الـ . . ا حبة و نسبة النفريط.
                            ويمكن تلخيص نتائـج البحث فيمـا يـي:
    1- كانت متوسطات مربعات الإنحر افات للهجن عالية المعنوية لكل الصفات المدروسة.

\section*{Attia, A. N. E. et al.}

أظهرت النتائج أن متوسطات مربعات الإنحر افات لكل من القدرة العامة و الخاصة على التآلف عالية المعنوية لكل الصفات المدروسة، وهذا يشبر إلى أههية كل من التأثثبر الوراثي التجميعي و غير التجميعي في توريث هذه الصفات.
r- كانت نسب تباين القدرة العامـة على التآلف إلىى القدرة الخاصـة علىى التآلف أكبر من واحد صحيح لكل من عدد الأيام حتى طرد النورة المذكرة، عدد الايام حتى ظهور الحريرة، إرتفـاع المـاع النبات و وزن الـ . . ا حبة، مما يشير إلى أن الفعل الجيني المضيف أكثر أهمية من الفعل غير
- أوضحت النتائج أن أحسن الأبـاء قدرة عامـة على التآلف لصفات التز هير هي السـلالة النقيـة

 محصول الحبوب؛ والسلالتين P6 P6 لصفة زيادة نسبة التفريط.



 ،(P2xP3) ،(P1xP4) ،(P1xP3) (P4xP6) ،(P4xP5) (P5xP6) ،(P3xP4) ،(P2xP4) الحبوب/صف و وزن الـ. • ا حبة فقد أظهرت معظم الهجن معنويـة عاليـة و موجبـة لقدرتها الخاصة على التآلف.
7- أظهـرت النتـائج قـوة هجين بالنسبـة لمتوسـطو أفضـل الآبـاء عاليـة المعنويـة لكـل الصـفـات الات المدروسة. حيث حقق الهجين P3xP6 أعلى قوة هجين سالبة بالنسبة لمتوسط و أفضل الآباء في صفة عدد الأيام حتى طرد النورة المـذكرة، والهجين P2xP3 في صفة عدد الأيـام حتى
 الــ . . ا حبـة فقد سـجل الهجين P1xP2 أعلـى قوة هجين موجبـة بالنسبة لمتوسط و أفضـل الآبـاء؛ والهجين P1xP4 لصفة عدد الصفوف/كوز؛ والهجبن P5xP6 لصفة زيـادة نسبة التفريط.

كلية الزراعة ـ جامعة المنصورة
كلية الزراعه بمشنتهر - جامعة بنـها
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